

**BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

6 MAY 2009

ADDENDUM TO PAPER J14

**SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED SINCE THE
PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA BUT BEFORE 12 NOON ON THE WORKING DAY
BEFORE THE MEETING AND ERRATA**

| Item No | Page | Summary |
|----------------|-------------|---|
| 2 | 6 - 14 | <p><u>Hadleigh Town Council</u> Have considered the revisions to the application but maintain their objection on the grounds that over a 24 hour period there will be three significant movements of traffic at shift changeover times plus traffic movements from delivery vehicles and visitors. In their opinion Ramsay Road and Grays Close are not constructed to serve a development of the type proposed. Furthermore they are not gritted during the winter months.</p> <p><u>Environment Agency</u> Are satisfied with the proposed surface water drainage arrangements but require further clarification as to how long-term management is to be secured. Note that there may not be sufficient capacity with the foul drainage system and that Anglian Water Services have been consulted.</p> <p><u>National Grid</u> Comment that there is a medium pressure gas pipeline crossing the site. (This has a route which is roughly parallel to the south-western boundary).</p> <p><u>Environmental Health Officer</u> Recommends the imposition of a planning condition to address any noise issues that may arise from the siting of external plant and equipment.</p> <p><u>Mr and Mrs Slaughter</u> Reiterate their objection to the proposal on traffic and access grounds. Question whether staff would walk or cycle to work.</p> <p><u>Mr and Mrs Percy</u> Reiterate their objection to the proposal. Question whether staff would walk to work given the location of the site on the northern outskirts of Hadleigh. The development would be at the end of a lengthy cul-de-sac and generate additional traffic and access problems.</p> <p><u>Mr and Mrs Callaghan</u> Comment that the approach to the site via Brett Avenue and Ramsey Road is inadequate for the volume of traffic that would be generated by the proposal. The access roads are not gritted in the winter and the gradients make them hazardous. An alternative access route should be pursued.</p> |

| Item No | Page | Summary |
|---------|---------|--|
| 3 | 18 - 20 | <u>Errata</u> An additional page 18 has appeared in error. |
| 4 | 21 - 23 | <u>Mr and Mrs Clark</u> Express concern that windows in the bedroom extension will overlook their kitchen and utility room windows and outside sitting area. |
| 5 | 24 - 26 | <u>Mr Cunningham</u> Raises no objection to the proposed ground floor extension but considers the first floor extension would have an impact upon the outlook from his dining and living rooms. |
| 6 | 27 - 29 | <u>Mr Cunningham</u> Raises no objection to the proposed ground floor extension but considers the first floor extension would have an impact upon the outlook from his dining and living rooms. |

5 May 2009