

Appendix B

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project M02-18-Laxfield – New Bus Stops

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	Provide new bus stops to directly serve the development 3079/15 (12 dwellings)
Delivery /timescales	Within 6 months of funding of the project
Necessary other approvals	Not required
Public or private land	Public land – existing highways land
State aid details if any	n/a
Details of future funding maintenance	SCC to maintain

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL 123 list	Yes – provision of passenger transport (enhancements)
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	S106 funds for bus stops would be held by SCC and the District Council is not aware if all bus stop funding via s106 has been spent in the Laxfield area
Is Bid complete	No – no evidence of community support has been provided
Has information be verified	No – it is not possible to verify costs
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	The need for new bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to planning permission 3079/15.

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning	No

permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Promotes the use of environmentally sustainable transport
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	No: the need for new bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to planning permission 3079/15.
Value for money (VFM)	Not known -SCC have a preferred supplier for highways works and it is assumed that the supplier has
Clear community benefits	Yes - project provides 2 new bus stops which are DDA compliant
Community support	No
Deliverability ("oven ready" schemes)	Delivery within 6 months from funding being confirmed
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes
Timeliness	Yes
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	No other funding sought (eg Neighbourhood CIL)
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	The kerbs, surfacing and poles are part of the highway so regular maintenance (such as resurfacing) would be done as part of the roads/footways that they are located on. Reactive works through the Suffolk Highways control hub (who deal with damage reports). Where fitted, stop flags, shelters, real time screens and timetable cases would come under SCC Passenger Transport to maintain and keep the contents up to date.
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	No

CONCLUSIONS

- This bid for 2 new bus stops at Laxfield received full support of the Parish Council and serves development whilst promoting the use of sustainable transport.

RECOMMENDATION

- Delegated decision taken on 20 August 2018. Decision for Cabinet to note.

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project M04-18 – Stowmarket Finborough Road – Bus Stop Enhancements

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	Enhancement of 2 existing bus stops on Finborough Road in Stowmarket.
Delivery /timescales	Within 6 months of funding of the project
Necessary other approvals	Not required
Public or private land	Public land – existing highways land
State aid details if any	n/a
Details of future funding maintenance	SCC to maintain

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL 123 list	Yes – provision of passenger transport (enhancements)
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	S106 funds for bus stops are held by SCC and the District Council is not aware if all bus stop funding via s106 has been spent in the Stowmarket area

Is Bid complete	No – no evidence of community support has been provided
Has information be verified	No – it is not possible to verify costs
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No, although Stowmarket has seen a large amount of new development in recent years. The nearest development is 0958/16 which is for 22 dwellings and the need for new bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to this planning permission.

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Promotes the use of environmentally sustainable transport
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	No: the nearest development is 0958/16 which is for 22 dwellings and the need for new bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to this planning permission.
Value for money (VFM)	Not known -SCC have a preferred supplier for highways works and it is assumed that the supplier has been selected on a VFM basis.
Clear community benefits	Yes: the project provides for the enhancements of 2 bus stops in order to make them DDA compliant
Community support	None identified, and no consultation responses received at point of writing
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Delivery within 6 months from funding being confirmed
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes
Timeliness	Yes
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through	No other funding sought (eg Neighbourhood CIL)

collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	The kerbs, surfacing and poles are part of the highway so regular maintenance (such as resurfacing) would be done as part of the roads/footways that they are located on. Reactive works through the Suffolk Highways control hub (who deal with damage reports). Where fitted, stop flags, shelters, real time screens and timetable cases would come under SCC Passenger Transport to maintain and keep the contents up to date.
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	No

CONCLUSIONS

- This bid for enhancement to 2 existing bus stops at Finborough Road, and encourages the use of sustainable transport.

RECOMMENDATION

- Delegated decision taken on 20 August 2018. Decision for Cabinet to note
-

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project M05-18 – Stowmarket Mortimer Road- Enhancements to existing Bus Stops

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	Enhancements to the existing bus stops (south and northbound) which directly serve the development (1709/16). Currently the bus stops are grass verges. Enhancements would make the bus stops DDA compliant and provide RTPi Screens.
Delivery /timescales	Within 6 months of funding of the project
Necessary other approvals	Not required
Public or private land	Public land – existing highways land
State aid details if any	n/a
Details of future funding maintenance	SCC to maintain

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL 123 list	Yes – provision of passenger transport (enhancements)
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	S106 funds for bus stops are held by SCC and the District Council is not aware if all bus stop funding via s106 has been spent in the Stowmarket area
Is Bid complete	No – no evidence of community support has been provided
Has information be verified	No – it is not possible to verify costs
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No, although Stowmarket has seen a large amount of new development in recent years. The nearest development is 1709/16 which is for 89 dwellings and the need for new bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to this planning permission.

