

Q1: Park area improvements importance indicator					Q2: Café features importance indicator						
71 complete survey responses this section					71 complete survey responses this section						
		Higher Importance									
		No. Respondents Scoring 1-3									
		New café with indoor & outdoor seating	New toilets, including enhanced accessibility features	Improved play areas and equipment (for all age groups)		Sightlines from seating to play area	Integrated/ same location toilet facilities	Design aesthetics (sustainable materials, architecture, lighting etc.)	Ability to be seated both indoors and outdoors (including for wheelchair and buggy users)	Setting – e.g. biodiversity planting to embed the facility into the park	Security measures and installations (e.g. CCTV)
					Score 1 & 2 combined	33	37	14	24	17	17
	Score 1-3	24	44	31		46%	52%	20%	34%	24%	24%
	% of respondents	34%	62%	44%	Lower Importance						
					Score 5-6 combined	21	17	23	12	36	33
						30%	24%	32%	17%	51%	46%
		Lower Importance									
		No. Respondents Scoring 8-10									
		Improved routeways around the park, including seating	Biodiversity support (e.g. planting, bug hunt)	Space to support park events (e.g. hard-standing)							
	Score 8-10	29	29	40							
	% of respondents	41%	41%	56%							
		Medium Importance									
		New park entrance with accessibility ramps	Improved security measures	Improving pedestrian and cycle access to the park	Sensory garden and improved space for socialising and wellbeing		Accessible routes for wheelchair users and buggies/prams	Surfaces treatment	Shorter route options	Bench/seating stops along routes	Attractions and activities along the routes – e.g. sensory garden, formal garden views
					Higher (1-2 combined)	53	21	10	24	33	
					% of respondents	75%	30%	14%	34%	46%	
					Lower (4-5 combined)	9	38	44	22	29	
					% of respondents	13%	54%	62%	31%	41%	
					Medium (3)	9	12	17	25	9	
					% of respondents	13%	17%	24%	35%	13%	
	Score 1-3	24	17	22							19
	% of respondents	34%	24%	31%							27%
					Note: Not all respondents fully completed all questions on survey form – some only completed scoring others made narrative comments only						

Locality of Resp.	No.	%
SUDBURY	33	35%
CORNARD	34	37%
GLEMSFORD	3	3%
HADLEIGH	2	2%
OUT OF AREA	6	6%
NOT DISCLOSED	15	16%
	93	100%

Age of Resp.	No.	%
Under-18	1	1%
18-24	5	5%
25-30	7	8%
31-45	23	25%
46-65	27	29%
66-75	11	12%
Over-75	8	9%
NOT DISCLOSED	11	12%
		100%

Will an improved and better connected/fully accessible park entrance increase park use for the local community and visitors from outside of the town?		
Yes	53	74%
No	9	13%
Not Sure	10	14%
	72	100%

Would improvements increase frequency/duration of your visit		
Yes	51	71%
No	8	11%
Not Sure	13	18%
	72	100%

How often do you visit		
Daily	4	5.6%
Weekly	26	36.1%
Monthly	22	30.6%
Occassional	20	27.8%
	72	100%