

Sustainability Appraisal

Section 10: Social and Community Infrastructure



Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 2- Option 1/2- Green infrastructure should be addressed through the Core Strategy or a DPD

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicators										
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	By providing green infrastructure, it is likely to promote a healthier lifestyle and increased opportunities to take part in activities.
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Positive	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	A policy requiring increase/improved standards of open space etc may contribute to improved satisfaction levels.
	Increase green space	Improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Addressing green infrastructure in either CS or a DPD will lead to an improvement

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 2- Option 1/2- Green infrastructure should be addressed through the Core Strategy or a DPD

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against social objectives		Very specific policy which will impact on the specific topic but could also improve overall healthy living by offering opportunities to take part in outdoor activities.								
Environmental Indicators										
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 2- Option 1/2- Green infrastructure should be addressed through the Core Strategy or a DPD

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	By increasing management/quality of green infrastructure and maintaining.
Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives			Limited effects on environmental issues							
Economic Indicators										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 2- Option 1/2- Green infrastructure should be addressed through the Core Strategy or a DPD

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Summary appraisal against economic objectives No direct links to economic growth

Conclusions:

A very limited range of impacts but inclusion of a policy would be a positive action

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	2	2	0	0	58	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 2- Option 1/2- Green infrastructure should be addressed through the Core Strategy or a DPD

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	

Recommendations: Include a policy in either CS or a DPD

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative -- Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 1: All community facilities are of equal importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicators										
Health	Health facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	If all facilities are equal then access to health facilities will continue at the same levels or improve
	Death rates	No impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	It is likely that if all facilities are of the same importance then an increase in health facilities, sporting facilities and open space will be seen. Also provision of local facilities may encourage people to walk/cycle above car journeys.
Education	Improve Qualifications	Maintain or slight improvement	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	As health- the level of access to education will be maintained or increase, and indirectly the qualifications may improve.
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No change from current level as a direct result
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	With equal emphasis on all facilities and services, good access will be improved
Access key services	Access key local services	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
	Access child care	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Significant improvement	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	Likely to see general satisfaction improve with access to all facilities.
	Increase green space	Positive impacts	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Access will be maintained or increased for development

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 1: All community facilities are of equal importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Positive impacts	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Access will be maintained or increased for development
Summary of appraisal against social objectives			Access likely to improve to all services and facilities but not significantly in any particular field.							
Environmental Indicators										
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Potential improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Likely to reduce need to drive locally by promoting access to all types of services and facilities
	Reduce need for local travel	Improvement likely	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Potential improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 1: All community facilities are of equal importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives			By providing access to all services and facilities, there should be improvements for the environment by reducing the need to use the car for journeys.							
Economic Indicators										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 1: All community facilities are of equal importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment														
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating															
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Summary of appraisal against economic objectives			No direct link to economic indicators. It may be there will be an indirect improvement for local businesses by encouraging the provision of local services.																					
<p>Conclusions: Overall- likely to see improvements in most areas, but no significant improvements</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Score</td> <td>++ Major positive</td> <td>+ Minor positive</td> <td>- Minor negative</td> <td>- - Major negative</td> <td>0 Neutral effect</td> <td>? Uncertain effect</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>11</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>											Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect		1	11	0	0	50	
Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect																		
	1	11	0	0	50																			
<p>Recommendations: Could be more important to base things on needs rather than just maintain equal importance for all facilities- there is likely to be a greater need in some areas for specific facilities but this would need to be evidenced.</p>																								

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 1: All community facilities are of equal importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative -- Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 2: Some community facilities are of greater importance than others