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No, although Stowmarket has seen a large amount of new development in recent years. The nearest development is 1709/16 which is for 89 dwellings and the need for new or enhanced bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to this planning permission.
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Promotes the use of environmentally sustainable transport
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	No: the nearest new development is 1709/16 which is for 89 dwellings and the need for new bus stops (via CIL) was not included in the County Councils consultation response in relation to this planning permission.
Value for money VFM)	Not known -SCC have a preferred supplier for highways works and it is assumed that the supplier has been selected on a VFM basis
Clear community benefits	Yes: the project provides for the enhancements of 2 bus stops in order to make them DDA compliant
Community support	Support has been expressed by the Ward Member for Stowmarket North
Deliverability ("oven ready" schemes)	Within 6 months of funding of the project
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes
Timeliness	Within 6 months of funding of the project
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	No other funding sought (eg Neighbourhood CIL)
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the	The kerbs, surfacing and poles are part of the highway so regular maintenance (such as resurfacing) would be done as part of the roads/footways that they are located on. Reactive works through the Suffolk Highways control hub (who deal with damage reports). Where fitted,

infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	stop flags, shelters, real time screens and timetable cases would come under SCC Passenger Transport to maintain and keep the contents up to date.
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	No

CONCLUSIONS

- This bid for enhancement to 2 existing bus stops at Mortimer Road, Stowmarket and promotes the use of sustainable transport.

RECOMMENDATION

- Recommended to Cabinet to approve this CIL Bid for £35,000.

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project reference M08-18 Botesdale Health Centre – Increase in capacity and extension to provide palliative care

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	Botesdale Health Centre: Extension and reconfiguration to enable practice Service Development incorporating palliative care. For a number of years Botesdale has worked with local practices Woolpit & Stanton under the grouping of Blackbourne in an extensive rural area covering 30,000 patients. This standalone project is directed towards services, particularly Palliative Care for the local community. By offering local access to services and support from the local Hospice and additional specialist led outreach clinics this will help patients to manage their conditions more effectively. This will bring care to the community and help reduce avoidable unplanned admissions
Delivery /timescales	26 week project from initial funding agreement to completion of project

Necessary other approvals	Building Control Application has been logged 24 July 2018
Public or private land	Private - Owned by GP partners
State aid details if any	Below threshold
Details of future funding maintenance	By the practice as per current arrangements.

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL 123 list	Yes – Provision of Health Facilities
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	No – none have been secured in the past for this project
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No – but will provide services across Mid Suffolk and wider

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No – but will provide services across Mid Suffolk and wider
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Yes – health is a key objective
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	Yes
Value for money	Yes CIL is last piece of funding jigsaw
Clear community benefits	Yes – This project will bring care to the community

Community support	Yes County Divisional and Ward Member support
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes
Timeliness	Yes
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	Yes
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	Yes
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	No

CONCLUSIONS

- This project will provide essential adult hospice and health services across a wide catchment area, The Bid is collaborative in nature with significantly higher sums of money being provided by others. It represents value for money and is supported by the community.

RECOMMENDATION

- Recommended to Cabinet to approve this CIL Bid for £98,739.74
-

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project reference M11-18- Stowupland Church Road – Development of Stowupland Sports and Social Club and shower facilities

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	Club has received lots of comments from groups that use the facilities that say they need improvements
Delivery /timescales	Can commence as soon as funding is secured
Necessary other approvals	Possibly Building Control will be required but all internal works
Public or private land	Public – Village Hall
State aid details if any	n/a
Details of future funding maintenance	Membership fees should cover maintenance

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL 123 list	Yes – community facilities
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	Partial - £1267.24 available
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No but Stowupland is going to have large scale growth over next 5 years

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning	No

permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Yes – Community benefit, encouragement of healthy and active lifestyles
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	no
Value for money	Three quotes provided
Clear community benefits	Yes – improved sports facilities
Community support	Sports clubs, MSDC ward member, parish council
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes – see above
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes – collaborative funding has reduced CIL ask
Timeliness	Yes – expected to be completed within a month
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	Yes – CIL, s106, Capital Grants
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes – better facilities encourage growth
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	yes
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	no

CONCLUSIONS

- This Bid is collaborative in nature with other funding sources contributing. It is an affordable over ready scheme with community support where growth in terms of new housing development is taking place.

RECOMMENDATION

- **For Grant funding and s106 purposes only the Bid has been assessed with M12-18 and Community Grant and s106 offered. Delegated decision taken on this project for CIL funding of £3,442.43 on 20th August. Cabinet to note**

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project M12-18 - internal and external improvements to the Village hall

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	Not having sound and vision equipment is reducing the attractiveness of the hall to hirers
Delivery /timescales	1 -2 months
Necessary other approvals	Have liaised with Building Control
Public or private land	Public – Village Hall
State aid details if any	n/a
Details of future funding maintenance	Funded by Village Hall Management Committee

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL 123 list	Yes – Community Facilities
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	Partial payment - £1267.24 available
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	3 quotes obtained, Grants Team criteria met

Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	no
--	----

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	no
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Yes – Community benefit, provision of village hall facilities
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	no
Value for money	Three quotes provided
Clear community benefits	Yes – improved village hall facilities
Community support	Existing Clubs/hirers, MSDC ward member, parish council
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes – see above
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes – collaborative funding has reduced CIL ask
Timeliness	Yes – expected to be completed within 2 months
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	Yes – CIL, s106, Capital Grants
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes – better facilities encourage growth
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for	yes

ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	No

CONCLUSIONS

- This Bid is collaborative in nature with other funding sources contributing. It is an affordable oven ready scheme with community support where growth in terms of new housing development is taking place.

RECOMMENDATION

- **For Grant funding and s106 purposes only this Bid has been assessed together with M11-18 and Community Grant and s106 offered of £9,767.24. Delegated decision taken on this project for CIL funding of £9,797.67 on 20th August. Cabinet to note**
-