

Brantham Regeneration Area

(Main Modification 25)

East Bergholt Parish Council response to Inspector's Questions

1. Unable to respond as unaware of what evidence has been supplied
2. Unable to respond as constraints are unknown to East Bergholt Parish Council
3. East Bergholt Parish Council considers that given the potential scale of the development it should be included in the table of housing developments in Policy CS2. It is a large site that will deliver a high level of housing in the district that will support the anticipated jobs that are hoped will emerge in the area. If there is confidence that this site can deliver with the extent of development it makes sound planning sense to include it.
4. It relieves the pressure for greenfield development in other parts of the district. Other core and hinterland villages will not have to identify the quantity of developable areas, a lower level will be easier and more sustainable for incremental organic growth.
5. The location of the proposed development would assist with creating greater cohesion with the main settlement of Brantham, providing opportunity for growth and development.
6. .In order to bring this derelict brownfield site forward it is considered that the loss of greenfield land is essential to allow the contaminated brownfield site to be cleaned and developed.
7. East Bergholt Parish Council have consistently maintained that the designation for Brantham is wrong and that the methodology in identifying this is fundamentally flawed and it should be a core village given the facilities that it has.
8. More information is needed to to make a qualified comment.
9. No because only those who have had previous involvement in the site may be aware. Further consultation should be undertaken?... Risk is if pursue this it could result in further objection, but democratic.