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicators										
Health	Health facilities	Significant improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Health facilities would be higher on the agenda than others so there will be investment into doctors
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Significant improvement	++	++	++	+	0	0	++	As with health, education is likely to be high on the agenda if there is to be a hierarchy and therefore this option would support improved education and indirectly, qualifications
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Potential links	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	Potentially if facilities such as youth clubs etc are not built then potential for crime rates to increase.
	Reduce fear of crime	Potential links	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	Potentially if facilities such as youth clubs etc are not built then the fear, if not the actual rates, of crime could increase.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Both + and -	?	?	?	? both	? both	? both	?	Not all services and facilities will be improved
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Likely to be negative	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	Access to shopping is likely to be further down on the hierarchy than other facilities
	Access child care	Likely to be negative	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Could be positive or negative	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Depending on what sort of facilities/services are being discussed- some will improve, some will get worse.
	Increase green space	Negative	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	Likely that it will be reduced as green infrastructure likely to be further down the hierarchy than some services/facilities
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 2: Some community facilities are of greater importance than others

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Negative	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	Likely that it will be reduced as green infrastructure likely to be further down the hierarchy than some services/facilities
Summary of appraisal against social objectives			Generally mixed- could be negative or positive depending on what order is decided upon and what facilities have priority over others. Generally health and education likely to go up whilst others will go down.							
Environmental Indicators										
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Unknown	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	Although some services will be improved, it may be that some are worsened which could result in higher traffic and greater need for local car journeys.
	Reduce need for local travel	Unknown	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Unknown	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 2: Some community facilities are of greater importance than others

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives			By making some services and facilities more 'important' than others, access to those considered less important is likely to get worse which will have effects on the environment through the increased need to travel.							
Economic Indicators										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 2: Some community facilities are of greater importance than others

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Summary of appraisal against economic objectives No direct links

Conclusions:

By placing greater value on some services above others, some would improve and others would suffer.

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	2	0	9	0	49	2

Recommendations:

Care would be needed in deciding the hierarchy of services.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 2: Some community facilities are of greater importance than others

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative -- Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicators										
Health	Health facilities	Could have both positive and negative impacts	+-	+-	+-	-	-	-	?	Depending on the thresholds imposed, smaller development will not be required to contribute and as a result in locations where most development is infill/smaller scale these may not provide contributions and so access to health may suffer. Larger developments may well be the source of contributions which will be positive for areas where there is large development proposed.
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No significant impacts expected for this objective	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Could have both positive and negative impacts	0	?	?	+/-	+/-	+/-	?	Schools will be similar to health facilities (above)
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Larger developments will attract infrastructure which will ensure that facilities/ investment is provided. Smaller developments will be unlikely to attract the infrastructure but also unlikely to increase the need for it.
	Reduce fear of crime	Likely to have positive impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	As above for crime.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Could be both	+/-	+/-	+/-	?	-	-	?	Similar to health/education- larger development would provide improved access, whereas rural developments could make problem more acute if not required to contribute.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	Mixed	+/-	+/-	+/-	?	-	?	?	Depending on the level at which the threshold is set- smaller developments above threshold may not come forwards due to increased costs, alternatively smaller developments under the threshold may come forward more readily.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Mixed	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	As above justification- will depend on what development comes forward and what level the threshold is set at.
	Increase green space	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Green space is often an issue only in the larger developments in the larger settlements and so a policy such as this should improve access to green space.
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against social objectives			Smaller developments not being required to make contributions does mean that they are likely to come forward, but there is a risk that if cumulative effects have adverse impacts that these are not dealt with satisfactorily. Depending on the thresholds imposed, smaller development will not be required to contribute and as a result in smaller locations where most development is infill/smaller these may not provide contributions. Larger developments may well be the source of contributions but rural areas may suffer as a result if the threshold is too high. If the threshold is too low, some development may not come forward due to increased costs.							
Environmental Indicators										
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives										

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Economic Indicators										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment														
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating															
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Summary of appraisal against economic objectives																								
<p>Conclusions: More detail is needed prior to deciding the final policy- as show by the larger proportion of unknown impacts on the objectives.</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Score</td> <td>++ Major positive</td> <td>+ Minor positive</td> <td>- Minor negative</td> <td>- - Major negative</td> <td>0 Neutral effect</td> <td>? Uncertain effect</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>55</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </table>											Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect		0	1	1	0	55	5
Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect																		
	0	1	1	0	55	5																		
<p>Recommendations: This could be a good policy but would need to be very carefully monitored as there could also be a risk that larger sites may be split into smaller ones to try to avoid contributions so this must be monitored (similar to thresholds with affordable housing currently) If the threshold is too low there is a risk that smaller developments will not come forwards If the threshold is too high we might miss out on contributions where they are needed Rural areas and smaller villages, where mostly infill and small development takes place, could potentially worsen their situation if small development goes forward (under the threshold) btu still adds to the demand on services and facilities without being required to contribute. The controls of the policy would need to be carefully considered and set out in details- e.g. 1 threshold for all district or different for village/town/countryside?</p>																								
<p>Key to Appraisal of Effects</p> <p>Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>++ Major positive</td> <td>+ Minor positive</td> <td>- Minor negative</td> <td>- - Major negative</td> <td>0 Neutral effect</td> <td>? Uncertain effect</td> </tr> </table>											++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect								
++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect																			

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicators										
Health	Health facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Even smaller developments may still contribute depending on the situation and other details and it is likely that levels of access will be maintained or improved
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Improvement indirectly	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	Contributions where needed will be required for schools, thus providing increased opportunities and hopefully increased qualifications
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	If the development is likely to contribute to crime risk then additional infrastructure will be required in turn to combat the potential problems
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	By dealing with each development proposal individually, the contributions can be required where necessary.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	Improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Similar to key local services- provided where necessary.
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No change to current expected	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Continue to provide housing- no negative impacts predicted
	Increase range and affordability	No change expected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No change expected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Improvement where needed expected
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against social objectives		Improvements would be expected through the value of assessing each development for what would be needed in the area.								
Environmental Indicators										
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives			No direct links							
Economic Indicators										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against economic objectives			No direct links							

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive 2	+ Minor positive 6	- Minor negative 0	- - Major negative 0	0 Neutral effect 54	? Uncertain effect 0
-------	------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------

Recommendations:
 Maintaining the current system, or similar based on need, would mean that contributions were determined based on local need, and could be applied to smaller development where necessary if the evidence shows that the development would contribute to demand for services/facilities.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 5: Make specific provision for social/community infrastructure- through either CS/DC policies

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicators										
Health	Health facilities	Significant benefits	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Would ensure provision
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Improvement	+	+	+	++	+	0	+	Would ensure opportunities available
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	Provision of facilities/activities and opportunities to engage in positive activities could reduce crime.
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	It would be assumed that access to key local services would be improved if provision was made for investment
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
	Access child care	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	BY improving the available soft infrastructure, people will be likely to be happier with locality TO allow for access to green space
	Increase green space	Increase availability	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 5: Make specific provision for social/community infrastructure- through either CS/DC policies

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	As part of social infrastructure, cultural facilities should be made accessible.
Summary of appraisal against social objectives			Generally benefit to allow for provision through policy framework							
Environmental Indicators										
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Potentially improve traffic in some areas where improved access to social/community infrastructure means people don't have to travel to access them.
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 5: Make specific provision for social/community infrastructure- through either CS/DC policies

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives			Limited impacts							
Economic Indicators										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 5: Make specific provision for social/community infrastructure- through either CS/DC policies

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects								Justification for assessment														
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating															
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Summary of appraisal against economic objectives			No links																					
<p>Conclusions: It would be beneficial to allow for provision for social/community infrastructure but unclear whether it would be better to do so through Core Strategy or Development Control policies.</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Score</td> <td>++ Major positive</td> <td>+ Minor positive</td> <td>- Minor negative</td> <td>- - Major negative</td> <td>0 Neutral effect</td> <td>? Uncertain effect</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>51</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>											Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect		4	7	0	0	51	
Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	- - Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect																		
	4	7	0	0	51																			
<p>Recommendations: Should allow for provision of SCI through policy framework.</p>																								

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI 5: Make specific provision for social/community infrastructure- through either CS/DC policies

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative -- Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect