Sustainability Appraisal Section 4: Spatial Strategy



Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	F	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	itors									
Health	Health facilities	Most development concentrated near larger centres of population and higher levels of provision.	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	Increased population may help services improve as investment follows the population growth.
	Death rates	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Likely to be the same as now.
	Healthy lifestyles	Should allow more people to walk or cycle to work or school or to other facilities.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	More people will be near facilities so they can make the healthier choices more easily.
Education	Improve Qualifications	Most people will have access to a local school	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This depends on many other factors.
	Reduce fear of crime	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	More development may make people feel unsure about their neighbourhood.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	More people should be able to access local services	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	More people will live nearer a greater variety of important services.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	More people will live near the higher order shopping centres in the district.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The increased population in the larger centres will help sustain the shops and keep them available for more people.
	Access child care	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There are likely to be more childcare in the larger settlements but they are in high demand and can be expensive. Potentially positive though.
Employment	Unemployment	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Job opportunities	Allocated sites being developed should create more jobs.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Positive, development in towns and larger villages could offer better job opportunities
	Improve earnings	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	More job opportunities may increase earnings.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	New housing will also provide affordable housing and lead to a reduction in homelessness	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Larger schemes and exception sites should provide more affordable housing.
	Provide enough housing	Provided housing is built more housing should be provided, subject to market conditions.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This option provides for new allocations of housing across the district.
	Increase range and affordability	From the two points above there should be a greater rang of housing and affordability.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	There should be an increased range of housing as well as more affordable housing.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Uncertain linkage.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	More housing should lead to the improvement of facilities and more housing for people, but this will also mean the loss of countryside which people value. Some villages will change character which people will object to. Development is often resisted.
	Increase green space	This is possible but will depend on other policies being implemented and is not guaranteed.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There would be potential for significant green space in larger developments.
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to see a direct linkage but proposals will be consulted on.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Some people will object to new development while others will be pleased it is taking place so there are likely to be people who are excluded.
	Involvement in volunteer activities	With larger population centres there should be greater opportunity to get involved in voluntary activities.	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be more groups for people to join.
	Improve ethnic relations	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	If people from all backgrounds are satisfied with there are then this should improve relations generally.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	New development is concentrated where more are provided.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Potentially positive, concentration of development may encourage access.
There are 11 pe	oraisal against social objective assessments but also 11 where the linka	ectives is often difficult to see a direct ges are uncertain and 3 with no								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	There should be a neutral effect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	New development should have a neutral effect by controlling any adverse impact and improving areas where necessary.
	Quality of air	There is other legislation controlling this and linkages are complex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	It is difficult to see a direct linkage.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient but is likely to be similar to other options.
	Loss agricultural land	There will be a loss of agricultural land.	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient and is likely to be similar to other options. It is accepted that there will be a loss of agricultural land to provide new housing and other development.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	Difficult to see a direct link but this will depend on the construction method used.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	Difficult to see a direct linkage	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New housing should be more efficient, but there will be more people and it will need the existing housing stock to use water more sustainably.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.
	Increase recycling	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Difficult to assess. Potentially positive	?	?	+	?	?	?	?	In the long term people should be able and willing to make more sustainable choices with this strategy because employment and housing are closer together in more sustainable communities.
	Reduce need for local travel	Many people should be able to access more facilities locally	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Some concentration may reduce the need to travel.
	Increase car journeys	The new pattern of development should help improve the situation, by reducing the need to travel.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a better opportunity to make sustainable choices.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This will depend on many other factors.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The existing stock of buildings and industry will dictate this largely as well as modes of travel so the new settlement pattern will only have a marginal effect. But this should be beneficial.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible but will depend on other policies being implemented.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Potential for renewable schemes in larger urban developments but limited in smaller villages.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	This will depend on careful design not just general location of new development.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New development can increase the risk of flooding but this can be avoided by sound design an understanding the risks in the district.
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement. Should have no impact they are protected.
,	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to the Biodiversity Action Plan but will depend on each site.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Farmland will be lost.	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	There will be loss of farmland and therefore habitats for farmland birds as well as disturbance.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	Difficult to say.	?	?	?	?	?	0	?	There are some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis. The sites are protected and so should not be damaged.
	Protect designated archaeological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?`	0	?	There are some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis. The sites are protected and so should not be damaged.
	Protect designated geological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There a few sites in this district and so it is unlikely to affect them. The sites are protected and so should not be damaged.
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Part of the process of site selecting is to try and use underutilised sites first.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There has already been extensive consideration of sites in the district for alternative development such as SHLAA.
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	New development offers the opportunity for improvement.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New housing and other development should be well designed and landscaped. But will inevitably impact especially in villages and rural areas.
There are 5 pos	oraisal against environmentative scores with just 2 or direct link and 6 where	negative scores. There are 12								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	New development will improve the chances of business improvement	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will help as well as linkages with existing sites.
	Promote growth in key sectors	The general improvement in the economic opportunities will help specific sectors.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Such as tourism and IT based businesses.
	Economic performance	With new housing and employment sites there should be an improvement in conditions	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	The combination of new housing for al sections of the community and a range of new employment site and opportunities should help create conditions to improve economic performance.
	Rural diversification	Difficult to assess but in certain areas near to larger villages there will be chances for rural diversification.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will help ensure opportunities for economic development as well as housing to cater for al sections of the community.
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	New sites will assist with this	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	A broad spread of developments may attract employment.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects			_		Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	Increased population in towns may help improve the situation	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	With new development concentrated around and in the towns should help the towns shops with potential to increase trade.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Opportunities to work locally will be maintained especially in towns.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	People living and working in the same town or village we reduce the need to commute. Increased local jobs may reduce the need to commute
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Mixed and balanced communities will improve people's chances to access work locally.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be increased local jobs in towns and possibl larger villages.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	This should be the case with local new sites and companies	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Companies may able to meet needs and demand locally wit other firms in the district.
	Increase freight transported	Uncertain about this	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Increase consumption of local goods	This should be possible provided they are available	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should be possible provided local firms are sensitive t the local market.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
	Encourage inward investment	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
Summary of app	Make land available for business development	There will be new allocations	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This has been part of the planning process

Core Strate	gy Issu	es and Op	tions: Sp	oatial Strategy	Opti	on 1:	Busi	ness	as U	sual			
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment	
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Conclusions:													
Score		++ Major pos	itive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive		Major	negati	ive 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		0		29		2				0		15	18
Recommendati	ons: If thi	s option is ch	osen then t	he identified negati	ive effe	ects wil	I have	to be d	ealt w	ith.			
Key to Ap	opraisal of	Effects											
Will the policy m		tive or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative I		? negative	C) Neutra	al effec	et ?	⁹ Uncer	rtain effect	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	Development concentrated near larger centres of population and higher levels of provision.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Increased population should help services improve as investment follows the population growth, but only in the main towns of the district, this puts more rural facilities under threat.
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Should allow more people to walk or cycle to work or school or to other facilities.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	More people will be near facilities so they can make the healthier choices more easily, but there may be fewer rural facilities.
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This depends on many other factors. However urban crime is more common so may well increase?
	Reduce fear of crime	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	However urban crime is more common so may well increase fear of crime?
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Potentially positive for towns	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Better access to more jobs in towns, may increase poverty in villages.
Access key services	Access key local services	More people should be able to access local services	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	More people will live nearer a greater variety of important services in the main towns.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	More people will live near the higher order shopping centres in the district.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	The increased population in the larger centres will help sustain the shops and keep them available for more people particularly those in the towns.
	Access child care	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There are likely to be more childcare providers in the larger settlements but they are in high demand and can be expensive.
Employment	Unemployment	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Job opportunities	Difficult to see a direct link	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There should be more jobs as new employment land will be allocated.
	Improve earnings	Difficult to see a direct link	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Might attract business investment leading to higher earnings.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	New housing will also provide affordable housing and lead to a reduction in homelessness	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Larger schemes and exception sites in rural areas should provide more affordable housing.
	Provide enough housing	Provided housing is built more housing should be provided, subject to market conditions.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This option provides for new allocations of housing.
	Increase range and affordability	From the two points above there should be a greater range of housing and affordability.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Provided the market develops housing and other funding also provides affordable housing, then there should be an increased range of housing as well as more affordable housing.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Uncertain linkage.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	More housing should lead to the improvement of facilities and more housing for people, but this will also mean the loss of countryside which people value particularly around the towns. More villages will retain their character which people will like but more rural facilities will be under threat of closure.
	Increase green space	This is possible but will depend on other policies being implemented and is not guaranteed.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Developers often object to providing greenspace. There would be potential for significant green space in larger developments
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to see a direct linkage but proposals will be consulted on.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Some people will object to new development while others w be pleased it is taking place, there are likely to be people wh are excluded.
	Involvement in volunteer activities	With larger population centres there should be greater opportunity to get involved in voluntary activities.	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be more groups for people to join. In rural area it may be more difficult to retain such groups.
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	New development is concentrated where more are provided.	+	+	++	+	+	?	+	Most facilities are located in or near main centres.
There are 11 pe		ectives is often difficult to see a direct ges are uncertain and 5 with no								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	There should be a neutral effect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	New development should have a neutral effect by controlling any adverse impact and improving areas where necessary.
	Quality of air	There is other legislation controlling this and linkages are complex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Higher densities and increased car usage could reduce air quality, but people will have better chances to use the bus or cycle or walk.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient but is likely to be similar to other options.
	Loss agricultural land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient and is likely to be similar to other options. It is accepted that there will be a loss of agricultural land to provide new housing.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	Difficult to see a direct link but this will depend on the construction method used.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	Difficult to see a direct linkage	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New housing should be more efficient, but there will be more people and it will need the existing housing stock to use water more sustainably.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.

Core Strate	gy Issues and Op	tions: Spatial Strategy	Opti	on 2:	Maxi	mum	Urba	an Co	ncen	tration
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	There are other measures which will affect this. Concentration of new development in fewer locations should make recycling easier.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Difficult to assess	•	-	+?	?	?	?	?	In the long term people should be able and willing to make more sustainable choices with this strategy. If people insist on using their cars then it could get worse, but if more sustainable options are available and they are used then volumes should decline. More public transport options should be available plus options to walk or cycle and there may be potential for parking or traffic management.
	Reduce need for local travel	People should be able to access more facilities locally	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	These journeys should be by more sustainable means.
	Increase car journeys	The new pattern of development should help improve the situation	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a better opportunity to make sustainable choices in the towns but it is likely that rural services will decline further making the use of the car more likely for villages.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This will depend on many other factors.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The existing stock of buildings and industry will dictate this largely as well as modes of travel so the new settlement pattern will only have a marginal effect. But this should be beneficial.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible but will depend on other policies being implemented.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Potential for local energy generation schemes to be built into developments.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	This will depend on careful design not just general location of new development.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New development can increase the risk of flooding but this can be avoided by sound design and understanding the risks in the district.
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Farmland will be lost.	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	There will be some loss of farmland and therefore habitats for farmland birds.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	The sites will be protected	?	?	?	?	?	0	?	There may be some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis and some may be enhanced.
	Protect designated archaeological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?`	0	?	There may be some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis and if they are worthy of protection then they will be protected.
	Protect designated geological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There a few sites in this district and so it is unlikely to affect them.
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Part of the process of site selecting is to try and use underutilised sites first.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There has already been extensive consideration of sites in the district for alternative development such as SHLAA.
	Improve landscape/ townscape	New development offers the opportunity for improvement.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New housing and other development should be well designed and landscaped; there will be less pressure on the countryside for development in most of the district. However it may have a significant impact on setting of towns.
There are 6 pos	oraisal against environme sitive scores with just 2 o direct link and 5 where	negative scores. There are 10								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	New development will improve the chances of business improvement	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will help as well as linkages with existing sites.
	Promote growth in key sectors	The general improvement in the economic opportunities will help specific sectors.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	
	Economic performance	New housing and employment sites should stimulate growth.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	The combination of new housing for al sections of the community and a range of new employment site and opportunities should help create conditions to improve economic performance.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Rural diversification	It is likely to be discouraged in this option.	_	_	_	_	?	?	_	Development will be town focussed rather than rural
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	New sites will assist with this	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Many new developments will be a mix of housing and employment; the focus will now be on the towns.
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	Increased population will help improve the situation	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	With new development concentrated around and in the towns it should help the towns' shops with potential to increase trade.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	There will be increase opportunities to work locally and therefore increase the chance to work locally.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	People living and working in the same town or village will reduce the need to commute, but there will be fewer rural opportunities and fewer new village houses.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Mixed and balanced communities will improve people's chances to access work locally.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be increased local jobs, this will happen in the towns, but the opposite can be said for the rural areas.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	This should be the case with local new sites and companies	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should improve local economic effectiveness with new companies able to meet needs and demand locally.
	Increase freight transported	Uncertain about this	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Increase consumption of local goods	This should be possible provided they are available	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should be possible provided local firms are sensitive to the local market.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
	Encourage inward investment	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		F	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justific	ation for assessment	
		Nature of Effect (que where possible)	uantify	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
	Make land available for business development	There will be new al	locations	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This ha	s been part of the plan	ning process
There are 12 p	praisal against economic positive scores in this												
outcome. Conclusions: Timprove. To a	There are several posi	tive scores to this o	ption but to	be a	ctions	and of	ther po	licies	to ens	ure tha	at develo	pment is as sustaina	cted that cultural facilities able as possible. There ar
outcome. Conclusions: T improve. To a identified negat	There are several positive or the positive or tive outcomes which w	tive scores to this o tcomes there will al ill have to be address	ption but so need to sed, rural c	be a	ctions fication	and of n, loss	ther po	olicies nland b	to ens birds ar	ure tha	at develo tainable i	pment is as sustaina	
outcome. Conclusions: Timprove. To a	There are several posichieve the positive or	tive scores to this o tcomes there will al ill have to be address	ption but so need to sed, rural c	be a	ctions fication	and of	ther po	olicies nland k	to ens	ure tha	at develo tainable i	pment is as sustainause of water.	able as possible. There a

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ntors									
Health	Health facilities	Development less concentrated near larger centres of population and higher levels of provision.	-	_	+	?	?	?	_	Increased population should help services improve as investment follows the population growth but the population will be widely dispersed. More dispersed population may make provision more difficult and expensive.
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Should allow more people to walk or cycle to work or school or to other facilities.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	It is possible that more people will be near facilities so they can make the healthier choices more easily, but this is not certain. Spread of development may place people nearer or further away from services
Education	Improve Qualifications	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Uncertain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This depends on many other factors. May reduce crime in urban areas and increase crime in rural areas.
	Reduce fear of crime	Uncertain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	See above
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	More people could be able to access local services	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Possibly more people will live nearer a greater variety of services in the larger villages but fewer in the towns, but this is far from certain.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	More people could live near the higher order shopping centres in the district.	?	?	+	+	?	?	+	The increased population in the lager centres will help sustain the shops and keep them available for more people. This effect is not as strong as other options.
	Access child care	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are likely to be more childcare facilities in the larger settlements but they are in high demand and can be expensive.
Employment	Unemployment	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Job opportunities	Potentially positive	?	?+	+?	?	?	?	?+	More people dispersed near towns to access jobs as well as some larger villages.
	Improve earnings	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	New housing will also provide affordable housing and lead to a reduction in homelessness	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Larger schemes and exception sites should provide more affordable housing. The option will deliver the required housing.
	Provide enough housing		+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This option provides for new allocations of housing.
	Increase range and affordability	From the two points above there could be a greater range of housing and affordability.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Provided the market develops housing and other funding also provides affordable housing then there should be an increased range of housing as well as more affordable housing, but possibly less than other options.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Uncertain. Could potentially be negative.	?	_	?	?	?	?	?	More housing should lead to the improvement of facilities and more housing for people, but this will also mean the loss o countryside which people value. Some villages will change character which people will object to. Development is usually resisted in villages.
	Increase green space	This is possible but will depend on other policies being implemented and is not guaranteed.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Potential for green space in towns linked to large developments.
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to see a direct linkage but proposals will be consulted on.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Some people will object to new development while others will be pleased it is taking place so there are likely to be people who are excluded.
	Involvement in volunteer activities	With larger population centres there should be greater opportunity to get involved in voluntary activities.	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be more groups for people to join.
	Improve ethnic relations	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	If people from all backgrounds are satisfied with where they live then this should improve relations generally.
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Neutral.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This is likely to be less marked than other options but some villages could have more facilities or active groups. Some areas will gain and others loose.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ed Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
There are 5 posiso there are also but likely positive	7 where the linkages are results and 10 with no	often difficult to see a direct link re uncertain, one with uncertain								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	There should be a neutral effect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	New development should have a neutral effect by controlling any adverse impact and improving areas where necessary.
	Quality of air	There is other legislation controlling this and linkages are complex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	It is difficult to see a direct linkage.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient and is likely to be similar to other options.
	Loss agricultural land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient but is likely to be similar to other options. It is accepted that there will be a loss of agricultural land to provide new housing.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	Difficult to see a direct link but this will depend on the construction methods used.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	Difficult to see a direct linkage	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New housing should be more efficient, but there will be more people and it will need the existing housing stock to use water more sustainably.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.
	Increase recycling	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Difficult to assess	?	?	+	?	?	?	?	In the long term people should be able and willing to make more sustainable choices with this strategy, but in the interim it is possible that traffic volumes in urban areas may increase.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ed Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce need for local travel	People should be able to access more facilities locally	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	In the long term there should be a improved chances of access by more sustainable means, due to broader spread of development.
	Increase car journeys	The new pattern of development should help improve the situation	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	There should be a better opportunity to make sustainable choices in the future.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This will depend on many other factors.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The existing stock of buildings and industry will dictate this largely as well as modes of travel so the new settlement pattern will only have a marginal effect. But this should be beneficial.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible but will depend on other policies being implemented.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Potential for renewable energy schemes on lager developments but also possibly on smaller developments.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	This will depend on careful design not just general location of new development.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New development can increase the risk of flooding but this can be avoided by sound design an understanding the risks in the district.
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement.
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement but this will depend on each particular site.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Farmland will be lost.	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	There will be loss of farmland and therefore habitats for farmland birds.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	Difficult to say.	?	?	?	?	?	0	?	There are some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis.
	Protect designated archaeological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?`	0	?	There are some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated geological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There a few sites in this district and so it is unlikely to affect them.
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Part of the process of site selecting is to try and use underutilised sites first.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There has already been extensive consideration of sites in the district for alternative development such as SHLAA.
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	New development offers the opportunity for improvement.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	New housing and other development should be well designed and landscaped. Impact depends on the quality but may affect more sensitive areas more.
There are 4 pos	o direct link and 6 where	negative scores. There are 12								
Economic growth	Improve business development	New development will improve the chances of business improvement	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will help as well as linkages with existing sites.
	Promote growth in key sectors	The general improvement in the economic opportunities will help specific sectors.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	For example IT and tourism.
	Economic performance	With new housing and employment sites there should be an improvement in economic performance.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	The combination of new housing for all sections of the community and a range of new employment sites and opportunities should help create conditions to improve economic performance.
	Rural diversification	Difficult to assess but in certain areas near to larger villages there will be chances for rural diversification.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will ensure opportunities fo economic development as well as housing to cater for al sections of the community. This strategy could help rura diversification better than other strategies.
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	New sites will assist with this	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Many new developments will be a mix of housing and employment.
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	Increased population will help improve the situation	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	With new development around and in the towns it should help the towns' shops with potential to increase trade. The towns will still act as higher order shopping centres for people. In rural areas.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	!	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	There will be increased opportunities to work locally and therefore increase the chance to work locally.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	People living and working in the same town or village wi reduce the need to commute. The broader spread of development may give opportunities for reducing local commuting.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Mixed and balanced communities will improve people's chances to access work locally.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be increased local jobs; there would be the potentia to secure growth in local jobs.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	This should be the case with a spread of local new sites and companies	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should improve local economic effectiveness with new companies able to meet needs and demand locally from the new employment sites. There is therefore the potential to meet demand for a service, goods or a product from a local source.
	Increase freight transported	Uncertain about this	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Increase consumption of local goods	This should be possible provided they are available	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should be possible provided local firms are sensitive to the local market. See above.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this. See above
	Encourage inward investment	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
	Make land available for business development oraisal against economic o	There will be new allocations, positive	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should be part of the planning process

Nature of Effect (quantify where possible) Nature of Effect (quan	bjective	-			ted Effe						Justification for assessment	
Score ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative 0 2 0 14 Recommendations: There is unlikely to be sufficient increase in population in rural areas to lead to a large increase in new facilities but existing of safeguarded. Score ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain e 0 14 Recommendations: There are 3 negative scores for this option which will require special measures to try and resolve, they cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and head of the cover sustainable use of water, loss of the cover sustainable use of water loss of t				Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Number 0 22 2 0 0 22 14 Recommendations: There are 3 negative scores for this option which will require special measures to try and resolve, they cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and h	enefit a wide i afeguarded.	rang of people. There	e is unlikely to be sufficient i		e in pop	oulation	n in rui	ral area	as to le	ead to	a large increase in new facilit	ties but existing ones m
here are 3 negative scores for this option which will require special measures to try and resolve, they cover sustainable use of water, loss of farmland birds and h						riogai				rricgati		
acilities.		••.	ption which will require speci	ial meas	ures to	try and	d resol	ve, the	y cove	r susta	inable use of water, loss of far	mland birds and health

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	F	Predict	ed Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators							1		
Health	Health facilities	Development near larger centres of population and higher levels of provision.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Increased population should help services improve in larger villages as investment follows the population growth this will be spread more thinly. It may mean that higher level services may not be provided in the local towns.
	Death rates	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Healthy lifestyles	Should allow more people to walk or cycle to work or school or to other facilities.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	More people will be near facilities so they can make the healthier choices more easily, this will now include some villages.
Education	Improve Qualifications	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This depends on many other factors. Less concentration on urban areas may reduce crime.
	Reduce fear of crime	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Would spread noise over a larger area.
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	More people should be able to access local services	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	More people will live near local services particularly in the larger villages.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Some people will live near the higher order shopping centres in the district.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The increased population will help sustain the shops and keep them available for more people. The towns will still provide higher order of services while the new rural development could help village shops.
	Access child care	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There are likely to be more childcare facilities in the larger settlements but they are in high demand and can be expensive.
Employment	Unemployment	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Job opportunities	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It may be difficult to balance the number of jobs in the key villages with the increased population because most jobs will still be in the towns.
	Improve earnings	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	New housing will also provide affordable housing and lead to a reduction in homelessness	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Larger schemes and exception sites should provide more affordable housing. This option may not provide as much affordable housing as other options as some of the housing developments may be small scale and potentially be under thresholds.
	Provide enough housing	Provided housing is built more housing should be provided, subject to market conditions.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This option provides for new allocations of housing.
	Increase range and affordability	From the two points above there should be a greater rang of housing and affordability.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Provided the market develops housing and other funding also provides affordable housing then there should be an increased range of housing as well as more affordable housing.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Uncertain linkage.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	More housing should lead to the improvement of facilities and more housing for people, but this will also mean the loss of countryside which people value. Many villages will change character which people will object to; but more villages will have new development so they could appear more vibrant.
	Increase green space	This is possible but will depend on other policies being implemented and is not guaranteed.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Potential for networks of green space allied to developments.
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to see a direct linkage but proposals will be consulted on.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Some people will object to new development while others will be pleased it is taking place so there are likely to be people who are excluded. It should be easier for people to feel par of their smaller communities and engage with decision making
	Involvement in volunteer activities	There should be an opportunity to get involved in voluntary activities.	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be more groups for people to join, but these are likely to be on a smaller scale than some of the options.
	Improve ethnic relations	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	If people from all backgrounds are satisfied with there are then this should improve relations generally.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	More development will be away from the main cultural centres in the towns		_	_	_	_	?	_	There may be less usage of the cultural facilities in the towns but perhaps more opportunities in villages.
There are 8 pos so there are als direct link. Ther	so 12 where the linkage e is only one negative so	often difficult to see a direct link es are uncertain and 3 with no								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	There should be a neutral effect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	New development should have a neutral effect by controlling any adverse impact and improving areas where necessary.
	Quality of air	There is other legislation controlling this and linkages are complex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	It is difficult to see a direct linkage.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient but is likely to be similar to other options.
	Loss agricultural land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient but is likely to be similar to other options. It is accepted that there will be a loss of agricultural land to provide new housing. This option is also likely to have an adverse effect on the viability of more farms as agricultural businesses.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	Difficult to see a direct link but this will depend on the construction method used.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	Difficult to see a direct linkage	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New housing should be more efficient, but there will be more people and it will need the existing housing stock to use water more sustainably.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this. It may increase collection costs.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Difficult to assess	?	?	+	?	?	?	?	In the long term people could be able and willing to make more sustainable choices with this strategy.
	Reduce need for local travel	People should be able to access more facilities locally	+	+	?	?	?	?	+	This access should be by more sustainable means. Counter to this more people are likely to have to use a car to get to higher level services in the towns.
	Increase car journeys	The new pattern of development may help improve the situation	?	?	+	?	?	?	?	There may be a better opportunity to make sustainable choices, but the car may be needed more to get to higher level services.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This will depend on many other factors.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The existing stock of buildings and industry will dictate this largely as well as modes of travel so the new settlement pattern will only have a marginal effect. But this should be beneficial.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible but will depend on other policies being implemented.	0	+	+	+	?	?	+	Potentially less benefit from large scale district heating systems etc.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	This will depend on careful design not just general location of new development. More potential for negative impact.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New development can increase the risk of flooding but this can be avoided by sound design an understanding the risks in the district. Spreading development may increase flood potential.
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement.
- ,	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Farmland will be lost.	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	There will be loss of farmland and therefore habitats for farmland birds as well as disturbance.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	Should have no impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis. Protected sites should not be developed.
	Protect designated archaeological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?`	0	?	There are some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis.
	Protect designated geological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There a few sites in this district and so it is unlikely to affect them.
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Part of the process of site selecting is to try and use underutilised sites first.	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	There has already been extensive consideration of sites in the district for alternative development such as SHLAA.
	Improve landscape/ townscape	New development offers the opportunity for improvement.	+	-	-	-	?	?	-	New housing and other development should be well designed and landscaped, but the rural character of the district would change with more development in rural areas which would have an adverse effect on the landscape. Development would need to be sensitively handled if it is not to cause harm.
There are 3 pos	oraisal against environmentive scores with just 3 or direct link and 8 where	negative scores. There are 11								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	New development will improve the chances of business improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	New employment allocations will help as well as linkages with existing sites.
	Promote growth in key sectors	The general improvement in the economic opportunities will help specific sectors.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	For example tourism, IT based business and agriculture related business.
	Economic performance	With new housing and employment sites the effect should be generally positive.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The combination of new housing for all sections of the community and a range of new employment sites and opportunities might help create conditions to improve economic performance.
	Rural diversification	Overall it should be positive there will be chances for rural diversification.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will ensure opportunities for economic development as well as housing to cater for all sections of the community.
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	Only relevant in larger villages	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Many new developments will be a mix of housing and employment.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	r	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	May be negative due to spread of population	0	-	-	-	?	?	-	Towns will continue to provide higher level services but more should be available in villages.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	There will be increased opportunities to work locally and therefore increase the chance to work locally.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	People living and working in the same town or village will reduce the need to commute. There will need to be more jobs in the larger villages.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Mixed and balanced communities will improve people's chances to access work locally. Potentially neutral.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There should be increased local jobs, but it would be difficult to have lager local service centres as the population would be spread. Spread of development may make little difference overall, though.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	This should be the case with local new sites and companies	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This could improve local economic effectiveness with new companies able to meet needs and demand locally.
	Increase freight transported	Uncertain about this	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Increase consumption of local goods	This should be possible provided they are available	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should be possible provided local firms are sensitive to the local market.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There could be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
	Encourage inward investment	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
	Make land available for business development oraisal against economic	There will be new allocations	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This has been part of the planning process

	Core Strategy Issues and Options: Spatial Strategy Option 4: Rural Development													
Core Strate	gy Issu	es and Op	tions: Տր	oatial Strategy	Opti	on 4:	Rura	I Dev	elop	ment				
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justifica	tion for assessment	
	where poss			Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Conclusions:														
Score		++ Major pos	positive + Minor positive - Minor negative Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect									? Uncertain effect		
Number		0 22				5	J			0			14	22
This approach w	vill focus de	evelopment in v	what have b	een regarded as uns	ustain	able loc	ations a	and so	the iss	sues rais	ed by tl	hat will hav	ve to be addressed.	
	nendations: Strategies will have to be developed to deal with the negative scores if this is the chosen option. There would also have to be very careful consideration how this option would be developed as it is contrary to current national guidance.													
Key to Ap	to Appraisal of Effects													
Will the policy m	policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?													

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	F	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators							_		
Health	Health facilities	Development concentrated in one settlement	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	New provision will have to be made in the new settlement and it will not be near the higher level services in the towns depending on requirements it may reduce future investment in towns.
	Death rates	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Healthy lifestyles	Should allow more people to walk or cycle to work or school or to other facilities.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	More people will be near facilities so they can make the healthier choices more easily. The settlement can be planned from the outset to promote this.
Education	Improve Qualifications	Uncertain	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Potentially positive	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	It can be planned from the outset to reduce crime
	Reduce fear of crime	Potentially positive	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	See above and this should help reduce the fear of crime, plus less development in towns.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	This could undermine services elsewhere	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	There would only be limited development elsewhere which could undermine services and there would only be limited services in the new settlement at least in the early stages. Key services would have to be planned in from the outset.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	More people will live near the higher order shopping centres in the district.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	The increased population in the lager centres will help sustain the shops and keep them available for more people. Local facilities may well prove attractive.
	Access child care	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There are likely to be more childcare in the lager settlements but they are in high demand and can be expensive and are unlikely to be in the new settlement initially. Could be planned in but would be difficult to implement.
Employment	Unemployment	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It could potentially be positive but would depend on the jobs available in the new settlement.
	Job opportunities	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There would be job opportunities in the new settlement but not necessarily to start with. See above.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve earnings	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	New housing will also provide affordable housing and lead to a reduction in homelessness	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Larger schemes and exception sites should provide more affordable housing. Affordable housing could be planned in from the start.
	Provide enough housing	Provided housing is built more housing should be provided, subject to market conditions.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This option provides for new allocations of housing. There will be a longer lead time for a new settlement before the first houses are built.
	Increase range and affordability	From the two points above there should be a greater range of housing and affordability.	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	Provided the market develops housing and other funding also provides affordable housing then there should be an increased range of housing as well as more affordable housing.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Uncertain linkage.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New housing would be concentrated in one location which would have a striking impact there but it would take pressure from other settlements. There is also a likelihood of 'New Town Blues' as people move into a place with limited facilities and landscaping. There is also likely to be strong objection to the new settlement but there will be less development rural areas elsewhere in the district.
	Increase green space	Potentially positive in the new settlement.	?	+	+	0	0	0	+	Significant potential for green space to be planned in from the outset
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to see a direct linkage but proposals will be consulted on.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Some people will object to new development while others will be pleased it is taking place so there are likely to be people who are excluded. It may be difficult to engage residents.
	Involvement in volunteer activities	For most places things will not change.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	The new development will not have many opportunities for voluntary work at the outset.
	Improve ethnic relations	Difficult to see a direct link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	If people from all backgrounds are satisfied with there are then this should improve relations generally.
In	Improve access to cultural facilities	It is likely that people in the new settlement will feel isolated initially.	-	_	_	?	?	?	_	Otherwise no change likely. New settlement may offer potential for cultural activities – location scale crucial.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
There are 8 pos link so there are direct link. In the	also 10 where the linkages case there are 3 negat	is often difficult to see a direct ges are uncertain and 3 with no								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	There should be a neutral effect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	New development should have a neutral effect by controlling any adverse impact and improving areas where necessary.
	Quality of air	There is other legislation controlling this and linkages are complex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	It is difficult to see a direct linkage.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be a significant loss of agricultural land in one location. There may be the advantage that farmland is lost in one location so the viability of fewer farms is affected overall.
	Loss agricultural land	It is not possible to do a detailed analysis for this but this option will not necessarily lead to a particular advantage over others.	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	There will be a loss of agricultural land but the option is not necessarily the most efficient but is likely to be similar to other options. It is accepted that there will be a loss of agricultural land to provide new housing. There may be the advantage that farmland is lost in one location so the viability of fewer farms is affected. It should have an adverse effect on the agricultural viability on the least number of farms.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	Possible to build in a sustainable way from the outset.	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	This could allow for the sustainable use of minerals.
	Sustainable use of water	Difficult to see a direct linkage	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New housing would be more efficient, but there will be more people and it will need the existing housing stock to use water more sustainably.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	Difficult to see a direct linkage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are other measures which will affect this.
	Increase recycling	New opportunities in the new settlement	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	Potential for recycling facilities to be built in from the start in the new development but it will take a while for them to have an effect.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Difficult to assess	?	-	+	?	?	?	?	In the long term people should be able and willing to make more sustainable choices with this strategy, but traffic in the vicinity of the new settlement will increase. Elsewhere it should not increase as the result of the new settlement.
	Reduce need for local travel	People should be able to access more facilities locally	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Local services should be built in the new settlement therefore reducing the need to travel
	Increase car journeys	The new pattern of development should help improve the situation	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a better opportunity to make sustainable choices, but this will depend on the size/location and levels of public transport provided.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This will depend on many other factors but it could be positive.	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	There may be the opportunity to build in an Eco Town style.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible but will depend on other policies being implemented.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Potential for significant local renewables eg. Wind, solar district heating and waste recycling.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	This will depend on careful design not just general location of new development.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	New development can increase the risk of flooding but this car be avoided by sound design an understanding the risks in the district.
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement.
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development will be designed to protect these sites and may contribute to there enhancement. Large scale development likely to impact on local BAP targets but will protect other areas.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Farmland will be lost.	_	_	-	?	?	?	_	There will be loss of farmland and therefore habitats fo farmland birds but will protect other areas. It could be neutral.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	Difficult to say.	?	?	?	?	?	0	?	There are likely to be some sites affected by the development but this has to be assessed on an individual basis.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?`	0	?	There may be some sites affected by the developments but this has to be assessed on an individual basis and if they are worthy of protection then they will be protected.
	Protect designated geological sites	Difficult to say	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There a few sites in this district and so it is unlikely to affect them.
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Part of the process of site selecting is to try and use underutilised sites first.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There has already been extensive consideration of sites in the district for alternative development such as SHLAA.
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	New development offers the opportunity for improvement.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New settlement must be well designed and landscaped. It would enable the land around more villages to be protected.
There are 8 pos	o direct link and 6 where	negative scores. There are 8								
Economic growth	Improve business development	New development will improve the chances of business improvement	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	New employment allocations will help as well as linkages with existing sites. New settlement must include locations for business, plus maximise opportunities for live/work and home working.
	Promote growth in key sectors	The general improvement in the economic opportunities will help specific sectors.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	For example IT, service industries and tourism
	Economic performance	With new housing and employment sites there should be an improvement in conditions	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	The combination of new housing for all sections of the community and a range of new employment sites and opportunities should help create conditions to improve economic performance. This may not be as beneficial when all the development is concentrated in one settlement unless large enough.
	Rural diversification	Difficult to assess but by concentrating development in one location this may adversely affect rural diversification elsewhere.	-	_	-	_	?	?	_	Development is concentrated in one location so this may divert development from elsewhere and not encourage a more general rural diversification.
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	New sites will assist with this	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	With the new development concentrated in one location existing towns and other parts of the district may not benefit from this.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	Increased population will help improve the situation	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	With new development concentrated in one new settlement the there will be a more limited benefit but the town centres will provide higher level services. The benefit may not be as high as other options.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	There should still be increased opportunities to work locally and therefore increase the chance to work locally. But the new settlement is unlikely to be completely sustainable.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	People living and working in the same town or village will reduce the need to commute but many people will still commute out from the new settlement. This will depend on the scale and mix of development and how many jobs will be in the settlement.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Mixed and balanced communities will improve people's chances to access work locally.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be increased local jobs
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	This should be the case with local new sites and companies	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should improve local economic effectiveness with new companies able to meet needs and demand locally. With increased numbers of local companies it would improve the chances of finding a local company to provide a service, goods or products and so reduce the need for them to be imported from a long way off.
	Increase freight transported	Uncertain about this	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
	Increase consumption of local goods	This should be possible provided they are available	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This should be possible provided local firms are sensitive to the local market.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this.
	Encourage inward investment	A variety of new sites and balanced communities help	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There should be a variety of employment sites and the workforce to achieve this. There could be potential to sell the new settlement as a flagship scheme to attract investment.

Core Strate	gy Issues and O	ptions: S	patial Strategy	Opti	ion 5:	New	Settl	eme	nt				
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective			Predic	ted Eff	ects			_		Justific	ation for assessment	
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)			Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
	Make land available for business development		be new allocations the settlement.	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	This wo	uld be part of the planni	ing process from the outset.
Summary of app There are 11 por results and one	oraisal against economiositive scores and one neutral	objectives negative sco	ore with 2 uncertain										
Conclusions:								_					
Score	++ Major po	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	tive		Majo	r negat	ive	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0		27		6	•			0	•		12	18
Recommendati	ons: There are more	negative sc	ores with this option	n whic	ch will h	ave to	be add	Iresse	d if this	is pre	ferred or	it could be combined	with parts of other options.
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects												
Will the policy m ++ Major positiv	ake a positive or negat e + Minor positi			•	e? negative	e () Neutra	al effe	ct '	? Unce	rtain effec	ct	

Spatial Strategy Options, Effects on the Towns and Rural Areas

	Option 1 Business as Usual				
Sustainability	Effect	Effect	Effect	Comment	
Objective	on the	on Key	on the		
	Towns	Service	Rural		
		Centres	Areas		
Social					
1. Improve health	+	+	+	There will still be good	
				access to doctors for all	
				areas under this option	
2.Maintain/improve	+	+	+	There should still be access	
levels of education				to a good network of schools	
3.Reduce crime	0	0	0		
and anti-social					
activity					
4.Reduce poverty	0	0	0		
and social					
exclusion					
5.Improve access	+	+	_	Minimising development in	
to key services				rural areas may result in	
				local services being run	
				down or lost	
6.Offer everybody	++	+	_	Minimising development in	
the opportunity for				rural areas may reduce	
employment				potential for local job	
				creation.	
7.Meet housing	+	+	+	There are a variety of	
requirements				allocations and policies to	
				deliver new housing.	
8.Improve	+	+	+	By creating mixed and	
community				balanced communities, there	

participation				should be a variety of facilities and opportunities for people to get involved in groups or organisations.
Environmental				
9.Water quality	0	0	0	
10.Air quality	0	0	0	
11.Soil resources and quality	-	-	-	Farm land will be lost
12.Use water and mineral resources efficiently	0	0	0	
13.To reduce waste	0	0	0	
14.To reduce the effects of traffic on the environment	+	+	-	People in rural areas will still need to travel for many higher level services
15.To reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	_	People in rural areas will still often need to commute by car.
16.To reduce vulnerability to climatic events	0	0	0	
17.Biodiversity and geodiversity	-	-	-	Farmland bird and other habitats may be lost.
18.Historical and archaeological conservation	0	0	0	
19. Landscape and townscape conservation.	0	-	-	In the long run the effect should be neutral but initially, there could be an adverse impact on the countryside and rural areas because landscaping would take time to grow.

Economic				
20.Sustainable	++	+	_	Minimising development in
levels of prosperity				rural areas may reduce
and economic				potential for local job
growth				creation.
21.To revitalise	+	?	0	
town centres				
22.Efficient	+	+	_	It is likely that car use will
patterns of				still be necessary in rural
movement				areas.
23.Indigenous and	+	+	?	Likely to lead to minimal
inward investment				investment in rural areas.

Option 2 Maximum Urban Concentration						
Sustainability	Effect	Effect on	Effect on	Comment		
Objective	on the	Key	the Rural			
	Towns	Service	Areas			
		Centres				
Social						
1. Improve health	+	+	-	Some rural health		
				services may be lost		
2.Maintain/improve	+	+	_	Some rural schools		
levels of education				may be lost		
3.Reduce crime	-	?	+	Increased urban		
and anti-social				population could to		
activity				lead to increased		
				crime.		
4.Reduce poverty	+	+	-			
and social						
exclusion						
5.Improve access	++	+	-	Key services in rural		
to key services				areas could be lost.		
6.Offer everybody	++	+	-	Potential for rural jobs		
the opportunity for				would be reduced.		
employment						

	T	Т	Т	1=
7.Meet housing	++	+	-	Potential for rural
requirements				housing would be lost
				especially affordable
0.1				housing.
8.Improve	+	+	_	It is likely that in rural
community				areas there could be
participation				reduced opportunities to get involved in the
				local community.
Environmental				local community.
9.Water quality	0	0	0	
10.Air quality		0	0	Potential for air quality
10.7 th quality	-			problems in high
				density urban areas
				and where there are
				high traffic levels.
11.Soil resources		-	0	Farm land will be lost
and quality				
12.Use water and	0	0	0	
mineral resources				
efficiently				
13.To reduce	0	0	0	
waste				
14.To reduce the	_	+	-	People in rural areas
effects of traffic on				will still need to travel
the environment				for many higher level
				services and there may
				be potential for urban
15.To reduce	0	0		traffic congestion
contributions to	0	J	_	
climate change				
16.To reduce	0	0	0	
vulnerability to				
climatic events				
		1	_1	

		1	T	
17.Biodiversity and geodiversity		-	+	Farmland bird and other habitats will be lost even in or near towns because this option will still mean development of Greenfield sites on the edge of the towns.
18.Historical and archaeological conservation	0	0	0	
19. Landscape and townscape conservation.	-	-	+	In the long run the effect should be neutral but initially, there will be an adverse impact on the countryside and rural areas.
Economic				
20.Sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	++	+	-	There could be a reduction in rural jobs
21.To revitalise town centres	++	?	0	Town centres will still have to provide higher level services.
22.Efficient patterns of movement	++	+	-	
23.Indigenous and inward investment	++	-	-	New investment may be attracted to the larger towns with local workforce and larger markets.

Option 3 Equitable Distribution					
Sustainability	Effect	Effect	Effect	Comment	
Objective	on the	on Key	on the		
	Towns	Service	Rural		
		Centres	Areas		
Social					
1. Improve health	_	-	-	Future investment might be	
				watered down and potential	
				for better facilities reduced.	
2.Maintain/improve	0	0	0		
levels of education					
3.Reduce crime	0	0	0		
and anti-social					
activity					
4.Reduce poverty	0	0	0		
and social					
exclusion					
5.Improve access	+	+	?	Some services may loose	
to key services				and others may benefit	
6.Offer everybody	+	+	_	Probably not sufficient	
the opportunity for				potential for increased local	
employment				employment in rural areas.	
7.Meet housing	+	+	+		
requirements					
8.Improve	+	+	+		
community					
participation					
Environmental					
9.Water quality	0	0	0		
10.Air quality	0	0	0		
11.Soil resources	-	-	-	Farm land will be lost	
and quality					
12.Use water and	0	0	0		

	1	I	1	
mineral resources efficiently				
13.To reduce waste	0	0	0	
14.To reduce the effects of traffic on the environment	+	+	-	People in rural areas will still need to travel for many higher level services
15.To reduce contributions to climate change	+	0	_	May increase co2 emissions in rural areas and offer the opportunity for the use of more renewable energy in towns.
16.To reduce vulnerability to climatic events	0	0	0	
17.Biodiversity and geodiversity	-		-	Farmland bird and other rural habitats will be lost and there will be increased disturbance
18.Historical and archaeological conservation	0	0	0	
19. Landscape and townscape conservation.	0	-	-	In the long run the effect should be neutral but initially, there could be an adverse impact on the countryside and rural areas particularly around key service centres. There is also potential to improve matters.
Economic				
20.Sustainable levels of prosperity	+	+	+	

and economic growth				
21.To revitalise	+	?	0	
town centres				
22.Efficient	+	+	?	
patterns of				
movement				
23.Indigenous and	+	+	?	
inward investment				

Option 4 Rural Development				
Custoin ability				
Sustainability	Effect	Effect	Effect	Comment
Objective	on the	on Key	on the	
	Towns	Service	Rural	
		Centres	Areas	
Social				
1. Improve health	+	+	+	Investment in services may
				reduce for towns.
2.Maintain/improve		?	?	Investment in services may
levels of education	_			reduce for towns.
3.Reduce crime	+	0		Crime may increase in
and anti-social	-		_	villages
activity				Villagoo
4.Reduce poverty	0	0	0	
and social	U	J	U	
exclusion				
5.Improve access	_	+	+	May reduce availability in
to key services				towns but potentially some
				improvements in larger
				villages.
6.Offer everybody	+	++	+	Key service centres would
the opportunity for				play an important role in this
employment				and would help more rural
J				areas and villages. There is
				the potential to increase
				ווום שטובוונומו נט וווטובמשב

			T	averall rural amplevement
7 Ma at la avada a	_	_		overall rural employment.
7.Meet housing	+	+	++	More potential for affordable
requirements				housing in villages linked to
				other developments.
8.Improve	+	+	+	
community				
participation				
Environmental				
9.Water quality	0	0	0	
10.Air quality	0	0	0	
11.Soil resources	-		-	Farm land will be lost
and quality				
12.Use water and	0	0	0	
mineral resources				
efficiently				
13.To reduce	0	0	0	
waste				
14.To reduce the	+	+	-	More people in rural areas
effects of traffic on				will still need to travel for
the environment				many higher level services
15.To reduce	+	0	_	There may be an increased
contributions to	-			need to use the car in rural
climate change				areas but also opportunities
omnate onange				for renewable energy in the
				towns.
16.To reduce	0	0	0	towns.
vulnerability to				
climatic events				
17.Biodiversity and	_		_	Habitats will be lost and
geodiversity	_		-	there will be increased
geouversity				
40 Historiaal as d				disturbance
18.Historical and	0	0	0	
archaeological				
conservation				
19. Landscape	0		-	In the long run the effect

and townscape conservation.				should be neutral but initially, there will be an adverse impact on the countryside and rural areas particularly around key service centres. This is because landscaping takes several years to grow and get established.
20.Sustainable	+	+	+	There would be potential for
levels of prosperity and economic growth				a wider spread of growth.
21.To revitalise town centres	-	+	0	Investment in towns may decline but also rise in key service centres.
22.Efficient patterns of movement	+	+	O/_	Potential for jobs to balance housing but overall unlikely.
23.Indigenous and inward investment	+	+	?	Probably less potential for major rural investments.

	Op	otion 5 New	Settlement	
Sustainability Objective	Effect on the Towns	Effect on Key Service Centres	Effect on the Rural Areas	Comment
Social				
1. Improve health	_	_	_	May reduce investment in services overall
2.Maintain/improve levels of education	?	?	?	May reduce investment in services overall
3.Reduce crime and anti-social	?	+	+	New development can be designed to reduce

activity 4.Reduce poverty	0	0	0	crime, and there would be less pressure for development in rural areas.
and social exclusion		O		
5.Improve access to key services	_/+	_/+	_	New settlement may take up whole investment for services, there may be better services in the new settlement
6.Offer everybody the opportunity for employment	?	?	?	New settlement may offer better potential for jobs, but only if it is of a significant size.
7.Meet housing requirements	+	+	-	New housing concentrated in the new settlement.
8.Improve community participation	0	0	0	
Environmental				
9.Water quality	0	0	0	
10.Air quality	0	0	0	Encoder 1 201 color
11.Soil resources and quality	-	-	0	Farm land will be lost, particularly for the new settlement.
12.Use water and mineral resources efficiently	0	0	0	Potential for more efficient construction methods in major new developments.
13.To reduce waste	0	0	0	New settlement offers the opportunity to

1	1	T	T
			design in better waste
			management systems
+	+	-	People in rural areas
			will still need to travel
			for many higher level
			services
0	+	-	Overall new settlement
			should reduce impacts
_	_		
0	0	0	
+	-	+	Habitats will be lost, but
			potential for habitat
			creation. It would take
			pressure of town and
			rural areas.
U	O	U	
			There should be less
+	+	+	impact on the
			townscape or
			landscape of this
			proposal. Except
			where it is located.
			whole it is located.
+			Rural would be
_	_	_	potentially adversely
			affected
+	?	0	Town centres will still
			have to provide higher
			level services. But less
			potential for new
	O + + +	O + O O + + + + + -	0 + - 0 0 0 + - + + + + + +

				investment.
22.Efficient patterns of movement	+	?	-	Rural areas will not provide the level of services and people could have to travel further for them
23.Indigenous and inward investment	+	-	-	New settlement could take significant investment for jobs and companies

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Living in the countryside can be healthy	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There are benefits to rural living, such as fresh air and easier access to the countryside
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Potentially negative	-	_	-	?	?	?	_	This level of development unlikely to improve key local services.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Potentially negative	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	Rural shops are unlikely to increase so overall more people would have less accessibility.
	Access child care	No direct link	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	Rural childcare is unlikely to increase so overall more people would have less accessibility
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	Potentially negative	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	Rural jobs is unlikely to increase so overall more people would have less accessibility
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Potentially positive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There may be more affordable housing delivered, but only if policy promotes it and not market housing.
	Provide enough housing	This will make a limited contribution to housing needed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There will be more housing delivered but it is likely to be expensive.
	Increase range and affordability	Only slightly	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Most housing delivered would be expensive but there would also be some affordable housing as well.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Difficult to assess and may vary from site to site.
	Increase green space Engagement in decision making	No direct link No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of rating and only		no direct link with 17 with that minor negative scores. This								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Potentially negative	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	It is still likely that Greenfield land will be required.
	Loss agricultural land	Potentially negative		_		?	?	?	_	It is still likely that Greenfield land will be required.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Potentially negative	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	It is likely that rural traffic will increase but urban traffic mareduce
	Reduce need for local travel	It will increase the need for local travel	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	People are likely to have to travel for jobs and services etc
	Increase car journeys	It will increase the need for local travel	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	This is certain to happen
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	More energy will be used, particularly by the car	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	People are likely to have to travel for jobs and services etc
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Difficult to assess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be more car usage but there may be less used in other ways. For example burning locally sourced wood, if available. Less potential for large scale renewables.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Potentially negative	_	_	-	?	?	?	_	Impact of increased population and loss of agricultural land.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	It is possible that underused sites will be developed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Potential for Brownfield development in villages.
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Potentially positive or negative	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This will depend on each application.
The majority so	es relating to increased c	in that category, 1 positive and								
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Rural diversification Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	It is likely to increase commuting as people will have to travel by car to work	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	Development would be located where there are few jobs speople will have to commute.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	It is likely to increase commuting as people will have to travel by car to work	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	Development would be located where there are few jobs so people will have to commute.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There are 2 n		objectives case relating to the need to ne rest as no direct link with 12								
Conclusions:			1	1	1		I .		1	1
Score	++ Major pos	sitive + Minor positive		- Mino	or nega	tive		Majo	r negat	ive 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect
Niconala a u		4		40	- 3			^	- 3-11	44

Number

Sustainability Objective Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	Justification for assessment						
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendat	ions: None									
Key to A	ppraisal of Effects									

6 dwellings Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indic	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Possible that people may enjoy more walking in the countryside and fresh air	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There are benefits to rural life.
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Potentially negative, few services for residents	_	_	-	?	?	?	-	The villages will have few services and this level of development is unlikely to improve any local services.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	The effect could be significant	-	-	_	?	?	?	_	Potentially you will have large numbers of people who do not have easy access to shops
	Access child care	More people may have poor access	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	Childcare is unlikely to increase so overall more people would have less accessibility to childcare.
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	Likely to be negative as there would be few local jobs	_	_	-	_	0	0	-	Large numbers of people will not have easy access to job opportunities
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Not directly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There may be more affordable housing delivered, only if policy promotes affordable housing and not market housing and will depend on thresholds.
	Provide enough housing	This will make a significant contribution to housing needed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There will be more housing delivered.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Only slightly	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Most housing delivered would be expensive but there would also be some affordable housing as well, but this would depend on policies and thresholds
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	It is likely that there could be more isolation for people because there are few jobs, facilities, or social contacts	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	This could create more isolated people and communities
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to get involved	_	_	-	?	?	?	_	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	Difficult to get involved	-	_	_	?	?	?	_	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Few such facilities in villages	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of		ectives e no direct link with 15 with this e are 7 negative scores. This								
	the majority of developn									
	tal Indicators		l .							
Water and air	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
quality	,									
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Potentially negative	_	_	-	?	?	?	_	Likely Greenfield land would be needed.
and quanty	Loss agricultural land	Agricultural land will be lost				?	?	?		Likely agricultural land would be needed.
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	Ō	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ō	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
										1

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 2, Development in Groups up to 5-6 dwellings **Sub Objective** Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Use of water No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of and mineral minerals resources 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of No direct link water Reduce waste Reduce household No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes Will increase car usage ? ? ? There will be an increased need to commute by car for most of traffic people Reduce need for local It will increase the need for ? ? ? Use of car will be required because of a lack of local services. travel local travel Increase car journeys It will increase the need for ? ? ? Use of car will be required because of a lack of local services local travel and poor public transport Reduce Reducing energy Potential negative ? ? ? There will be more car usage but there may be less used in contributions consumption? other wavs. to climate change 0 0 There will be more car usage but there may be less used in Increase proportion of Difficult to assess 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by other ways. For example burning locally sourced wood. renewable sources? 0 0 0 Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No direct link 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link storms Conserve and Maintain designated No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 This option could put more pressure on species and sites Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan

6 dwellings Sustainability	Sub Objective		Dradia	ted Eff	octs					Justification for assessment
Objective	Sub Objective		rieuic	tea Em	ecis					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Land will be lost	_	_	-	?	?	?	_	Land will be lost and there will also be disturbance and noise from the new population.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	It is possible that underused sites will be developed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	With this level of development it is likely that the character of rural areas will change.	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	This will depend on each application and it could improve bott or if badly designed have an adverse effect. On balance though because of the numbers of dwellings that could be developed and the lack of hedgerows in the countryside the nature of rural settlements will become more urban of suburban in style and the landscape overall will begin to be harmed.
The majority so	res relating to increased	ental objectives in that category, 1 positive and d car usage and the effect on								
Economic Ir									,	
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
T '4 I'	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 2, Development in Groups up to 5-6 dwellings Sustainability **Sub Objective** Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Decrease vacant units in town centres? ? Development would be located where there are few jobs so Will it reduce It is likely to increase ? ? To encourage efficient commuting as most people people will have to commute. commuting patterns of will have to travel by car to movement in work support of economic growth It is likely to increase Development would be located where there are few jobs so To encourage Improve accessibility ? ? ? commuting as people will efficient to work people will have to commute. patterns of have to travel by car to work movement in support of economic arowth No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce journey times between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported 0 0 0 Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development

Core Strate 6 dwellings		es and Op	tions: Q	uestion SS4: H	ousi	ng De	evelo	pmen	t in	Rural	Area	s: Opti	on 2, Developmer	nt in Groups up to 5-
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obje	ective		Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Acture of Effect (quantify where possible) Source tives case relating to the need to rest as no direct link with 12 Justification for assessment Justification for assessment										
					Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
commute and ac scores.	jor negative	e scores in th	is case rela											
Conclusions:														
Score		++ Major pos	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive		Majo	r negati	ve	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		0		3		15				2			46	0
		•	•	ues to be resolved e need to use the ca			ption c	ould be	cons	sidered	accept	able, thes	se relate to the effect or	n landscape and character
Key to Ap	opraisal of E	Effects												
Will the policy many that the Major positive		ive or negativ Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative N		? negative	() Neutra	al effe	ct '	? Uncer	tain effect	t	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 3, Development in Groups up to 10-12 dwellings Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Med term Secondary Long term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Social Indicators Health Health facilities No direct link 0 Ω Ω 0 0 Ω 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates Healthy lifestyles Potentially positive as open 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 Benefits of rural living air country life may be beneficial 0 0 0 Education Improve No direct link 0 0 0 0 Qualifications Will reduce crime 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and No direct link anti-social activities Reduce fear of crime No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 O Reduce noise and No direct link 0 0 Ω odours Poverty and Reduce poverty No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 social exclusion Access key Access key local Negative as more people will 0 0 The villages will have few services and significant numbers of be isolated from them people will not have access to key local services services services Accessibility of The effect could be ? ? ? Large numbers of people will not have easy access to shops shopping facilities significant More people will have poor ? ? ? Large numbers of people will not have easy access to Access child care access childcare Employment Unemployment No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Large numbers of people will not have easy access to job Job opportunities Likely to be negative as there would be few local jobs opportunities 0 Improve earnings No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce Potentially positive as more ? ? ? Potential for significant affordable housing across the district Housing + + homelessness rural affordable housing could be provided This will make a potentially ? Provide enough + + + ? ? There will be more housing delivered across the district positive contribution to housing housing needed

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Only slightly potentially positive	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Most housing delivered would be expensive but there would also be some affordable housing as well.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	It is likely that there could be more isolation for people because there are few jobs, facilities, or social contacts	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	This could create more isolated people and communities. Large developments not often supported in villages.
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to get involved	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	Difficult to get involved	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of rating and only	3 positive scores. There	ctives no direct link with 14 with this e are 5 negative scores and 2 sess development elsewhere.								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Likely to be a lot of Greenfield development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Most development of this scale is likely to be Greenfield.
• •	Loss agricultural land	There is likely to be a loss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Likely to need agricultural land
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 3, Development in Groups up to 10-12 dwellings

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Likely to increase car usage	-	-	_	?	?	?	_	There will be an increased need to commute by car for a greater number of people
	Reduce need for local travel	It will increase the need for car use	_	-	_	?	?	?	_	People are likely to have to travel for a variety of services.
	Increase car journeys	It will increase the need for local travel	_	-	_	?	?	?	_	There will be need to access services and poor public transport in many areas.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	People will use more fuel in cars	_	_	_	_	?	?	_	There will be more car usage but people could grow more food themselves, but on balance it is likely that more energy will be consumed.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Difficult to assess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There will be more car usage but there may be less used in other ways and potential for renewables particularly on larger schemes
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	Potentially negative	_	_	_	_	?	?	_	This option could put more pressure on species and sites from development and disturbance

10-12 dwell Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Potentially negative	_	_	_	_	?	?	_	Farm land and countryside will be lost and disturbance will increase.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	It is possible that underused sites will be developed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	It is unlikely that many sites will be available for the maximum number of dwellings.
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	With this level of development it is likely that the character of rural areas will change.				?	?	?		This will depend on each application and it could improve both or if badly designed have an adverse effect. On balance though because of the numbers of dwellings that could be developed and the lack of hedgerows in the countryside the nature of rural settlements will become increasingly more urban in style and the landscape will begin to be harmed.
The majority of	scores and 1 major	ental objectives 16 in that category, 1 positive negative score, the effect on								January Control of Con
Economic In	ndicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	Potentially negative	-	_	_	_	?	?	_	Unlikely to create local jobs at this scale.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 3, Development in Groups up to 10-12 dwellings Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Decrease vacant units in town centres? ? Development likely to be located where there are few jobs so Will it reduce It is likely to increase ? ? To encourage efficient commuting as people will more people will have to commute. commuting patterns of have to travel by car to work movement in support of economic growth It is likely to increase To encourage Improve accessibility ? ? ? Development would be located where there are few jobs so commuting as people will efficient to work more people will have to commute. patterns of have to travel to work movement in support of economic arowth No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce journey times between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported 0 0 0 0 Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment 0 0 0 Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0

for business development

Core Strate 10-12 dwell		es and Op	otions: Qı	uestion SS4: H	lousi	ng De	evelo	pmer	t in	Rural	Area	s: Opti	on 3, Developm	nent in Gro	ups up to
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obje	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects			•		Justific	ation for assessmen	t	
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating				
commute and active rest as no direction	jor negative ccessibility	e scores in the to work and	nis case rela	ting to the need to egative score, with											
Conclusions:															
Score		++ Major pos	sitive	+ Minor positive			r negat	ive		Majoı	r negati	ve	0 Neutral effect		tain effect
Number		0		4		11				5			41	0	
Recommendation community as v					l befor	e this c	ption (could b	e con	sidered	ассер	table the	se relate to landsca _l	oe, townscape	and sense of
Key to Ap	opraisal of E	Effects													
Will the policy me ++ Major positive		ive or negativ Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative N	•	? negative	C) Neutra	al effec	ct í	? Uncer	tain effec	t		

dwellings Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	May lead to poorer services	0	_	_	0	0	0	_	With greater dispersal the level of access to services by public transport will decline
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Residents may have a healthier lifestyle	?	?	?	0	0	0	?	Access to the countryside may allow people to walk more in fresh air
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	It might shift crime to some villages	?	_	-	-	0	0	-	The rise in rural population may lead to more opportunities for crime.
	Reduce fear of crime	There may be an increase in fear of crime for some residents	0	_	_	_	0	0	-	
	Reduce noise and odours	Rural areas will be more noisy	0	-	_	-	0	0	_	There will be increased car usage and the noise of people going about their daily lives
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Might reduce access for more people				0	0	0		The villages will have few services, but some may be maintained or improved.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	The effect could be significant				?	?	?		Large numbers of people will not have easy access to shops
	Access child care	More people will have poor access				?	?	?		Large numbers of people will not have easy access to childcare
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	New residents will have few local jobs	_	_	_	_	0	0	_	Few local jobs in rural areas.
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Not directly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	There may be more affordable housing delivered
	Provide enough housing	This will make a limited contribution to housing needed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There will be more housing delivered but it is likely to be expensive. Overall provision would be significant.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Only slightly	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Most housing delivered would be expensive but there would also be some affordable housing as well.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	It is likely that there could be more isolation for people because there are few jobs, facilities, or social contacts	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	This could create more isolated people and communities
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	Difficult to get involved	_	_	_	?	?	?	_	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	Difficult to get involved	-	_	-	?	?	?	_	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	More people will have poorer access	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of strating and only	2 positive scores. There	no direct link with 10 with this e are 8 negative scores and 3								
		sess development elsewhere.								
	tal Indicators	No direct link								
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
T	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This province that development will be writing evice?
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This assumes that development will be within existing built u area boundaries.
	Loss agricultural land	Potential loss		_	_	0	0	0	1 _	Agricultural land will be lost
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 4, Development in Groups up to 15 dwellings Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Use of water No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of and mineral minerals resources 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of No direct link water Reduce waste Reduce household No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 There is likely to be an increased cost of collecting household waste Increase recycling 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes Likely to increase car usage ? ? ? There will be an increased need to commute by car for a of traffic greater number of people Reduce need for local It will increase the need for ? ? ? People are likely to have to use their cars travel local travel It will significantly increase ? ? Increase car journeys ? Poor public transport in rural areas the need for local travel Reduce Reducing energy Difficult to assess 0 0 0 0 0 0 There will be more car usage but there may be less used in contributions consumption? other ways. to climate change 0 0 Increase proportion of Difficult to assess 0 0 0 0 There will be more car usage but there may be less used in energy needs met by other ways. renewable sources? 0 0 Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No direct link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 This option could put more pressure on species and sites Suffolk Biodiversity

Action Plan

Core Strate dwellings	gy Issues and Op	otions: Question SS4: H	lousi	ng De	evelo	pmer	nt in F	Rural	Area	s: Option 4, Development in Groups up to 15
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Provided there is no further encroachment into the countryside. There will be increased noise, dogs etc and disturbance and population in rural areas.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	It is possible that underused sites will be developed	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	With this level of development it is likely that the character of rural areas will change.				?	?	?		This will depend on each application and it could improve both or if badly designed have an adverse effect. On balance though because of the numbers of dwellings that could be developed and the lack of hedgerows in the countryside the nature of rural settlements will become increasingly more urban or suburban in style and the landscape will begin to be significantly harmed.
The majority of and 3 negative		18 in that category, 1 positive negative score, the effect on								
Economic In	ndicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SS4: Housing Development in Rural Areas: Option 4, Development in Groups up to 15 dwellings Sustainability **Sub Objective** Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce ? ? ? To encourage It is likely to increase Development would be located where there are few jobs so efficient commuting commuting as people will almost all people will have to commute. have to travel by car to work, patterns of movement in very negative support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility It is likely to increase ? ? ? Development would be located where there are few jobs so commuting as people will efficient to work more people will have to commute. patterns of have to travel by car to work movement in support of economic growth 0 0 0 Reduce journey times No direct link 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link of local goods No direct link 0 0 0 0 Encourage 0 0 Might support local builders over time. Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business

development

Core Strate dwellings	gy Issue	es and O	otions: Q	uestion SS4: H	ousi	ng De	evelo	pmer	t in	Rural	Areas	s: Opti	on 4, Developm	ent in Groups u	to 15
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obje	ective		,	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justific	ation for assessmen	t	
	Summary of appraisal against econo			Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating				
There are 2 maj	or negative	e scores in th	nis case, rela	ting to the need to direct link with 12											
Conclusions:															
Score		++ Major po	ositive + Minor positive			- Mino	r negat	ive		Majoı	r negativ	/e	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effec	t
Number		0		3		11				8			40	0	
Recommendati	ons: There	are now ma	any major is	sues to be resolved	l hefor	e this o	untion (could b	e con	sidered	accent	able the	se relate to landscan	e, townscape and ser	se of
community as v					Deloi	e uns c	ption	Journ L	COII	isidei ed	ассер	able tile	se relate to landscap	e, townscape and ser	Se oi
Key to Ap	praisal of E	Effects													
Will the policy m	•	ive or negativ Minor positiv		n to the appraisal ob or negative N		? iegative	() Neutra	al effec	ct 1	? Uncer	tain effec	t		

Sustainability Appraisal Section 5: Housing



Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district would reduce poverty in the district.
Access key services	Access key local services	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district will reduce homelessness in the district.
	Provide enough housing	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Rural exception sites would increase the number of houses provided.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	This option will provide for 500 new affordable homes in a five year period
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Improving affordability of housing will improve neighbourhood satisfaction.
•	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of ap	oraisal against social obje	ectives	+5	+5	+5	+5	+5	+5	+5	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
. ,	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Rural exception sites are likely to be on greenfield land. Mitigation could include careful site selection.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Loss agricultural land	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Rural exception sites are likely to be on agricultural land. Mitigation could include careful site selection.
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase in travel by sustainable modes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
·	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Direct Link	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	Difficult to predict effects as rural exception sites tend to be small sites.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ental objectives	-2 ?/-1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	This option is likely to have negative impacts in terms of loss of greenfield land and agricultural land An unknown/ negative impact relates to loss of farmbirds

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Economic growth	Improve business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live and work in closer proximity
	Improve accessibility to work	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live and work in closer proximity
	Reduce journey times between employment areas and key transport interchanges	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 1: Target of less than 500 new affordable homes per 5-year period Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Increase consumption No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business 0 Encourage inward No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment O 0 Make land available No Direct Link 0 0 C 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	7	2	0	52	1 (?/-)

Recommendations:

This option is likely to have several positive social, environmental and economic effects. Some negative environmental effects are predicted. Mitigation for the negative impacts would be to ensure careful site selection.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 2: Target of 500 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health facilities No Direct Link Health 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates No Direct Link 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve Qualifications 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O O odours Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link Providing affordable housing to people in the district would +++ ++ +social reduce poverty in the district. exclusion Access key local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Access key services services Accessibility of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce Direct Link ++ Providing affordable housing to people in the district will +++ ++ homelessness reduce homelessness in the district. Provide enough Direct Link Rural exception sites would increase the number of houses housing provided.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 2: Target of 500 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Increase range and Direct Link ++ ++ This option will provide for 500 new affordable homes in a affordability five year period 0 Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Satisfaction of Direct Link affordability Community ++ ++ **Improving** housing will improve neighbourhood neighbourhood satisfaction. participation O 0 Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities No Direct Link O 0 O 0 Improve ethnic 0 0 0 relations No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 Improve access to 0 0 0 cultural facilities This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of Summary of appraisal against social objectives +5 +5 ++2 +5 ++4 ++4 reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing +3 +1 +1 sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link O O 0 0 Ο 0 0 Minimise loss of Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on greenfield land. To conserve soil resources Greenfield land Mitigation could include careful site selection. and quality

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 2: Target of 500 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Loss agricultural land Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on agricultural land. Mitigation could include careful site selection. No Direct Link Soil quality 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O O Sustainable use of 0 0 C O O Use of water No Direct Link and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce household No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O O of traffic Reduce need for local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel Increase in travel by No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 sustainable modes Reducing energy No Direct Link O 0 0 O O Reduce 0 Ω consumption? contributions to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? 0 Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 2: Target of 500 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Risk damage from 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in Direct Link ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-Difficult to predict effects as rural exception sites tend to be farmland birds small sites. Protect designated No Direct Link O O 0 Historical and 0 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O 0 archaeological sites Protect designated O 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 0 Landscapes 0 0 0 0 and land townscapes Improve landscape/ No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives This option is likely to have negative impacts in terms of -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 ?/-1 ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/loss of greenfield land and agricultural land An unknown impact relates to loss of farmbirds **Economic Indicators**

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 2: Target of 500 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Economic Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 arowth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors No Direct Link 0 Economic 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 О 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live To encourage + + +efficient commuting and work in closer proximity patterns of movement in support of economic growth Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live Improve accessibility Direct Link + ++ ++to work and work in closer proximity Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas and key transport interchanges 0 0 Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 transported

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 2: Target of 500 new affordable homes per 5-year period

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	d Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	7	2	0	52	1 (?/-)

Recommendations:

This option is likely to have several positive social, environmental and economic effects. It is likely that this option will also have significant positive social impacts such as long term, cumulative and synergistic effects. Some negative environmental effects are predicted. Mitigation for the negative impacts would be careful site selection.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 3: Target of 600 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health facilities No Direct Link Health 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates No Direct Link 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve Qualifications 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O O odours Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link Providing affordable housing to people in the district would + ++ ++ ++ +social reduce poverty in the district. exclusion Access key local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Access key services services Accessibility of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment No Direct Link 0 0 0 Job opportunities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce Direct Link ++ Providing affordable housing to people in the district will ++ ++ ++ homelessness reduce homelessness in the district. Provide enough Direct Link Rural exception sites would increase the number of houses housing provided.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 3: Target of 600 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Increase range and Direct Link ++ ++ ++ This option will provide for 600 new affordable homes in a affordability five year period 0 Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of Direct Link affordability ++ ++ ++ **Improving** housing will improve neighbourhood neighbourhood satisfaction. participation O 0 Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities Improve ethnic No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of Summary of appraisal against social objectives +5 ++2 ++4 +5 ++4 ++4 ++2 reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing +3 +1 +1 +1 sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link O O 0 0 0 0 0 Minimise loss of Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on Greenfield land. To conserve soil resources Greenfield land Mitigation could include looking at the availability of Brownfield land first. and quality

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 3: Target of 600 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Loss agricultural land Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on agricultural land. Mitigation could include looking at the availability of Brownfield land first. 0 0 Soil quality No Direct Link 0 0 0 Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 O Use of water and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link O 0 0 0 O 0 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel Increase in travel by No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 sustainable modes Reducing energy Reduce No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 consumption? contributions to climate change No Direct Link Increase proportion of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 3: Target of 600 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Risk damage from 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in Direct Link ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-Difficult to predict effects as rural exception sites tend to be farmland birds small sites. Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 Historical and 0 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O 0 archaeological sites Protect designated O 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 0 Landscapes 0 0 0 0 and land townscapes Improve landscape/ No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives This option is likely to have negative impacts in terms of -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 ?/-1 ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/loss of greenfield land and agricultural land An unknown/ negative impact relates to loss of farmbirds **Economic Indicators**

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 3: Target of 600 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Economic Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 arowth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors No Direct Link 0 Economic 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 О 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live To encourage + + ++efficient commuting and work in closer proximity patterns of movement in support of economic growth Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live Improve accessibility Direct Link + ++ ++to work and work in closer proximity Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas and key transport interchanges 0 0 Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 transported

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 3: Target of 600 new affordable homes per 5-year period

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	2	5	2	0	52	1 (?/-)

Recommendations:

This option is likely to have several positive social, environmental and economic effects. It is likely that this option will also have a number of significant positive social effects. Some negative environmental effects are predicted. Mitigation for the negative impacts would be careful site selection.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 4: Target of 700 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health facilities No Direct Link Health 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates No Direct Link 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve Qualifications 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O 0 odours Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link Providing affordable housing to people in the district would ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ social reduce poverty in the district. exclusion Access key local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Access key services services Accessibility of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce Direct Link ++ ++ Providing affordable housing to people in the district will ++ ++ ++ homelessness reduce homelessness in the district. Provide enough Direct Link Rural exception sites would increase the number of houses housing provided.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 4: Target of 700 new affordable homes per 5year period Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Increase range and Direct Link ++ ++ ++ ++ This option will provide for 700 new affordable homes in a affordability five year period 0 Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of Direct Link affordability ++ ++ ++ **Improving** housing will improve neighbourhood neighbourhood satisfaction. participation O 0 Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities Improve ethnic No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of Summary of appraisal against social objectives ++2 ++3 ++4 +5 ++5 ++5 ++3 +3 reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing +2 +1 sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link O O 0 0 0 0 0 Minimise loss of Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on greenfield land. To conserve soil resources Greenfield land Mitigation could include careful site selection. and quality

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 4: Target of 700 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Loss agricultural land Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on agricultural land. Mitigation could include careful site selection. No Direct Link Soil quality 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O O Sustainable use of 0 0 C O O Use of water No Direct Link and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce household No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O O of traffic Reduce need for local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel Increase in travel by No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 sustainable modes Reducing energy No Direct Link O 0 0 O O Reduce 0 Ω consumption? contributions to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? 0 Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 4: Target of 700 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Risk damage from 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in Direct Link ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-Difficult to predict effects as rural exception sites tend to be small in size. farmland birds Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 Historical and 0 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 O 0 archaeological sites Protect designated O 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Landscapes 0 0 0 and land townscapes Improve landscape/ No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives This option is likely to have negative impacts in terms of -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 ?/-1 ?/-1 ?/-1 ?/-1 ?/-1 ?/-1 ?/-1 loss of greenfield land and agricultural land An unknown/ negative impact relates to loss of farmbirds **Economic Indicators**

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 4: Target of 700 new affordable homes per 5year period Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Economic Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 arowth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors No Direct Link 0 Economic 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live To encourage efficient and work in closer proximity commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will live Improve accessibility Direct Link to work and work in closer proximity Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas and key transport interchanges 0 0 Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 transported

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 4: Target of 700 new affordable homes per 5-year period

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	d Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	3	4	2	0	52	1 (?/-)

Recommendations:

This option is likely to have several positive social, environmental and economic effects. It is likely that this option will also have a number of significant positive social effects. Some negative environmental effects are predicted. Mitigation for the negative impacts would be careful site selection.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicto	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	Providing affordable housing to people in the district would reduce poverty in the district.
Access key services	Access key local services	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	Providing affordable housing to people in the district will reduce homelessness in the district.
	Provide enough housing	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Rural exception sites would increase the number of houses provided.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 5: Target of more than 700 new affordable homes per 5-year period Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Increase range and Direct Link ++ ++ ++ This option will provide for 700+ new affordable homes in affordability a five year period No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce no of unfit homes Satisfaction of Direct Link Community ++ ++ ++ Improving affordability of housing will improve participation neighbourhood neighbourhood satisfaction. 0 Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 O Engagement in O decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities No Direct Link 0 0 O O Improve ethnic 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No Direct Link O 0 0 0 O O 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives ++3 ++3 ++4 +5 ++5 ++5 ++3 This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of +2 +2 reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing +1 sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 O quality Quality of air No Direct Link O O 0 0 0 0 0 Minimise loss of Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on Greenfield land. To conserve soil resources Greenfield land Mitigation could include looking at the availability of Brownfield land first. and quality

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 5: Target of more than 700 new affordable homes per 5-year period Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Loss agricultural land Direct Link Rural exception sites are likely to be on agricultural land. Mitigation could include looking at the availability of Brownfield land first. 0 0 Soil quality No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of No Direct Link O C O O Use of water and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link O 0 0 0 O O 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 travel No Direct Link Increase in travel by 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 sustainable modes Reduce Reducing energy No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? O Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Direct Link	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	Difficult to predict effects as rural exception sites tend to be small in size.
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ental objectives	-2 ?/-1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/- 1	-2 ?/-	This option is likely to have negative impacts in terms of loss of greenfield land and agricultural land An unknown/negative impact relates to loss of farmbirds

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 5: Target of more than 700 new affordable homes per 5-year period Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Economic Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 arowth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors No Direct Link O Economic 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 O O To revitalise Increase range of 0 0 employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 О 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will To encourage Direct Link live and work in closer proximity efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Rural exception sites are likely to mean that people will Improve accessibility Direct Link live and work in closer proximity to work Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas and key transport interchanges Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H2 Affordable Housing Provision. Option 5: Target of more than 700 new affordable homes per 5-year period Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Med term Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) No Direct Link Increase consumption 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment No Direct Link O Encourage inward 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives +2 This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work **Conclusions:**

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	3	4	2	0	52	1 (?/-)

Recommendations:

This option is likely to have several positive social, environmental and economic effects. It is likely that this option will also have a number of significant positive social effects. Some negative environmental effects are predicted. Mitigation for the negative impacts would be careful site selection.

gy Issues and O 5-year period Sub Objective	ptions: Question H2 Aff	Ordable Predicte			Provi	ision.	. Opti	on 5	Target of more than 700 new affordable Justification for assessment
	Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	ive contribution to the appraisal ob ve - Minor negative I	jective? Vajor nega	ative	0 N	eutral e	effect	? U	Incertai	n effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ng required to below 3	Predicto	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district would reduce poverty in the district.
Access key services	Access key local services	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district will reduce homelessness in the district.
	Provide enough housing	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Requiring below 35% affordable housing contributions would increase the range and affordability of housing within the district
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Improving affordability of housing will improve neighbourhood satisfaction.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	praisal against social obje	ectives	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Reduce need for local travel	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase in travel by sustainable modes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	oraisal against environme	ental objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Economic In									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts				
•		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
	Economic performance	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
o revitalise own centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
To encourage efficient catterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve accessibility to work	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Reduce journey times between employment areas and key transport interchanges	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ustainability bjective	Sub Objective		P	redict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessme	ent
		Nature of Effect (where possible)	quantify	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	C)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	C)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	raisal against economic	objectives	C)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Conclusions:												
Score	++ Major pos	sitive + Mino	r positive	-	Minor n	egative)	1	Major n	egative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	4		() <u> </u>			Λ			58	0

Recommendations:

This option is likely to have positive social effects. This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?
++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major neg

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indic	ators				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district would reduce poverty in the district.
Access key services	Access key local services	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	+	++	++	+	++	++	++	Providing affordable housing to people in the district will reduce homelessness in the district.
	Provide enough housing	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	+	++	++	+	++	++	++	Requiring 35% affordable housing contributions would increase the range and affordability of housing within the district
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	Improving affordability of housing will improve neighbourhood satisfaction.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against social obje	ectives	+4	++2 +2	++4	+4	++4	++4	++2 +2	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assess
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase in travel by sustainable modes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	cts				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
·	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	oraisal against environm	ental objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Economic In		No Divert Link							
Economic growth	Improve business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessme
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
revitalise wn centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
o encourage ficient atterns of ovement in apport of conomic owth	Will it reduce commuting	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas and key transport interchanges	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objectiv	ve			Predic	ted Effec	ts					Justification for assessment	
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous bu	usiness	No Direct I	_ink	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Encourage in investment	nward	No Direct I	_ink	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Make land av for business development		No Direct I	_ink	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Summary of app	raisal against e	economic o	bjectives		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Conclusions:			•			•							
Score	++	Major posi	tive	+ Minor positive	,	- Minor n	egative		N	lajor ne	egative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H4 Affordable Housing Requirements: percentages. Option 2: Leave it at 35%

Recommendations:

Number

This option is likely to have positive and significant social effects. This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

2

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0

0 Neutral effect

0

? Uncertain effect

58

0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indic	ators								_	
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	++	++	+	++	++	++	Providing affordable housing to people in the district would reduce poverty in the district.
Access key services	Access key local services	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	Providing affordable housing to people in the district will reduce homelessness in the district.
	Provide enough housing	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	This option could impact on viability of affordable housing if affordable housing requirements are above 40%. This could then potentially impact on housing delivery in the district.
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	Requiring more than 40% affordable housing contributions would increase the range and affordability of housing within the district
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	Improving affordability of housing will improve neighbourhood satisfaction.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against social obje	ectives	++2 +2 -1	++3 +1 -1	++4 -1	+4 -1	++4 -1	++4 -1	++3 +1 -1	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. Negative effects include the impact on the delivery of housing within the district.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessmen
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Vater and air Juality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase in travel by sustainable modes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessme
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
6	ncrease proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
,	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and N	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
enhance siodiversity [Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated nistorical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes F	Reduce underused and	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ī	mprove landscape/ ownscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Summary of app	naisal against environme	ntal objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Economic Ir	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Γο revitalise own centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas and key transport interchanges	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against economic	objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Recommendations:

Score

Number

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

This option is likely to have positive and significant social effects. This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. Negative social effects include the impact on housing delivery. Mitigation could include looking at viability assessments for affordable housing requirements to ensure that this do not impact on housing delivery.

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

57

? Uncertain effect

Sustainability Sub Objective Objective	Sub Objective		Justification for assessment							
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
		ative contribution to the appraisal ob itive - Minor negative	ojective? Major nega	ative	0 N	eutral e	ffect	? U	ncertai	n effect

Sustainability Objective	nts between 5-9 Sub Objective		Predict	Justification for assessment						
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators	,	,							
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for

developments between 5-9 units Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Healthy lifestyles No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and 0 anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Reduce poverty Poverty and Direct Link ++ + ++ ++ Providing affordable housing to people in the district social would reduce poverty in the district. exclusion Access key Access key local No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 services services Accessibility of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Employment Unemployment No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings Direct Link ++ ++ ++ Housing Reduce Providing affordable housing to people in the district will homelessness reduce homelessness in the district. Provide enough No Direct Link 0 0 housing

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for develonments between 5-9 units

Sustainability Objective	nts between 5-9 u Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	ets					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	Requiring affordable housing contributions on development falling below 10-14 and 5-9 units will increase the range and affordability of housing within the district. It is likely that this will have significant long term effects. Based on past trends a significant percentage of the applications received are for 5-9 units.
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	Improving affordability of housing will improve neighbourhood satisfaction.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against social obje	ectives	+4	+4	++4	+4	++4	++4	+4	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for developments between 5-9 units

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effec	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase in travel by sustainable modes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for

developments	between	5-9 units
--------------	---------	-----------

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for developments between 5-9 units

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ental objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for developments between 5-9 units

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas and key transport interchanges	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect	ı
Number	0	4	0	0	58	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H5 Affordable Housing Requirements: Site Development Thresholds. Option 1: Lower percentage of provision on developments falling below between 10-14 units and a further lower percentage for developments between 5-9 units Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects Justification for assessment** Objective Cumulative Short Term Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Recommendations: This option is likely to have positive and significant positive social effects. These effects include ducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. Key to Appraisal of Effects Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective? ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	Justification for assessment						
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators		L			ı		ı	l	
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district would reduce poverty in the district.
Access key services	Access key local services	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts		_	_	_	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Providing affordable housing to people in the district will reduce homelessness in the district.
	Provide enough housing	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Requiring a standard percentage affordable housing contributions and lower thresholds on development will increase the range and affordability of housing within the district
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	and the second s
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Improving affordability of housing will improve neighbourhood satisfaction.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against social obje	ectives	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4	This option is likely to have positive impacts in terms of reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicted Effects										
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating				
	tal Indicators												
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Loss agricultural land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Reduce need for local travel	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Increase in travel by sustainable modes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Sustainability S Objective	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
e		No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Minimise risk of ooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
R	Risk damage from torms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	/laintain designated ites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
D	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in armland birds	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated istorical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
P	Protect designated leological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
andscapes R	Reduce underused and	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
İr	mprove landscape/ ownscape	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	cts					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ntal objectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
conomic Ir	ndicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
o revitalise own centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas and key transport interchanges	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	Justification for assessment						
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	obiectives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

58

Recommendations:

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

Score

Number

This option is likely to have positive social effects, including reducing poverty, reducing homelessness, providing sufficient housing, increasing the range and affordability of housing and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.

? Uncertain effect

thresholds Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicte	d Effec	ets					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
		ve contribution to the appraisal ob e - Minor negative I	ojective? Major nega	ative	0 N	eutral e	ffect	? U	ncertai	n effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 1: Plan for provision through expansion of existing sites Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Sumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Indirect Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. 0 0 0 0 Death rates No Direct Link 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles 0 0 0 Indirect Link Education Improve The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. Qualifications 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link 0 anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link 0 0 O O O O 0 odours Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + + + + social reduce poverty and social exclusion exclusion Access key local Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. Access key services services Accessibility of Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. shopping facilities Access child care Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. 0 0 0 0 0 Employment Unemployment No Direct Link 0 0 Job opportunities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + + + + + homelessness reduce homelessness Provide enough Direct Link + Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + housing increase the provision of housing

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 1: Plan for provision through expansion of existing sites Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Increase range and Direct Link + Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would increase the range and affordability of housing affordability 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce no of unfit 0 homes Community Satisfaction of Direct Link If all the pitches are provided in one location it is likely that this participation neighbourhood may not be preferred by the Gypsies. Travellers and Travelling Showpeople or the local population. No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase green space No Direct Link Engagement in O 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities O 0 0 Improve ethnic No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 Negative effects include satisfaction with neighbourhoods and access to services. Positive effects include reducing poverty, +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness and increasing affordability and range of housing. **Environmental Indicators** Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 Water and air 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To conserve Minimise loss of Direct Link If all the Gypsy and Travellers Accommodation is provided by extending the existing site it is likely that this will lead to a loss soil resources Greenfield land and quality of Greenfield land

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 1: Plan for provision through expansion of existing sites Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Loss agricultural land Direct Link If all the Gypsy and Travellers Accommodation is provided by extending the existing site it is likely that this will lead to a loss of Greenfield land 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Soil quality Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Use of water and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link O 0 0 O 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. travel Increase car journeys No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy No Direct Link O 0 O O 0 0 Reduce contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 1: Plan for provision through expansion of existing sites Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Risk damage from No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in Direct Link ?/-?/-Difficult to predict. Loss of small Greenfield site may not impact ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/farmland birds on the decline of farmbirds. Protect designated 0 Historical and No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 historical sites archaeological importance Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 О 0 0 Landscapes 0 0 0 and land townscapes Improve landscape/ Direct Link If all the Gypsy and Travellers Accommodation is provided by extending the existing site it is likely that this will have a townscape negative impact on the landscape/ townscape at this location Negative effects include loss of Greenfield land, loss of Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/agricultural land, need for local travel and townscape/ 1?/-1?/landscape. Unknown/ negative effects include loss of

farmbirds.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 1: Plan for provision through expansion of existing sites Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Economic Indicators** Economic No Direct Link Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 development growth Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 О 0 0 0 key sectors Economic No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 To revitalise Increase range of No Direct Link O 0 O 0 0 town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres? To encourage Will it reduce Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility Direct Link The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 1: Plan for provision through expansion of existing sites Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) O Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods 0 Encourage No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives Negative effects include reducing commuting and improving -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 access to work Conclusions: Score ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 13 44 1 (?/-) Number

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects	Justification for assessment				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
negative environ neighbourhoods,	nmental effect. Negative, access to services, red	effects include loss of Greenfield	d land, lo access	oss of a to work	agricultı k. Posit	ural landive effe	d, need cts incl	for loc	al trave	so likely to have some positive social effects and one unknown/ el, impact on townscape/ landscape, satisfaction with poverty, provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators						1			
Health	Health facilities	Indirect Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services.
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Indirect Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services.
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would reduce poverty and social exclusion
Access key services	Access key local services	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 2: Plan for provision through entirely new sites Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Access child care Direct Link It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services. 0 Employment No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Unemployment 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities Improve earnings No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Housing Reduce Direct Link + + homelessness reduce homelessness Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Provide enough Direct Link + + + increase the provision of housing housing Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Increase range and + increase the range and affordability of housing affordability Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of Direct Link Difficult to predict this. participation neighbourhood Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No Direct Link O 0 0 O 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Direct Link O 0 O 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities Improve ethnic No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to Direct Link ? ? ? ? ? It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers cultural facilities and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Summary of app	raisal against social obje	I ctives	?7 +4	?7 +4	?7 +4	?7 +4	?7 +4	?7 +4	?7 +4	Unknown effects include satisfaction with neighbourhoods and access to services. Positive effects include reducing poverty, provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness and increasing affordability and range of housing.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
'	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated.
1 -	Loss agricultural land	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated.
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	It depends where the sites are allocated.
	Increase car journeys	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 2: Plan for provision through entirely new sites Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reducing energy 0 O 0 Reduce No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 consumption? contributions to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms No Direct Link 0 Conserve and Maintain designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in Possible Link ? ? ? ? ? Difficult to predict this. It depends where the sites are allocated farmland birds and scale of the sites. Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 Historical and No Direct Link 0 historical sites archaeological importance Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 2: Plan for provision through entirely new sites Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) O Landscapes Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 land and townscapes Improve landscape/ Direct Link ? ? ? ? ? ? It depends where the sites are allocated. townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives Unknown effects include impacts on loss of Greenfield land, 5? 5? 5? 5? 5? 5? 5? loss of agricultural land, need for local travel, impact on townscape/ landscape and include loss of farmbirds. **Economic Indicators** Improve business No Direct Link Economic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 arowth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance 0 0 Rural diversification No Direct Link 0 C 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 units in town centres? ? To encourage Will it reduce Direct Link ? It depends where the sites are allocated. efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 2: Plan for provision through entirely new sites Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) To encourage Improve accessibility Direct Link It depends where the sites are allocated. efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Increase Encourage No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 indigenous business investment Encourage inward 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 Unknown effects include reducing commuting and improving

access to work

Core Strate	gy Issu	es and Op	tions: Qı	uestion H8. Gy	psie	s, Tra	velle	rs an	d Tra	vellin	g Sh	owpeo	ple's Accommodat	ion. Option 2: Plan
for provisio	n throu	igh entirely	new sit	es										-
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justifica	ation for assessment	
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Conclusions:														
Score		++ Major pos	itive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	· - Major	negati	ive	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		0	4		0 0							44	14	
include loss of greducing commu	reenfield la uting and ir	and, loss of agr nproving acces	icultural lands to work.	d, loss of farmbirds,	need fo pend o	or local on the lo	travel, i cation o	mpact of the G	on towr Sypsies	nscape/ s, Travel	landsc lers an	ape, satis d Travellir	have some positive social faction with neighbourhood ng Showpeople's accommo	ls, access to services,
Key to Ap	opraisal of	Effects												
Will the policy m		tive or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative l		? negative	C) Neutra	al effec	t ?	² Uncer	rtain effect	:	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Sumulative ong term-Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health facilities ?-It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers Health Indirect Link ?-?-?and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. 0 Death rates No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles Improve Indirect Link ?-?-?-?-?-It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers Education and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to Qualifications services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. No Direct Link Crime and Will reduce crime 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 anti-social activities 0 0 Reduce fear of crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and odours Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link + social reduce poverty and social exclusion exclusion Access key local It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers ?-?-?-?-?-Access key Direct Link and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services services services. The existing site is not well related to services and It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers Accessibility of Direct Link ?-?-?-?-?and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to shopping facilities services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2

Sustainability Objective

Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)

Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)

Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)

Objective										
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Access child care	Direct Link	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities.
Employment	Unemployment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would reduce homelessness
	Provide enough housing	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would increase the provision of housing
	Increase range and affordability	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would increase the range and affordability of housing
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	Difficult to predict this. It depends where the sites are allocated to some degree. If the existing site is to accommodate a large number of pitches this may not be preferred by the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople or the local population.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2 Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Med term Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Improve access to Direct Link ?-It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to cultural facilities services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities. Summary of appraisal against social objectives ?-7 ?-7 ?-7 ?-7 ?-7 ?-7 Unknown/ negative effects include satisfaction neighbourhoods and access to services. Positive effects +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 include reducing poverty, provision of sufficient housing. reducing homelessness and increasing affordability and range of housing. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To conserve Minimise loss of Direct Link ?-?-?-?-?-?-?-It depends where the sites are allocated. Extending the existing site would result in the loss of Greenfield land. soil resources Greenfield land and quality Loss agricultural land Direct Link ?-?-?-?-?-?-?-It depends where the sites are allocated. Extending the existing site would result in the loss of agricultural land. Soil quality No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 Ω 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources No Direct Link O 0 O 0 0 Sustainable use of 0 0 water Reduce household No Direct Link 0 Reduce waste 0 Ο 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic It depends where the sites are allocated. The existing site is Reduce need for local Direct Link ?-?-?-?-?-?-?not well related to services and facilities. travel Increase car journeys No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce Reducing energy No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 consumption? contributions to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Direct Link O O O 0 0 C 0

0

0

?-

0

0

?-

0

0

?-

0

0

0

0

?-

0

0

?-

0

0

?-

storms

sites

Conserve and

enhance

biodiversity

Maintain designated

Deliver targets of

Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan

Reverse decline in

farmland birds

No Direct Link

No Direct Link

Possible Link

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan

It depends where the sites are allocated. If the existing site is extended then this would result in the loss of Greenfield land.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Historical and Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link O O 0 0 Landscapes Ο 0 0 and land townscapes Improve landscape/ Direct Link It depends where the sites are allocated. If the existing site is ?-?-?-?-?-?townscape extended this could have a negative impact on the landscape. Negative/ unknown effects include impacts on loss of Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives 5-? 5-? 5-? 5-? 5-? 5-? Greenfield land, loss of agricultural land, need for local travel and townscape/ landscape and loss of farmbirds. **Economic Indicators** No Direct Link Economic Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 growth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic No Direct Link O O 0 0 0 O C performance No Direct Link Rural diversification 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase range of No Direct Link 0 O O O 0 To revitalise 0 0 town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 units in town centres?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Direct Link	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Direct Link	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	?-	It depends where the sites are allocated if Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople would have better access to services. The existing site is not well related to services and facilities.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 3: Plan for provision through a combination of Option 1 and 2 Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Make land available No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0

Conclusions:

Г	Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	Number	0	4		0	0	14 (?/-)

?-2

?-2

?-2

?-2

Recommendations: This option is likely to have a number of unknown/ negative social, environmental and economic effects. It is also likely to have some positive social effects. Unknown/ negative effects include loss of greenfield land, loss of agricultural land, loss of farmbirds, need for local travel, impact on townscape/ landscape, satisfaction with neighbourhoods, access to services, reducing commuting and improving access to work. The effects would depend on the location of the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's accommodation. Positive effects include reducing poverty, provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness and increasing affordability and range of housing.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

for business development

Summary of appraisal against economic objectives

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

?-2

?-2

?-2

? Uncertain effect

Unknown/ negative effects include reducing commuting and

improving access to work

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Sumulative Short Term Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Indirect Link If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to services and facilities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates 0 0 Healthy lifestyles No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Indirect Link If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these Improve sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to Qualifications services and facilities Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 odours Reduce poverty Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Poverty and Direct Link + + social reduce poverty and social exclusion exclusion If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these Access key Access key local Direct Link services services sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to services and facilities If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these Accessibility of Direct Link sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to shopping facilities services and facilities If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these Access child care Direct Link sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to services and facilities Unemployment No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment**

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Job opportunities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link O 0 O O O 0 0 Improve earnings Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Housing Reduce Direct Link + + + + + homelessness reduce homelessness Provide enough Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + + housing increase the provision of housing Increase range and Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + + + + + + affordability increase the range and affordability of housing Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes ? ? Community Satisfaction of Direct Link ? ? ? ? Difficult to predict. To some degree it depends on location. participation neighbourhood Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 Engagement in 0 0 0 0 decision making

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

services and facilities

0

0

0

0

0

0

Involvement in

Improve ethnic

relations

volunteer activities

Improve access to cultural facilities

No Direct Link

No Direct Link

Direct Link

If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these

sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Summary of appraisal against social objectives -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 Negative effects include access to services. Positive effects +4 include reducing poverty, provision of sufficient housing, +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 +4 reducing homelessness and increasing affordability and range 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? of housing. Unknown effects include neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To conserve Minimise loss of Direct Link If all the Gypsy and Travellers Accommodation is provided through rural exception sites it is likely that this will lead to a soil resources Greenfield land and quality loss of greenfield land If all the Gypsy and Travellers Accommodation is provided Loss agricultural land Direct Link through rural exception sites it is likely that this will lead to a loss of agricultural land No Direct Link 0 0 Soil quality 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of No Direct Link O 0 O O 0 0 0 Use of water and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link O 0 0 O 0 0 0 of traffic

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce need for local Direct Link If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these sites are likely to be located in areas with little access to travel services and facilities 0 0 Increase car journeys No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 Reduce contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? No Direct Link Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated No Direct Link O 0 0 Conserve and 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link O 0 0 O 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Direct Link Difficult to predict effects as rural exception sites tend to be Reverse decline in ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-

O

0

0

0

0

0

0

small sites.

farmland birds

historical sites

Historical and

archaeological

importance

Protect designated

No Direct Link

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites No Direct Link Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 Landscapes 0 0 0 0 0 land and townscapes Improve landscape/ Direct Link If allocations are made through rural exception sites it is not known what impact this will have on the townscape/ landscape townscape - it depends on the sites allocations. Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives Negative effects include impacts on loss of Greenfield land, -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 loss of agricultural land and need for local travel. Unknown 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? effects include loss of farmbirds and impacts on townscape/ 1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/landscape. **Economic Indicators** Improve business No Direct Link 0 0 Economic 0 0 0 0 0 development growth Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification O 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies

Sustainability | Sub Objective | Predicted Effects | Justification for assessment

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these sites are likely that people will live and work in closer proximity
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	If sites are allocated through rural exception site policies these sites are likely that people will live and work in closer proximity
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 4: Plan for provision through rural exceptions site policies Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Make land available No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 Positive effects include reducing commuting and improving +2 access to work **Conclusions:** Score ? Uncertain effect - Minor negative 0 Neutral effect ++ Major positive + Minor positive - - Major negative 3 (1?/-) Number 6 0 44 Recommendations: This option is likely to have a number of negative social and environmental effects. It is also likely to have some positive social and economic effects. Negative effects include loss of greenfield land, loss of agricultural land, need for local travel and access to services. Positive impacts include reducing poverty, provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness, increasing affordability and range of housing, reducing commuting to work and improving access to work. Unknown effects include impact on townscape/ landscape, loss of farmbirds and neighbourhood satisfaction. To some degree the unknown effects would depend on the location of the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's accommodation. Key to Appraisal of Effects

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

+ Minor positive

++ Major positive

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Sumulative Short Term ong term-Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Indirect Link If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major developments come through sites are likely to be located in areas with good access to services and facilities. 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates 0 Healthy lifestyles No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Indirect Link If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major Improve Qualifications developments come through sites are likely to be located in areas with good access to services and facilities. Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link O 0 O O O O 0 odours Reduce poverty Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Poverty and Direct Link + + + social reduce poverty and social exclusion. exclusion If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major Access key Access key local Direct Link + + services services developments come through sites are likely to be located in areas with good access to services and facilities. If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major Accessibility of Direct Link + shopping facilities developments come through sites are likely to be located in areas with good access to services and facilities. Access child care Direct Link + If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major + developments come through sites are likely to be located in areas with good access to services and facilities. No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Job opportunities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link O 0 O O O O 0 Improve earnings Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would Housing Reduce Direct Link + + + + + homelessness reduce homelessness. Provide enough Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + + + housing increase the provision of housing. Increase range and Direct Link Provision of accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers would + + + + + + affordability increase the range and affordability of housing. Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes ? ? Community Direct Link ? ? ? ? Difficult to predict this. Satisfaction of participation neighbourhood Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities No Direct Link 0 0 Improve ethnic 0 0 0 0 0 relations Direct Link If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major Improve access to + cultural facilities developments come through sites are likely to be located in areas with good access to services and facilities. Summary of appraisal against social objectives +10 Positive effects include access to services, reducing poverty. +10 +10 +10 +10 +10 +10 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness and increasing affordability and range of housing. Unknown effects include neighbourhood satisfaction.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link 0 0 0 О 0 0 0 Limited brownfield opportunities available within the district and To conserve Minimise loss of Direct Link Greenfield land likely that larger developments will occur on greenfield sites soil resources and quality Limited brownfield opportunities available within the district and Loss agricultural land Direct Link likely that larger developments will occur on greenfield sites Soil quality No Direct Link O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Effect traffic volumes 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects No Direct Link 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local Direct Link + If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major developments come through sites are likely to be located in travel areas with good access to services and facilities. Increase car journeys No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) O 0 Reduce Reducing energy No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 consumption? contributions to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 storms No Direct Link 0 Conserve and Maintain designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in Direct Link ?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-?/-Difficult to predict but this could potentially have a negative farmland birds impact. Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 Historical and No Direct Link 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated O 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link geological sites

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Landscapes Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 land and townscapes Direct Link If allocations are made through rural exception sites it is not Improve landscape/ 9 ? ? ? known what impact this will have on the townscape/ landscape townscape - it depends on the sites allocated. Negative effects include impacts on loss of Greenfield land, Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 loss of agricultural land. Positive effect includes lower need for +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 1? 1? local travel. Unknown effects include loss of farmbirds and 1? 1? 1? 1? 1? 1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/-1?/impacts on townscape/ landscape. **Economic Indicators** Improve business Economic No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 growth development Promote growth in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Economic 0 0 performance 0 Rural diversification No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O O 0 O O 0 To revitalise Increase range of No Direct Link town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 units in town centres?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) To encourage Will it reduce Direct Link If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major developments come through sites are likely to be located in efficient commuting areas with good access to services and facilities patterns of movement in support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility Direct Link If sites are allocated through planned sites wherever major efficient to work developments come through sites are likely to be located in patterns of areas with good access to services and facilities including movement in employment support of economic growth Reduce journey times No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business Encourage inward O 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0

investment

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question H8. Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation. Option 5: Plan for provision through planned sites wherever major developments come through Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Make land available No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 for business

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	13	2	0	44	3 (1?/-)

+2

+2

+2

access to work

? Uncertain effect

+2

+2

+2

Recommendations: This option is likely to have positive social, environmental and economic effects. It is also likely to have some negative and unknown social and environmental effects. Negative effects include loss of greenfield land and loss of agricultural land. Positive impacts include reducing poverty, provision of sufficient housing, reducing homelessness, increasing affordability and range of housing, access to services, reducing commuting to work and improving access to work. Unknown effects include impact on townscape/ landscape, loss of farmbirds and neighbourhood satisfaction. To some degree the unknown effects would depend on the location of the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's accommodation.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect Positive effects include reducing commuting and improving

Sustainability Appraisal Section 6: Economy and Employment



Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP1: Maintain current allocations and seek to gain increases in land availability Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health facilities Health No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates Healthy lifestyles No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Qualifications Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 anti-social activities Reduce fear of crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link + Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce poverty and + + + social social exclusion exclusion Access key Access key local Direct Link Existing employment land in the district tends to be located at + + + + + + the larger villages and towns within the district. Increasing services services these sites at these locations will improve access to employment opportunities Accessibility of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Employment Unemployment Direct Link + + Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce + + + unemployment Job opportunities Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land will provide sufficient + + + + + employment opportunities 0 0 Improve earnings Possible Link 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 homelessness No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Provide enough 0 0 housing

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP1: Maintain current allocations and seek to gain increases in land availability Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase range and affordability Reduce no of unfit No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of Direct Link + + + + Allocating sufficient employment land will increase + + neighbourhood satisfaction participation neighbourhood No Direct Link Increase green space 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities No Direct Link Improve ethnic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives +5 +5 +5 +5 +5 +5 Positive effects include reducing poverty, access to services, +5 reducing unemployment, provision of job opportunities and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Minimise loss of To conserve Direct Link Increasing existing allocations is likely to lead to a loss of Greenfield land and agricultural land soil resources Greenfield land and quality Increasing existing allocations is likely to lead to a loss of Loss agricultural land Direct Link -_ Greenfield land and agricultural land No Direct Link Soil quality 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP1: Maintain current allocations and seek to gain increases in land availability Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste 0 waste No Direct Link Increase recycling 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects of traffic Reduce need for local Direct Link + + + + + Existing employment land in the district tends to be located at + the larger villages and towns within the district. Increasing travel these sites at these locations will improve access to employment opportunities and this is likely to reduce the need for local travel Increase car journeys No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 Reduce Reducing energy contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conserve and enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Reverse decline in 0 0 0 farmland birds

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP1: Maintain current allocations and seek to gain increases in land availability Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Historical and Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 Landscapes 0 0 and land townscapes Improve landscape/ No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 townscape Negative effects include loss of Greenfield and agricultural Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 +1 land and positive effects include reducing the need for local +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 travel **Economic Indicators** Allocating sufficient employment land will improve business Economic Improve business Direct Link + + + + + + growth development development Promote growth in 0 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic Direct Link + + + + Allocating sufficient employment land will improve economic + performance performance Rural diversification No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP1: Maintain current allocations and seek to gain increases in land availability Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) To encourage Will it reduce Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce commuting + + efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Improve accessibility To encourage Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land will improve accessibility + + + efficient to work to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth No Direct Link Reduce journey times 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods No Direct Link Encourage 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land will ensure that sufficient + + + + + + land are available for business development for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives +5 +5 +5 +5 +5 Positive effects include improving business development and +5 economic performance, reducing commuting, improve accessibility to work and making land available for business development

Sustainability Objective	Sub Ob	jective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justifica	tion for assessment	
			Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)		Short Term	Med term Long term Secondary Cumulative		Synergy	Rating					
Conclusions:			-			I				1				
Score		++ Major po	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	tive	Ι.	- Majoi	r negati	ive	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		0		11		2)			49	0
Recommendat	ions:													
job opportunities available for bus	s, reducing siness dev	the need for elopment and	local travel, i increasing n	improving business of the second second improving business of the second second improvement of the second second in the second second second in the second s	develop action.	ment ar The opti	nd econ ion is al	omic pe Iso likel	erforma y to ha	ance, re ve nega	ducing ative en	commuting vironmenta	g, improve accessibility t	g unemployment, provision o work and making land cts include loss of Greenfinand.
job opportunities available for bus and agricultural	s, reducing siness dev	the need for elopment and ation could in	local travel, i increasing n	improving business of the second second improving business of the second second improvement of the second second in the second second second in the second s	develop action.	ment ar The opti	nd econ ion is al	omic pe Iso likel	erforma y to ha	ance, re ve nega	ducing ative en	commuting vironmenta	g, improve accessibility t al effects. Negative effe	o work and making cts include loss of G

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce poverty and social exclusion
Access key services	Access key local services	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce unemployment
	Job opportunities	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will provide sufficient employment opportunities
	Improve earnings	Possible Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	Allocating sufficient employment land will increase neighbourhood satisfaction but to some degree it will also depend on the location of these sites.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against social obje	ctives	+3 1?/ + 1?	Positive effects include reducing poverty, reducing unemployment and provision of job opportunities. Unknown effects include access to services and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.						
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Direct Link	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	There is a limited amount of brownfield land available within the district. The effect would however depend on the location of new employment sites.
	Loss agricultural land	Direct Link	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	There is a limited amount of brownfield land available within the district. The effect would however depend on the location of new employment sites.
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Increase recycling Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Increase car journeys	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	oraisal against environme	ntal objectives	?/-2 ?5	Unknown/ negative effects include loss of Greenfield and agricultural land and unknown effects include reducing the need for local travel, increasing sustainable modes of travel, using underused land and improving townscape/ landscape.						
Economic In				•			•			
Economic growth	Improve business development	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will improve business development
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will improve economic performance
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will ensure that sufficien land are available for business development
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	Positive effects include improving business development and economic performance and making land available for busines development. Unknown effects include reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work, increasing the range of employment opportunities in town centres and reducing vacar units in town centres

Core Strate Sustainability Objective	Sub Ob		ptions: Q		-	ted Eff		Main	tain o	currei	nt allo	Justification for assessment	v sites
			Nature of where po	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Conclusions:												<u> </u>	
Score Number		++ Major po	ositive	+ Minor positive 6		- Mino	or negat	tive		· - Majo)	r negat	ive 0 Neutral effect 40	? Uncertain effect 13 (?/-2 ?/+1)
unknown enviro business devel neighbourhood	kely to have onmental e opment, im satisfactio reasing sus	ffects. Mitigat proving econom, improving a stainable mod	tion could inc omic performaccessibility to	lude careful site sele ance and making lar o work, increasing th	ection. nd avail ne range	Positive able for e of emp	e effects busine ploymer	s includ ss deve nt oppo	e reduc elopme rtunities	cing po nt. Unk s in tow	verty, re nown e n centr	ocial, environmental and economic of educing unemployment, provision of the fects include reducing commuting a es, reducing vacant units in town connegative effects include loss of Gre	f job opportunities, improving access to services, increasing entres reducing the need for

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?
++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators					l				
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce poverty and social exclusion
Access key services	Access key local services	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Allocating sites at smaller centres and rural locations could reduce the access to employment facilities in larger villages and towns
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce unemployment
	Job opportunities	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will provide sufficient employment opportunities
	Improve earnings	Possible Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Provide enough housing	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will increase neighbourhood satisfaction
•	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against social obje	ectives	+4 -1	+4 -1	+4 -1	+4 -1	+4 -1	+4 -1	+4 -1	Positive effects include reducing poverty reducing unemployment, provision of job opportunities and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. Negative effects include access to services.
Environmen	tal Indicators			•	•	•	•	•		
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1 7	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Locating employment facilities in rural areas is likely to lead to a loss of Greenfield land
and quality	Loss agricultural land	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Locating employment facilities in rural areas is likely to lead to a loss of agricultural land
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ĭ

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP3: Maintain existing sites and allocate at local centres and more rural locations Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Use of water Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local Direct Link Allocating sites in rural areas could lead to a reduced need for + + + + travel travel in these areas but this is likely to lead to a need for travel in the larger population concentrations within the district No Direct Link Increase car journeys 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce Reducing energy No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Depends on where allocations are made.
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ntal objectives	-2 +1 ?1	Negative effects include loss of Greenfield land, loss of agricultural land. Positive effects include the need for local travel. Unknown effects include the impact on townscape/landscape.						
Economic Ir	ndicators							ı		
Economic growth	Improve business development	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will improve business development
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will improve economic performance
	Rural diversification	No Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sites in rural areas is likely to lead to rura diversification
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP3: Maintain existing sites and allocate at local centres and more rural locations

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Allocating sites in rural areas could lead to a reduced need for travel in these areas but this is likely to lead to a need for travel in the larger population concentrations within the district
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Direct Link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Allocating sites in rural areas could lead to a reduced need for travel in these areas but this is likely to lead to a need for travel in the larger population concentrations within the district
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will ensure that sufficient land are available for business development
Summary of app	praisal against economic	objectives	+4 -2	+4 -2	+4 -2	+4 -2	+4 -2	+4 -2	+4 -2	Positive effects include improving business development and economic performance, rural diversification and making land available for business development. Negative impacts include commuting and accessibility to work.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assess	sment
		Nature of where po	f Effect (quantify essible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Conclusions:								I				
Score	++ Major	ositive	+ Minor positive	-	- Minc	r negat	ive	-	- Majo	r negati	ve 0 Neutral effect	ct ? Uncertain effect
Number	0		9		5			0	1		47	1

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators								l	
Health	Health facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce poverty and social exclusion
Access key services	Access key local services	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce unemployment
	Job opportunities	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will provide sufficient employment opportunities
	Improve earnings	Possible Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strate elsewhere	gy Issues and Op	tions: Question EMP5	. Opti	on El	MP4:	De-a	lloca	te soı	me si	tes not already developed and allocate
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Provide enough housing	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Direct Link	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	?/+	Allocating sufficient employment land will increase neighbourhood satisfaction but to some degree it will also depend on the location of these sites.
	Increase green space	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against social obje	ectives	+4 1?/ + 1?	Positive effects include reducing poverty, reducing unemployment and provision of job opportunities. Unknown effects include access to services and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction.						
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	Direct Link	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	++ ?/-	++ ?/-	?/-	There is a limited amount of brownfield land available within the district. The effect would however depend on the location of new employment sites.

Core Strate elsewhere	gy Issues and Op	tions: Question EMP5	. Opti	on El	MP4:	De-al	locat	e sor	ne si	tes not already developed and allocate
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Loss agricultural land	Direct Link	?/-	?/-	?/-	?/-	++ ?/-	++ ?/-	?/-	There is a limited amount of brownfield land available within the district. The effect would however depend on the location of new employment sites.
	Soil quality	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Increase car journeys	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

elsewhere			-							tes not already developed and allocate
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
Summary of app	praisal against environme	ental objectives	?/-2 ?5	Unknown/ negative effects include loss of Greenfield and agricultural land and unknown effects include reducing the need for local travel, increasing sustainable modes of travel, using underused land and improving townscape/ landscape.						
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will improve business development
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will improve economic performance
To revitalise town centres	Rural diversification Increase range of employment opportunities	No Direct Link Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites

Core Strate elsewhere	gy Issues and Op	tions: Question EMP5	. Opti	on El	MP4:	De-a	locat	e sor	ne si	tes not already developed and allocate
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Direct Link	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	This will depend on the location of new employment sites
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No Direct Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	Direct Link	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Allocating sufficient employment land will ensure that sufficient land are available for business development

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects		_	_		Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Summary of app	praisal against econon	nic objectives	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	+3 ?4	Positive effects include improving business development and economic performance and making land available for busines development. Unknown effects include reducing commuting and improving accessibility to work, increasing the range of employment opportunities in town centres and reducing vacar units in town centres

- Minor negative

Recommendations:

Score

Number

This option is likely to have positive and unknown social and economic effects. The option is also likely to have unknown social, environmental and economic effects as well as negative/ unknown environmental effects. Mitigation could include careful site selection. Positive effects include reducing poverty, reducing unemployment, provision of job opportunities, improving business development, improving economic performance and making land available for business development. Unknown effects include reducing commuting access to services, increasing neighbourhood satisfaction, improving accessibility to work, increasing the range of employment opportunities in town centres, reducing vacant units in town centres reducing the need for local travel, increasing sustainable modes of travel, using underused land and impact on townscape/ landscape. Unknown/ negative effects include loss of Greenfield and agricultural land. This option could have more significant cumulative and synergistic effects in terms of loss of Greenfield land and agricultural land.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

+ Minor positive

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect 13 (1?/+; 2?/-)) Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP5: Concentrate on provision of new employment land in the same locations as residential development, or through mixed use developments **Sub Objective** Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Med term Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Direct Link Death rates 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Qualifications Crime and Will reduce crime No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 anti-social activities No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime Reduce noise and No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Poverty and Reduce poverty Direct Link + + + + Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce poverty and + social social exclusion exclusion Access key Access key local Direct Link + Provision of employment in the same locations as residential + + + + services services development or through mixed developments will increase the access to employment opportunities Accessibility of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Employment Unemployment Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land will reduce + + + + + + unemployment Allocating sufficient employment land will provide sufficient Job opportunities Direct Link + employment opportunities Possible Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings 0 0 0 Housing Reduce No Direct Link 0 0 0 homelessness 0 0 0 Provide enough No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 housing

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP5: Concentrate on provision of new employment land in the same locations as residential development, or through mixed use developments Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase range and affordability No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce no of unfit homes Community Satisfaction of Direct Link + + + + Allocating sufficient employment land will increase + + neighbourhood satisfaction participation neighbourhood Increase green space No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities No Direct Link Improve ethnic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives +5 +5 +5 +5 +5 +5 Positive effects include reducing poverty, access to services, +5 reducing unemployment, provision of job opportunities and increasing neighbourhood satisfaction. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 There is a limited amount of brownfield land available within Minimise loss of Direct Link To conserve soil resources Greenfield land the district and if these are in the same location as residential development or through mixed sites it is likely that this will lead and quality to a loss of Greenfield land Direct Link There is a limited amount of brownfield land available within Loss agricultural land the district and if these are in the same location as residential development or through mixed sites it is likely that this will lead to a loss of Greenfield land Soil quality No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP5: Concentrate on provision of new employment land in the same locations as residential development, or through mixed use developments Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Use of water Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources 0 0 Sustainable use of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local Direct Link Provision of employment in the same locations as residential + + + + travel development or through mixed developments will increase the access to employment opportunities and reduce the need for local travel No Direct Link Increase car journeys 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 Reduce 0 0 0 contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? No Direct Link Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP5: Concentrate on provision of new employment land in the same locations as residential development, or through mixed use developments Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Reverse decline in No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Historical and 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites ? ? ? ? Landscapes Reduce underused Direct Link ? Depends on location of employment allocations land and townscapes Improve landscape/ Direct Link ? ? ? ? ? ? Depends on location of employment allocations townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives -2 -2 Negative effects include loss of Greenfield and agricultural -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 land and positive effects include reducing the need for local +1 +1 ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 ?2 travel. Unknown effects include reducing underused land and impacts on townscape/ landscape. **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business Direct Link + Allocating sufficient employment land will improve business + + + + + + growth development development Promote growth in 0 0 No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic Direct Link + + + + Allocating sufficient employment land will improve economic + + performance performance Rural diversification No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question EMP5. Option EMP5: Concentrate on provision of new employment land in the same locations as residential development, or through mixed use developments Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) To encourage Will it reduce Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land and at the same + + efficient commuting locations as residential development will reduce commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Allocating sufficient employment land and at the same To encourage Improve accessibility Direct Link + + + + + efficient locations as residential development will reduce commuting to work patterns of and improve accessibility to work movement in support of economic growth No Direct Link Reduce journey times 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase Encourage investment indigenous business Encourage inward No Direct Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available Direct Link Allocating sufficient employment land will ensure that sufficient + + + + + + land are available for business development for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives +5 +5 +5 +5 +5 Positive effects include improving business development and +5 economic performance, reducing commuting, improve accessibility to work and making land available for business development

Sustainability Objective	Sub Ob	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
			Nature of where po	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Conclusions:			l									
Score		++ Major pos	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	- Major	r negati	tive 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect
Number		0		11		2			C)		49 2
Recommendati	ions:											

Sustainability Appraisal Section 7: Built and Natural Environment



- 1

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 1 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) should contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects

Sustainability Objective	ntribute towards mitiga Sub Objective			licted E	ffects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indicate	ors									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti- social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No predicted effects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Possible that some housing may not come forwards due to requirement to mitigate against any flood risk, but this is not expected to impact to any significant level (as only affecting development in higher flood risk area which would be expected to be minimum)
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Improvements to be seen in the future.	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Will be improved indirectly by ensuring that any future development that takes place in areas at higher risk of flooding will include mitigation measures
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	g

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 1 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) should contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment noting: Objective **Nature of Effect** Short Term Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Rating (quantify where possible) No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in decision 0 making Involvement in volunteer No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 activities Improve ethnic relations No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve access to cultural No direct link 0 0 0 0 facilities Summary of appraisal against social Although there is a risk that housing may not be delivered due to additional costs, this would essentially still be a benefit as any housing coming forwards would be in areas at lower risk from flooding. People's satisfaction with their own neighbourhoods should objectives improve due to lower incidence and lower risk from flooding. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water Potential (indirect) 0 0 0 + ? Investment in mitigation measures will reduce the risk of improvements if flooding events which can cause contamination of water quality flooding occurred and contributions towards mitigation took place Quality of air No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Minimise loss of Greenfield To conserve soil No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 resources and land quality Loss agricultural land No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Soil quality No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water and No direct link 0 mineral resources minerals No direct link Sustainable use of water 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste Reduce household waste No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase recycling No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects of Effect traffic volumes No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 traffic Reduce need for local 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 travel 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase car journeys No direct link

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 1 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) should contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective			dicted I	Effects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Improvement	++	++	++	0	+	?	++	Ensuring contributions for mitigation measures means that risk of flooding to new development will be reduced where development takes place in higher risk areas.
	Risk damage from storms	Improved	+	++	++	0	?	?	++	Where development takes place in higher risk areas, having mitigation measures in place will ensure reduced risk from rain/wind/surges etc
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	In Babergh, some of the most important sites are also at flood risk or near to areas at higher flood risk so there could be some impacts but it would depend on the type of development
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Pred	licted I	ffects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	laisal against environmental									I gh either not being able to provide mitigation to reduc
objectives		(so development doesn't	go ahe	ad), or	by redu	icing ris	sk wher	e devel	lopmer	t does proceed.
Economic Inc						1	1	1		
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 1 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) should contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects

Sustainability
Objective
Predicted Effects
Justification for assessment noting:

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Pred	licted E	Effects	ı	Г	ı	ı	Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Summary appraisal against economic objectives No links to economic development

Conclusions: Ensuring that development in locations of higher flood risk provide mitigation will make development acceptable- any development resulting in a higher risk to development on site or elsewhere will not be allowed by higher policies- e.g. PPS25 etc. This option does not produce any negative effects.

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	2	2	0	0	58	0

Recommendations: A significant control on development is set out at national level relating to flood risk management and mitigation. However, for development that could go ahead in areas of higher flood risk, this option is more appropriate than not requiring contributions to mitigation- unless the alternative is not to allow development in higher risk areas (through the sequential test)

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 2 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) does not need to contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities No direct link Death rates No direct link Healthy lifestyles No direct link Education Improve No direct link Qualifications Crime and Will reduce crime No direct link anti-social activities Reduce fear of crime No direct link Reduce noise and No direct link odours Poverty and Reduce poverty No direct link social exclusion Access key local No direct link Access key services services Accessibility of No direct link shopping facilities Access child care No direct link **Employment** Unemployment No direct link Job opportunities No direct link Improve earnings No direct link Housing Reduce No direct link n homelessness Provide enough No direct link housing Increase range and No direct link affordability Reduce no of unfit No direct link homes

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 2 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) does not need to contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Short Term Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Satisfaction of 0 ? ? Satisfaction may be reduced by the fact that development Community Possible negative effects participation neighbourhood which cannot be located in lower risk areas, if allowed to take place, may result in higher risk Increase green space No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities Improve ethnic No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social If development in higher risk areas is still allowed to be built, with no mitigation contributions, there is a chance that risk may be increased and objectives therefore that satisfaction with the neighbourhood may reduce. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water 0 0 0 If there is increased flood risk with no requirement for quality mitigation contributions, there is a greater flood risk and that water could be contaminated. Quality of air No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To conserve Minimise loss of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 soil resources Greenfield land and quality Loss agricultural land No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Soil quality No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sustainable use of 0 0 Use of water No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 2 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) does not need to contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects

Sustainability	ot need to contribut Sub Objective	te towards mitigation of	flood Predic							Justification for assessment noting:
Objective	Sub Objective		rieuic	tea Liii		_	1	_	_	dustification for assessment flouring.
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Negative	-			-		?		Although limited development can take place in higher flood risk areas, if it goes ahead with no requirement to contribute to mitigation there could be a higher risk of that and local development being damaged by floods.
	Risk damage from storms	Negative	-			0	-	?		If there is no requirement for contributions to mitigation, development could also be more at risk to damage through rain, wind and sea surges experienced during storms.
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link								

							anno	t be I	ocate	d in low flood risk areas (based on sequential
test) does n	ot need to contribu	te towards mitigation	of flood	risk e	ffects	;				
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective			ted Eff		Justification for assessment noting:				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link								
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link								
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link								
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link								
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link								
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link								
Summary of app	praisal against environme		developmen n increased							flooding with no compulsory contributions to mitigation, there is
Economic	Indicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE2- Option 2 Development which cannot be located in low flood risk areas (based on sequential test) does not need to contribute towards mitigation of flood risk effects Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary appraisal against economic objectives No links with economic development **Conclusions:** No positive effects as a result of this policy ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect Score 0 2 2 58

Core Strate	gy Issues and Op	tions: NBE2- Option 2	Devel	lopme	ent wh	nich c	annot	be lo	cate	d in low flood risk areas (based on sequential
test) does no	ot need to contribut	te towards mitigation of f	ilood r	risk ef	ffects					
Sustainability	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects		Justification for assessment noting:			
Objective										
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendations: If this policy is selected, the alternative to providing mitigation contributions is that development should not be allowed at all. If it is determined that it is not appropriate to require contribution to mitigation for development, then the other best alternative would be that development is not allowed in higher risk areas at all unless it can be proved that it will not adversely affect flood risk at the site or elsewhere- similar to controls in national policy.										
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective? ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect										

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE3 Flood issues: options are all similar and details relate only to length into future that climate change predictions should consider. As a result, no benefit will be gained by carrying out SA on each option Predicted Effects Justification for assessment noting: Sustainability **Sub Objective** Objective Nature of Effect (quantify **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities No direct link Death rates No direct link Healthy lifestyles No direct link Education Improve No direct link Qualifications Crime and Will reduce crime No direct link anti-social activities Reduce fear of crime No direct link Reduce noise and No direct link odours Reduce poverty Poverty and No direct link social exclusion Access key Access key local No direct link services services Accessibility of No direct link shopping facilities Access child care No direct link Unemployment No direct link **Employment** Job opportunities No direct link Improve earnings No direct link Housing Reduce No direct link homelessness Provide enough No direct link housing Increase range and No direct link affordability Reduce no of unfit No direct link homes

	-									elate only to length into future that climate
change pred Sustainability Objective	dictions should con-	sider. As a result, no b	enefit v Predic			ed by	carry	ring ou	ut SA	on each option Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Considering climate change in development decisions will ensure minimal future risk to development (new and existing) which will in turn increase satisfaction
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against social obje	ctives								mited social objectives affected but generally satisfaction will e from flooding.
Water and air quality	Quality of water	Improvement	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Considering changes in the future will reduce the risk to the water supply from floods and potential side effects including contamination.
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE3 Flood issues: options are all similar and details relate only to length into future that climate change predictions should consider. As a result, no benefit will be gained by carrying out SA on each option

		sider. As a result, no be				ea by	carry	ing o	ut SA	
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
- C	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Significant improvement	+	++	++	++	++	?	++	By considering future changes, existing and new development will be protected from increased risk of flooding in the future (through climate change)
	Risk damage from storms	Significant improvement	+	++	++	++	++	?	++	Climate change is likely to increase the frequency and severity of storms and so considering the effects of climate change in the future for developments will help reduce the risk.
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strate	gy Issues and Op	tions: NBE3 Flood issu	ies: o	otions	are a	all sim	ilar a	nd de	tails r	elate only to length into future that climate
change pred	dictions should con-	sider. As a result, no be	nefit v	vill be	gaine	ed by	carry	ing o	ut SA	on each option
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Predic			,		_ <u>_</u>		Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	praisal against environme		ate chai							weather conditions and increased flood risk so it is sensible to e.
Economic	Indicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE3 Flood issues: options are all similar and details relate only to length into future that climate change predictions should consider. As a result, no benefit will be gained by carrying out SA on each option Predicted Effects Sustainability **Sub Objective** Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth No direct link Reduce journey times 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Increase Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 indigenous business investment Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary appraisal against economic objectives No economic links Conclusions: It is sensible to consider future impacts of climate change as this will affect future development Score ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 3 0 0 58

	Strategy Issues and Options: NBE3 Flood issues: options are all similar and details relate only to length into future that climate ge predictions should consider. As a result, no benefit will be gained by carrying out SA on each option Predicted Effects Justification for assessment noting:									
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects	T				Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati			l		ı	ı				
Should definitely	include considerations of	of climate change- the length of t	ime to d	conside	r shoul	d be as	far as i	s reaso	nably p	oossible.
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positive		e contribution to the appraisal ob Minor negative I	jective? Major n		. () Neutra	al effect	: 7	? Uncer	tain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 1 Development should be located away from sources of air pollution and encouraged in locations which minimise the use of the car Sub Objective Predicted Effects Sustainability Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Short Term **Sumulative** Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities No direct link 0 0 Encouraging development in locations which minimises the + ++ ++ use of the car will include locations with good access to GPs so could have beneficial effects for this indicator. Death rates Possible improvement 0 + + + ? ? Minimising the exposure to air pollution and locating development where there is less need to use the car could result in healthier lifestyles and therefore lower death rates. Healthy lifestyles Improvement ? ? The improvements are due to the location of development in ++ ++ areas which will reduce the need of the use of the car and improve healthy lifestyles. Also, reduced exposure to pollutants will improve health (e.g. reduce respiratory complaints) and could result in improved healthy lifestyles Education Minimal impact 0 0 0 0 Potential for improved access to opportunities through Improve + 0 Qualifications locating development in accessible locations but only expected to be minimal change to "business as usual" 0 0 Crime and Will reduce crime No direct link 0 0 0 0 anti-social activities Reduce fear of crime No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and Possible improvement 0 + ? By minimising exposure of development to air pollution, and + odours preventing it from worsening due to location of new development, there may well be fewer complaints due to improved air quality Poverty and 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce poverty No direct link 0 0 social exclusion Access key local 0 Possible improvement in new development by locating new Access key Possible improvements ++ ++ ++ + + services development in accessible locations to minimise use of car services Accessibility of Improvement due to location 0 Possible improvement in new development by locating new ++ ++ ++ + shopping facilities of new development development in accessible locations to minimise use of car There are potential positive impacts by locating development Access child care No change expected + + + 0 0 0 in sustainable locations but expected to be smaller for childcare access than for shopping

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 1 Development should be located away from sources of air pollution and encouraged in locations which minimise the use of the car Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Short Term Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Potential for small improvements in accessibility through **Employment** Unemployment No significant link locating new development in locations to minimise car but this will be expressed in other policies. Job opportunities No link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 homelessness Provide enough No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 housing Increase range and No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 affordability Reduce no of unfit No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of Improvement ++ ++ + There is a high chance that residents will have increased ++ satisfaction with their neighbourhoods due to improved air participation neighbourhood quality and improved health and improved access to services through location of development. 0 0 Increase green space No direct link 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in decision making 0 0 0 0 0 Involvement in No direct link 0 0 volunteer activities 0 0 Improve ethnic No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against Many of the social objectives are improved by locating development in places which result in lower use of the car. The air pollution reduction social objectives will also improve health and social quality by reducing the exposure to air pollution (and therefore reducing the risk of the resulting health/environmental impacts). This is a two fold process- improvements will be seen by both keeping development away from sources of air pollution and by minimising the use of the car there will be less additional pollution added. **Environmental Indicators** Quality of water Water and air No direct link 0 0 0 0 quality

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 1 Development should be located away from sources of air pollution and encouraged in locations which minimise the use of the car

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects	ı	ı	1	1	Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Quality of air		+	++	++	+	0	++	++	By the theory behind the option, there will be a significant improvement- lower exposure for developments by locating away from sources of pollution and reducing use of car and resultant emissions.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Improvement expected	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	By locating development in places which reduce the need to use the car, there will be a positive impact on traffic volumes.
	Reduce need for local travel	Significant improvement	+	++	++	?+	++	?	++	By ensuring accessible development locations, there should be a lower need to make local journeys in the car.
	Increase car journeys	Potential improvement	+	+	+	+	++	?	+	By locating development in places which minimise the need of the car, more journeys should take place using sustainable methods.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Improvement expected	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	By reducing the need to travel by car and using sustainable methods of travel there is a reduced fuel demand
<u> </u>	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 1 Development should be located away from sources of air pollution and encouraged in locations which minimise the use of the car

	which minimise the	use of the car								
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	Possible indirect negative	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	Sites near pollution sources may be suitable for development in other ways but may not be considered due to their location
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	Potential positive	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Development away form sources of pollution will ensure both natural and built environment cleaner
Summary of appendix of appendi										ainable methods of transport and reducing need to travel at all, ements to air quality and environment

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 1 Development should be located away from sources of air pollution and encouraged in locations which minimise the use of the car Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Cumulative Short Term Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Rating where possible) **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business ? ? ? ? ? Will have positive impact on "greener" businesses but Some positive some growth development negative potential negative on polluting industries. Likely to promote investment in "clean/green" industry-Promote growth in No direct link 0 + + + 0 key sectors particularly for the most accessible locations. 0 Economic No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of 0 0 0 employment town centres opportunities 0 Decrease vacant No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 Potential for improvement by supporting development in locations which will reduce the need for the private car but units in town centres? likely to be limited. 0 0 0 0 To encourage Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 0 efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth ? ? ? To encourage Improve accessibility Could be ? By locating development in places where there is minimised positive and efficient to work negative for some sectors use of personal car accessibility should be improved, however it could be harder to access "pollutant" industries so patterns of movement in care will be needed when defining what level of pollution constitutes a source of pollution. support of economic growth Reduce journey times Potentially mixed outcomes ? ? ? Could decrease for some but equally could increase for between employment other, more "polluting" employment. areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 1 Development should be located away from sources of air pollution and encouraged in locations which minimise the use of the car

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary appra	isal against economic obj	ectives	Some p	ositive	and so	me neg	ative- g	eneral	ly nega	tive for those sectors which are deemed to be polluting, and

Conclusions:

Benefits arise in many places where the benefits stem from the location of development in sustainable, accessible locations to reduce the need of the car and away from sources of pollution. This is beneficial for health and environment in new development, but existing development which is a source of pollution or near a source of pollution could potentially become less accessible and therefore suffer from the related problems this will introduce. Emphasis on the sustainable location will be reflected throughout the document.

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	9	6	1	0	43	3

Recommendations:

The definition and "acceptable" levels of pollution would need to be carefully defined if this policy is used. The steer towards accessible sustainable locations will also be reflected in other policies.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

positive for those greener, more environmentally friendly industries.

? Uncertain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 2 Development should only be allowed in areas where pollution is an issue where mitigation measures are put in place Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects Notes** Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 Health Health facilities No direct link 0 No direct impact, although 0 0 0 0 0 By following this option, the situation would not get worse. Death rates 0 will not get worse Healthy lifestyles Improvement ? ? Likely to result in overall improvement for areas that do get + + + developed Improve 0 Education No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Qualifications Will reduce crime No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and anti-social activities Reduce fear of crime No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 May also help area wider than just that in which the Reduce noise and Possible improvement + + + development takes place and so potentially will improve overall odours situation Poverty and No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce poverty social exclusion Access key local 0 0 0 0 0 0 Access key No direct link 0 services services Accessibility of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Unemployment **Employment** No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 homelessness Provide enough Potential negative impact ? ? If mitigation measures are required, it may mean that housing, particularly smaller developments, does not come forwards housing Potential negative impact ? ? As above, increased costs may result in affordable/lower cost Increase range and affordability housing not coming forwards

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Notes
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Possible improvement (particularly in areas where pollution is an issue)	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Due to mitigation and prevention of development where it is no in place, people may be more satisfied
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of approbjectives	oraisal against social	result in less development cor	ning for	wards,	and the	situati	on will t	herefor	e not ir	goes ahead. There could be a risk that the increased costs will nprove. solely to air quality and pollution.
Environme	ntal Indicators							•		
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air		+	++	++	+	0	0	++	By the theory behind the option, there will be a significant improvement- particularly where there is an issue with air quality
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 2 Development should only be allowed in areas where pollution is an issue where mitigation measures are put in place Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects Notes** Objective Nature of Effect (quantify Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Sustainable use of 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 water Reduce waste Reduce household No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste Increase recycling No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Effect traffic volumes 0 +? +? Reduce Likely to improve + + + effects of Requiring air quality to improve (and refusing permission traffic where development would make it worse) would be likely to Reduce need for local 0 0 0 + + + encourage measures to be introduced that would reduce the travel need to travel, particularly using the personal car, and Increase car journeys Potential improvement + 0 0 + + improving availability/accessibility of public transport. by sustainable methods Potential improvement Reduce the need to travel by car and therefore reducing Reduce Reducing energy 0 0 0 + + + contributions consumption? energy requirements. to climate change Increase proportion of No direct link 0 0 0 BY requiring energy that is not polluting- alternatives may be + + + energy needs met by used. renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding 0 Risk damage from No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conserve and No direct link 0 enhance sites Does the policy or biodiversity proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?

Sustainability Objective	easures are put in Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Notes
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
_andscapes and	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ownscapes	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environm	ental objectives								nd preventing development where it would adversely effect the ace would generally provide benefits to the environment
Economic I	ndicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	+ & -	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There may be an adverse impact on the growth of industries/sectors with related emissions/pollutants. Conversely, there could be opportunities for those that embrace greener technologies.
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise own centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects	1	1	ı	1	Notes
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Likely to encourage sustainable movement of freight but unclear whether this would be significant.
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

- Minor negative

2

for "requiring attention" what ever that is set at (e.g. using national standards). ++ Major positive

3

Score

+ Minor positive

6

? Uncertain effect

0 Neutral effect

50

- - Major negative

0

Nature of Effect (quantify where possible) Nature of Effect (quantify where possible) Synergy Syner	
Recommendations: The levels above which to apply the requirement to provide contributions would have to be set (e.g. higher than national). Consideration would have to be given to levels	le of contributions
Key to Appraisal of Effects	is of contributions.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district **Sub Objective** Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective **Short Term Cumulative** Secondary Long term **Nature of Effect** Med term Synergy Rating (quantify where possible) Social Indicators Health Health facilities No direct link 0 0 A policy would be likely to encourage development + located in accessible locations, and support improved public transport which would help access to health facilities. Potential positive 0 0 A policy would aim to improve air quality for all Death rates + + + + members of community-potentially reducing respiratory problems as well as encouraging more active lifestyles generally As one method of improving air quality, emphasis on Healthy lifestyles Improvement ++ ++ ++ + + methods of transport other than the car would be concentrated on-leading to lower exposures to the pollutants related to ti and also increased proportion of journeys possible by walking/cycling and public transport. Education No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and No direct link anti-social activities No direct link Reduce fear of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 crime Should improve A policy reducing air pollution will likely have the knock Reduce noise and ++ ++ ++ ? ? odours on effect of reducing odours Poverty and Reduce poverty No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 social exclusion ? Although indirect, any policy will encourage minimal Access key Access key local Potential improvements ? ? + + + use of cars and therefore improved access to services services services and facilities through walking/public transport. ? ? ? Accessibility of Potential improvements + + + shopping facilities

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district **Sub Objective** Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective **Short Term Cumulative** Secondary Long term **Nature of Effect** Med term Synergy Rating (quantify where possible) ? ? ? Access child care Potential improvements + + **Employment** Unemployment No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings 0 Reduce No direct link 0 n 0 0 Housing homelessness Possible There is a risk that housing will be more tightly Provide enough worsening of constrained in terms of associated pollutants, and also housing situation require higher costs for mitigation so some may not come forwards With higher costs (as above), particularly affordable or Increase range Potentially get worse _ ? ? ? and affordability low cost housing may not come forwards. Reduce no of unfit No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Likely to improve, particularly for areas where there is Community Satisfaction of Improvement ? ? ? + + + a problem already as these would be a priority for an participation neighbourhood air quality management policy. Increase green No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 space Engagement in No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 volunteer activities 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 Improve ethnic relations Improve access to No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives There would be an improvement in terms of access to services and facilities by encouraging development in locations which reduces the need to use a car. The potential increase in cost to cover mitigation could result in lower development levels coming forwards.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district **Sub Objective** Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective **Short Term Cumulative** Secondary Long term **Nature of Effect** Synergy Med term Rating (quantify where possible) **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality By definition, a policy relating to improving air quality ? Quality of air Improvement ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ would aim to do it if implemented To conserve Minimise loss of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Greenfield land soil resources and quality Loss agricultural No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 land Soil quality No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 and mineral of minerals resources 0 0 Sustainable use No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 of water Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 Reduce waste 0 0 0 0 household waste Increase recycling No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 A policy would seek to reduce traffic to reduce Reduce effects Effect traffic Improvement ++ ++ 0 of traffic volumes emissions and improve air quality, particularly in problem areas Significant improvement ? Reduce need for ++ ++ ++ + + ++ local travel A policy would seek to reduce the need to use cars by ensuring available services and facilities locally Increase car Significant improvement ? ++ ++ ++ + ++ iourneys Reduce Reducing energy Improvement indirectly ? ? An aim of a policy would include reducing energy + + + consumption (e.g. fuels) therefore reducing associated contributions consumption? to climate emissions and pollutants change

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district

district Sustainability	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Fff	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
Objective			110010	T T		I		ı	П	- Constitution to assessment noting.
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Indirect improvement	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	A policy could seek to use a cleaner alternative to providing energy- e.g. renewables.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Development around sites of importance is tightly controlled already.
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district **Sub Objective** Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment noting: Objective **Short Term Cumulative** Secondary Long term **Nature of Effect** Synergy Med term Rating (quantify where possible) No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Protect designated archaeological sites Protect No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 designated geological sites Landscapes Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 and underused land townscapes Potential for improvement ? ? **Improve** 0 0 By improving the air quality, the natural and built + + landscape/ environments will see improvement. townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives Generally a policy aiming to improve the air quality will be beneficial for the environment **Economic Indicators** Improve business Could be positive or Depending on the type of business/industry- traditional Economic growth development negative industries or businesses may suffer if they are classified as being a "pollutant", but conversely, those businesses embracing "greener" methods may well thrive No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Promote growth in key sectors **Economic** No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural No direct link 0 A policy such as this could prove detrimental to rural 0 0 0 diversification diversification as development could be considered to be contrary to sustainability aims. To revitalise Increase range of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 employment town centres opportunities

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Potential improvement	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	A policy would likely encourage locating development in places where there is minimised use of personal carso accessibility should be improved
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Any policy would aim to promote movement of freight by sustainable methods to reduce pollutants associated with lorries etc
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: NBE4- Option 3 A specific policy should be developed to increase the air quality management to try to improve air quality in the district **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Sustainability Justification for assessment noting: Objective **Short Term Cumulative** Secondary Long term **Nature of Effect** Synergy Med term Rating (quantify where possible) Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment 0 0 Make land No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 available for business development Would need a supporting policy specifically on rural diversification to support rural economic growth. Summary appraisal against economic objectives Policies would promote sustainable economic development but not specifically impact on most of these indicators as it would primarily be about air quality. **Conclusions:** It is difficult at this stage to give a definite appraisal of the effects of a policy as there are no specific details but mostly the effects would be positive. + Minor positive 0 Neutral effect Score ++ Major positive - Minor negative - - Major negative ? Uncertain effect 6 11 3 0 41 Recommendations:

Could be linked with renewable energy policy or overall sustainable development/location policies.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

Sustainability Appraisal Section 8: Sustainability and Climate Change



Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	One part of the CSH does cover health and well being, but it is difficult to assess whether there would be measurable health benefits of this option. They are likely to arise for the higher CSH standards.
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
• •	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Potentially neutral over time but negative in the short term	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	Upgrading specifications for buildings may increase costs until market sees it as the 'norm'
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There is no dire be difficult to es	eraisal against social object link and this will only a timate an effect on healt he scores for neutral effe	pply to new homes so it would h which was directly the result								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This should happen	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	If implemented then there should be a more sustainable use o minerals from the outset but this would depend on each scheme.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Sustainable use of water	This should happen	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The aim is to reduce use of water, but other schemes may be needed such as grey water recycling
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	This would be part of the process	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would hav to be met.
	Increase recycling	This would be part of the process	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would hav to be met.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Positive	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new homes which are a small part of th total stock. This is a requirement of CSH.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Positive in the long run. There will be and increase in renewable energy production.	?	?	+	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new dwellings from 2016
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessm
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against environme scores (18) are neutral, b	ental objectives ut there are 6 positive scores								
Economic Ir	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessmen
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability	gy Issu Sub Obj	-	tions: Q			e For		ainab	le Ho	omes	: Opt		National Minimum	
Objective			Nature of where po	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Conclusions:						-I								
Score Number		++ Major pos	itive	+ Minor positive 6		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	- Majoi	r negati	ive	0 Neutral effect 56	? Uncertain effect 0
This option is ve	ry targeted	and so the im	pacts are re	elatively narrow and i	not wid	e rangir	ıg.							
Recommendat	ions: Non	e, other than	it is import	ant to tackle simila	ar prob	olems v	vith the	existi	ng hou	ısing st	tock fo	r a much	greater impact.	
Key to Ap	praisal of	Effects												
Will the policy m ++ Major positive	•	tive or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative		? negative	C) Neutra	al effec	t î	? Uncei	rtain effect	:	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators		1	l.				ı	1	
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	Potential positive	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	One part of the CSH does cover health and well being, but it is difficult to assess whether there would be measurable health benefits of this option. They are likely to arise for the higher CSH standards.
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		ctives esult of this option. The scores								
	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
_	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This should happen	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	If implemented then there should be a more sustainable use of minerals from the outset but this would depend on each scheme. Materials used are part of the scoring system.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS6: Code For Sustainable Homes: Option 2, Higher Standards introduced a year earlier Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) ? Sustainable use of This should happen ? The aim is to reduce use of water, but other schemes may be + + water needed such as grey water recycling This would be part of the ? ? This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have Reduce household ? ? Reduce waste + + waste to be met. process This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have This would be part of the ? Increase recycling + + ? ? to be met. Could be achieved sooner. process Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel Increase car journeys 0 O 0 0 0 No direct link 0 Ω Reducing energy This is a requirement of CSH ? Reduce ++ ++ This only relates to new homes which are a small part of the total stock. Benefits would be achieved sooner. contributions consumption? to climate change In the long run there will be ? ? ? Increase proportion of ? ? This only relates to new dwellings from 2015 and increase in renewable energy needs met by renewable sources? energy production. Positive. Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link climatic events flooding Risk damage from 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 No direct link storms Conserve and Maintain designated No direct link 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan

Reverse decline in

farmland birds

No direct link

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of scores and 1 ma	ajor positive	ental objectives , but there are 5 minor positive								
Economic In										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strate earlier	gy Issu	es and Op	tions: Q	uestion SUS6:	Cod	e For	Sust	ainab	le Ho	mes	: Opt	tion 2,	Higher Standards	introduced a year
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective			Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justific	ation for assessment	
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)					Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Conclusions:				Short Long Cumul Syne										
Score		++ Major pos	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	- Major	r negat	ive	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		1		6		0			0				55	0
This option is ve marginal effect.	rery targeted and so the impacts are relatively narrow and not wide ranging. The assessment is the same										ame as	for the Na	ational Minimum option be	ecause this will only have a
Recommendat	decommendations: None, other than it is important to tackle similar problems with the existing housing stock for a much greater impact.													
Key to Ap	Key to Appraisal of Effects													
Will the policy m	/ill the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective? + Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect													

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects	ı		ı	1	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	One part of the CSH does cover health and well being, but it is difficult to assess whether there would be measurable health benefits of this option. They are likely to arise for the higher CSH standards.
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u> </u>	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	Potentially neutral over time but negative in the short term	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	Upgrading specifications for buildings may increase costs until market sees it as the 'norm'

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ļ	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Potentially neutral over time but negative in the short term	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	Upgrading specifications for buildings may increase costs unti market sees it as the 'norm'
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There is no dire be difficult to es	oraisal against social object link and this will only a timate an effect on healt he scores for neutral effe	pply to new homes so it would h which was directly the result								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
and quanty	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SUS 7: Code For Sustainable Homes: Thresholds: Option 1, to apply to all new build, conversions, redevelopments and extensions in excess of 1 room

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	s and extensions in ex		ted Eff						Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This should happen	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	If implemented then there should be a more sustainable use of minerals from the outset but this would depend on each scheme. This is part of the CSH assessment.
	Sustainable use of water	This should happen	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	The aim is to reduce use of water, but other schemes may be needed such as grey water recycling. This is part of the CSH assessment.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	This would be part of the process	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have to be met. This is part of the CSH assessment.
	Increase recycling	This would be part of the process	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have to be met.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Should be very positive in the medium and long term	+	++	++	?	?	?	++	. This is a requirement of CSH
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	In the long run there will be and increase in renewable energy production.	?	?	+	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new dwellings from 2016
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	s and extensions in ex		ted Eff						Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of scores and one	positive score.	ental objectives but there are 5 major positive								
Economic Ir	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SUS 7: Code For Sustainable Homes: Thresholds: Option 1, to apply to all new build, conversions, redevelopments and extensions in excess of 1 room Sub Objective Predicted Effects Sustainability Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Decrease vacant No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage No direct link efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth 0 C 0 0 To encourage Improve accessibility No direct link 0 0 Ω efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce journey times 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business Encourage inward 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link investment 0 Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business

development

	ore Strategy Issues and Options: SUS 7: Code For Sustainable Homes : Thresholds :Option 1, to apply to all new build, onversions, redevelopments and extensions in excess of 1 room													
Core Strate	gy Issu	es and Or	tions: Sl	JS 7: Code Fo	r Sus	taina	ble H	omes	: Th	hresho	olds:	Option	1, to apply to all i	new build,
												•	, ,,,	ŕ
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj					ted Effe						Justifica	ition for assessment	
	Nature of Effect (quantify where possible) of appraisal against economic objectives						Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Summary of app All the scores ar			objectives											
Conclusions:	Conclusions:													
Score		++ Major pos	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive		Major	r negati	ive	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		5		1		0				0			56	0
•		d and so the in	npacts are re	latively narrow and ı	not wide	e rangir	ıg. The	major	positiv	e score:	s are gi	iven becau	se it also deals with exist	ting housing stock in addition
Recommendations: None, other than it is important to tackle similar problems with the existing housing stock for a much greater impact.														
Key to Ap	praisal of	Effects												
•	Key to Appraisal of Effects Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective? ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect													

of 1 dwelling	ng and above Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
Objective										
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators		•		,					
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	One part of the CSH does cover health and well being, but it is difficult to assess whether there would be measurable health benefits of this option. They are likely to arise for the higher CSH standards.
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There is no dire be difficult to es	praisal against social object link and this will only a timate an effect on healt he scores for neutral effe	pply to new homes so it would h which was directly the result								
Environmen	tal Indicators								•	
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
17	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	Ō	Ō	Ō	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS7: Code For Sustainable Homes : Thresholds: Option 2: To apply to developments of 1 dwelling and above

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This should happen, potentially positive	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	If implemented then there should be a more sustainable use of minerals from the outset but this would depend on each scheme. This is part of the CSH assessment.
	Sustainable use of water	This should happen	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The aim is to reduce use of water, but other schemes may be needed such as grey water recycling This is part of the CSH assessment
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	This would be part of the process	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have to be met. This is part of the CSH assessment. This could be achieved sooner in all new housing.
	Increase recycling	This would be part of the process	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have to be met for all new housing and could be achieved sooner.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This is a requirement of CSH	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new homes which are a small part of the total stock. Benefits all new housing and would be achieved sooner.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	In the long run there will be and increase in renewable energy production.	?	?	+	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new dwellings from 2016 But will relate to all new dwellings under this option.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	g and above Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The majority of	, , ,	ntal objectives ut there are 6 positive scores								
Economic Ir										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
_	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS7: Code For Sustainable Homes: Thresholds: Option 2: To apply to developments of 1 dwelling and above Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 To encourage Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 0 efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Improve accessibility To encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth 0 0 Reduce journey times No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link investment Make land available 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives All the scores are neutral (14)

of 1 dwellin Sustainability		bove	tions: Q			e For		ainab	le Ho	omes	: Thr	resholds: Option 2: To a Justification for assessment	pply to developments	>
Objective			Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)			Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Conclusions:														
Score		++ Major pos	itive + Minor positive - Minor negative Major negative								ive 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect		
Number		0			0 0						56	0		
This option is ver	Number 0 6 0 0 56 0 This option is very targeted and so the impacts are relatively narrow and not wide ranging.													
Recommendati	ions: Non	e.												
Key to Ap	praisal of	Effects												_
Will the policy ma		tive or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative		? egative	C	Neutra	l effec	ct ?	' Uncer	rtain effect		

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	One part of the CSH does cover health and well being, but it is difficult to assess whether there would be measurable health benefits of this option. They are likely to arise for the higher CSH standards.
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	Potentially neutral over time but negative in the short term	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	Upgrading specifications for buildings may increase costs until market sees it as the 'norm'

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	Potentially neutral over time but negative in the short term	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	Upgrading specifications for buildings may increase costs until market sees it as the 'norm'
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space Engagement in decision making	No direct link No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There is no dire be difficult to es		pply to new homes so it would h which was directly the result								
Environmen	tal Indicators	,							L	
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
, ,	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS7: Code For Sustainable Homes : Thresholds :Option 3 : To apply to developments of 3 dwellings and above

Sustainability Objective	nts of 3 dwellings Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This should happen	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	If implemented then there should be a more sustainable use of minerals from the outset but this would depend on each scheme. Part of CSH assessment.
	Sustainable use of water	This should happen	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The aim is to reduce use of water, but other schemes may be needed such as grey water recycling
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	This would be part of the process	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have to be met.
	Increase recycling	This would be part of the process	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the assessment process. Standards would have to be met.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This is a requirement of CSH	+	+	++	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new homes which are a small part of the total stock.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	In the long run there will be and increase in renewable energy production.	?	?	+	?	?	?	+	This only relates to new dwellings from 2016
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessmen
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against environme scores (18) are neutral, b	ntal objectives ut there are 6 positive scores								
Economic Ir	ndicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS7: Code For Sustainable Homes: Thresholds: Option 3: To apply to developments of 3 dwellings and above Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Improve accessibility To encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth 0 0 Reduce journey times No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas 0 0 Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link investment Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives All the scores are neutral (14)

developme	nts of 3	dwellings		ove				ainab	le Ho	omes	: Thr		:Option 3 : To ap	oply to	
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justificat	ion for assessment		
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating				
Conclusions:						•		•		•		•			
Score		++ Major pos	itive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	Major	r negati	ive	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect	
Number		0		6		0			(0			56	0	
This option is ve	ry targeted	d and so the im	pacts are re	latively narrow and ı	not wid	e rangir	ng.								
Recommendat	ions: Nor	ie.													
Key to Ap	opraisal of	Effects													
Will the policy m ++ Major positive		tive or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative		? negative	C) Neutra	al effec	et ?	? Uncer	rtain effect			

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: SUS 8: Option 1: National Minimum **Standards (Building Regulations)** Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Neutral Death rates Neutral Healthy lifestyles Neutral Improve No link Education Qualifications Will reduce crime No Link Crime and anti-social activities No Link Reduce fear of crime Reduce noise and No Link odours Reduce poverty Poverty and No Link social exclusion No Link Access key Access key local services services Accessibility of No Link shopping facilities Access child care No Link Unemployment Employment No Link Job opportunities No Link Improve earnings No Link No Link O O C Reduce Housing homelessness Provide enough No Link housing Increase range and No Link affordability

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 23 ne	oraisal against social objectural scores and one unc	ctives ertain								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There is some information on minimising water use but the effect is not likely to be great as far as sustainable water use concerned and the building regulations are changing.
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: SUS 8: Option 1: National Minimum **Standards (Building Regulations)** Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 Increase recycling O Effect traffic volumes 0 O O Reduce effects No Link 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel Increase car journeys No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy Building regulations do cover ? The commercial building regulations are more advanced on Reduce this than the domestic regulations, although they are complex contributions consumption? this and when a new to climate extension is built it is in application and constantly changing. change expected that there will be improvements to the existing building as well. ? Increase proportion of ? To pass part of the new regulations it may be necessary to Possibly + + + energy needs met by install a renewable energy source. renewable sources? 0 Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conserve and enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan No Link 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 Reverse decline in farmland birds Historical and Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance

0

0

0

0

0

Protect designated

archaeological sites

No Link

0

0

	gy Issues and Op (Building Regulati		Deve	elopn	nent :	Sust	tainal	ble Co	onstr	uction: SUS 8: Option 1: National Minimum
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There are 2 pos 21 with a neutra	l effect.	ntal objectives n with one uncertain effect and								
Economic In										
Economic growth	Improve business development	With more sustainable buildings this should help attract and retain industry.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	This will help industry be more efficient in using resources.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Industry will be spending less on energy and other resources and will have better buildings in which to operate.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: SUS 8: Option 1: National Minimum **Standards (Building Regulations)** Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage Improve accessibility No Link 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward More ? Companies will have targets to be met for environmental environmentally + + + ? ? investment friendly buildings will attract standards and this will help meet them, some will impose companies higher standards on themselves. Energy costs will also be cut. 0 Make land available No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives There are 3 positive scores and 10 neutral scores. **Conclusions:**

- Minor negative

Score

Number

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

- - Major negative

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendat	ions: None		•							
Key to A	ppraisal of Effects									

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessme
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There are 23 ne	praisal against social objectural scores and one unc	ectives ertain scores								
	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	There are standards for water use	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This forms part of the BREEAM assessment and so shelp
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Yes this should have a positive impact	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is an important part of the BREEAM assessment process.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This can be part of the assessment	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is part of the BREEAM assessment
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	Not sure of the exact link but this could vary according to each case.	0	0	0	0	0	0	?	This could be part of the BREEAM assessment.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	Ī	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There are 3 pos	oraisal against environme itive, 20 neutral and 1 und	ntal objectives certain scores								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	More environmentally friendly buildings will help this	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	Having a selection of good quality buildings will help this it is good for owners and tenants but expensive for developers
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	More environmentally friendly buildings will help this	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	Having a selection of good quality buildings will help this, they will cost less to run and have a reduced impact on the environment. But the initial capital costs will have a negative effect on costs.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	More environmentally friendly buildings will attract companies	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	Companies will have targets to be met for environments standards and this will help meet them, some will imposs higher standards on themselves. Energy costs will also be cut But for the developers the cost of construction will be higher which could have an initial negative effect.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective				Predic	ted Effe	Justification for assessment						
			Nature of I where pos	Effect (quantify sible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Conclusions:	1				<u> </u>								
Score Number		++ Major positive + Minor positive 0 6				- Minor negative 0					r negati	ve 0 Neutral effect 54	? Uncertain effect 2
ecommendat	ions: None	e at this staç	je.										
	ppraisal of I												

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators								1	
Health	Health facilities	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Neutral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Nature of Effect (quantify where possible) Page Page	Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
Nomes				Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Description Neighbourhood No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O			No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Engagement in decision making No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		neighbourhood			0	0		0			
Description											
Volunteer activities		decision making					Ĭ				
relations Improve access to cultural facilities No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		volunteer activities									
Cultural facilities		relations									
There are 24 neutral scores		cultural facilities		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Water and air quality Quality of water No Link O<	There are 24 ne	utral scores	ectives								
quality Quality of air No Link O </td <td>Environmen</td> <td>tal Indicators</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Environmen	tal Indicators									
To conserve soil resources and quality Loss agricultural land No Link Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O O Sustainable use of minerals resources Sustainable use of water Reduce waste Reduce household waste No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		·									
Soil resources and quality Loss agricultural land No Link Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Sustainable use of minerals resources Sustainable use of water and minerals Reduce waste Reduce household waste No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O					0	0	0	0	0	0	
Soil quality No Link O O O O O O O Use of water and mineral resources Sustainable use of minerals Sustainable use of water Reduce waste Reduce household waste No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	soil resources	Greenfield land									
Use of water and mineral resources Sustainable use of minerals Sustainable use of water Sustainable use of water Reduce waste Reduce household waste No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O											
and mineral resources minerals Sustainable use of water Reduce waste Reduce household waste No Link O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O											
water water Reduce waste Reduce household waste No Link O O O O O O	and mineral		No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
waste											
Increase recycling No Link O O O O O O	Reduce waste		No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Good Stand Sustainability	Sub Objective	ı	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
Objective		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Increase car journeys Reducing energy consumption?	No Link This should be the case with this option	+	O ++	O ++	?	?	?	O ++	There should be a higher standard than the previous option, reduction in energy use is central to the BREEAM system.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible with this option	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This forms part of the assessment.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	This does form part of the assessment and so should help	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This will be on a case by case basis and does form part of the assessment so should have a positive impact.
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	This does form part of the assessment and so should help	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	Ecology is one of the areas of assessment for BREEAM and some sites will benefit from this approach.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There is one stacked scores.		ntal objectives positive scores and 19 neutral								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	To achieve this score there will have to be several effects, such as saving energy costs, making it easy for people to get to work etc which will benefit the business. But there will be initial costs for the developer.
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation. But there will be initial costs for the developer.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	This is possible	0	+	+	?	?	?	+	One of the assessment criterion relates to access to the building and scores more highly sustainable modes are therefore indirectly commuting. This should result in reduce car use.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	This is possible	0	+	+	?	?	?	+	One of the assessment criterion relates to access to building and scores more highly sustainable modes a therefore indirectly accessibility to work. To score hig accessibility to buildings has to be good.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation, being in a location where people can get there easily by sustainable modes. But there are higher costs for developers
	Encourage inward investment	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation. But for developers there is a higher initial cost which may deter the initial investment.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment	
Conclusions:			Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
onclusions:												
Score Number		++ Major po	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	- 0		r negati	ve 0 Neutral effect 51	? Uncertain effect
ecommendat	ons: None)										

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessmen
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	Limited impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	Limited impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Limited impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 24 ne	oraisal against social obje eutral scores.	ctives								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
• •	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This could be part of the assessment	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	To achieve this score will require some sustainable use of minerals
	Sustainable use of water	This would be part of the assessment	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	To achieve this score will require some sustainable use of
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Non-Residential Development : Sustainable Construction : SUS 8: Option 4 : BREEAM Excellent Standard

Sustainability | Sub Objective | Predicted Effects | Justification for assessment

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	ı	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	Use of recycled materials.	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Recycled building materials could be used to help achieve a high score.
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	This could be part of the assessment	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This could contribute to the high score
	Increase car journeys	Part of the assessment relates to use of sustainable modes of transport	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This indirectly would contribute to the high score needed.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This would be expected	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	To achieve this high score this would be vital.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This is highly likely to be part of this score	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	This would be expected
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	Depending on the site this could be possible	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is likely to form part of this high score but would depend on the characteristics of the particular site.
_	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 3 may 16 neutral	oraisal against environme jor positive results for this	ental objectives s option, 5 positive scores and								
Economic Ir	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	-	?	+	?	?	?	+	To achieve this score there will have to be several effects, such as saving energy costs, making it easy for people to get to work etc which will benefit the business. There will be a high initial capital cost which will fall on the developer but will benefit the user.
	Promote growth in key sectors	Promote innovation and design	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	By aiming for this standard there could be local improvements in construction techniques and design practices.
	Economic performance	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	This is likely	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	One of the assessment criterion relates to access to the building and scores more highly sustainable modes and therefore indirectly commuting, this applies more strongly in this option.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	Possible use of local materials	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	Use of local materials eg wood, clay, straw, tiles, bricks etc
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation, being in a location where people can get there easily by sustainable modes. It will also help create a new building industry locally and may use traditional skills
	Encourage inward investment	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	_	?	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation. However there would be higher initial capital costs of the development which will fall on the developer but benefit the tenant and subsequent users

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment	
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
There are 0 m scores. Conclusions:	This option scores ver	positive scores and 7 neurry highly but would place it	nigh demai							s which are beyond the standa Ithough the building regulatio	
Score	++ Major pos	sitive + Minor positiv	/e	- Mino	r negat	ive	-	- Major	r negati	ve 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	3	12		0			C)		49	0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: Thresholds Option 1 : All Development Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Neutral Death rates Neutral Healthy lifestyles Neutral No link Education Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime No Link Crime and anti-social activities No Link Reduce fear of crime Reduce noise and No Link odours Reduce poverty No Link Poverty and social exclusion Access key Access key local No Link services services Accessibility of No Link shopping facilities Access child care No Link Unemployment Employment No Link No Link Job opportunities Improve earnings No Link No Link O O C Reduce Housing homelessness Provide enough No Link housing Increase range and No Link affordability

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
l r	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 24 ne	oraisal against social obje utral scores.	ctives								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	This could be part of the assessment	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	To achieve high scores under BREEAM will require some sustainable use of minerals
	Sustainable use of water	This would be part of the assessment	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	To achieve high scores under BREEAM will require some sustainable use of
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development : Sustainable Construction : Thresholds Option 1 : All Development

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	F	Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	This could be part of the assessment	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This could contribute to the high score under a BREEAM assessment
	Increase car journeys	Part of the assessment relates to use of sustainable modes of transport	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This indirectly would contribute to a high score under a BREEAM assessment.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This would be expected	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	To achieve a high score this would be vital under a BREEAM assessment.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This is highly likely to happen with most buildings of any scale	+	++	++	?	?	?	++	This would be expected for a high score under a BREEAM assessment
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
,	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	Depending on the site this could be possible	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This is likely to form part of a high score but would depend on the characteristics of the particular site. Ecology forms part of BREEAM assessment.
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: Thresholds Option 1 : All Development Sustainability **Sub Objective** Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Landscapes Reduce underused No Link and land townscapes 0 0 0 0 0 Improve landscape/ No Link 0 Ω townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives There are 3 major positive results for this option, 4 positive scores and 16 neutral **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business The properties should have To achieve this there will have to be several effects, such as growth development several benefits for business saving energy costs, making it easy for people to get to work etc which will benefit the business. There would be a high initial capital cost for the developer but would benefit the user. Promote growth in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic Properties benefiting from ? ? ? These can assist economic performance, by cutting ongoing + + costs, creating a good place to work, creating the right image performance this approach could have several benefits for business for the organisation. There would be a high initial capital cost for the developer but would benefit the user. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Rural diversification No Link O To revitalise Increase range of No Link 0 0 O O 0 town centres employment opportunities 0 O O 0 Decrease vacant No Link O 0 0 units in town centres? To encourage Will it reduce This is likely ? ? ? One of the assessment criterion relates to access to the ++ ++ ++ efficient commuting building and scores more highly sustainable modes and therefore indirectly commuting, this applies more strongly in patterns of this option. This forms part of a BREEAM assessment. movement in support of economic growth

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development : Sustainable Construction : Thresholds Option 1 : All Development

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	The properties in this category should have several benefits for business	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, creating the right image for the organisation, being in a location where people can get there easily by sustainable modes.
	Encourage inward investment	The properties in this option should have several benefits for business	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation. But there will be high initial capital costs.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	raisal against economic	objectives positive scores and 9 neutral								

1 : All Deve	lopment	•	tions: Qเ	uestion SUS9:	Non-	-Resi	dentia	al De	velop	oment	t : Su	stainable Construction :	Thresholds Option
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obje	ctive			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment	
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify esible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
												s which are beyond the standard Ithough the building regulations	
Score		++ Major pos	itive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive		Majo	r negat	ive 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		4		8		0			(0		49	0
Recommendati	ons: None.												
Key to Ap	opraisal of E	ffects											
Will the policy m		ve or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative I		? legative	C) Neutra	al effec	et '	? Unce	rtain effect	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: Thresholds Option 2: Development over 0.1 ha or 100 sq m Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Neutral ? ? ? ? 0 Death rates Neutral 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles Neutral 0 0 0 No link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and anti-social activities No Link 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Reduce poverty 0 0 0 0 0 0 Poverty and No Link 0 social exclusion Access key local No Link Access key 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 services services Accessibility of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Unemployment Employment No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities 0 0 0 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No Link 0 0 0 No Link 0 0 O 0 C 0 Reduce Housing homelessness Provide enough No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 housing Increase range and 0 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 0 affordability

Sustainability	ment over 0.1 ha Sub Objective	100 39 111	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
Objective										
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There are 23 ne	oraisal against social objectural scores and one unc	ectives ertain			_					
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water									
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development : Sustainable Construction : Thresholds Option 2 : Development over 0.1 ha or 100 sq m

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This should be the case with this option	+	++	++	?	?	?	++	There should still be a significant reduction in energy consumption.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	This should be possible with this option	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This forms part of the assessments.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	This does form part of the assessment and so should help	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This will be on a case by case basis and does form part of the assessment so should have a positive impact.
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	ment over 0.1 ha		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against environme trong positive score, 2 p	ntal objectives positive scores and 19 neutral								
Economic In	dicators							•	•	
Economic growth	Improve business development	Properties should have several benefits for business	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	There are likely to be several effects, such as saving energy costs, making it easy for people to get to work etc which will benefit the business. There would be a high initial cost to the developer but benefits to the users.
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	There should be several benefits for business	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation. There would be an initial high capital cost.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	This is possible	0	+	+	?	?	?	+	One of the assessment criterion relates to access to the building and scores more highly sustainable modes and therefore indirectly commuting. This forms part of a BREEAN assessment.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS9: Non-Residential Development : Sustainable Construction : Thresholds Option 2 : Development over 0.1 ha or 100 sq m

	L									Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve accessibility to work	This is possible	0	+	+	?	?	?	+	One of the assessment criterion relates to access to the building and scores more highly sustainable modes and therefore indirectly accessibility to work. This forms part of a BREEAM assessment.
b	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
I	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage indigenous business	There should be several benefits for business	1	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting ongoing costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation, being in a location where people can get there easily by sustainable modes. There would be higher initial capital costs to the developer.
	Encourage inward investment	There should be several benefits for business	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	These can assist economic performance, by cutting costs, creating a good place to work, setting the right image for the organisation. There would be higher initial capital costs to the developer.
f	Make land available for business development aisal against economic o	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strate	gy Issu	es and Op	tions: Qı	uestion SUS9:	Non-	-Resi	dentia	al Dev	velop	ment	: Su	stainable Construction :	Thresholds Option
2 : Develop	ment o	ver 0.1 ha	or 100 sc	ı m									
Sustainability Objective	Sub Obj	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment	
			Nature of where pos	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
Conclusions:	l					1							
Score		++ Major pos	itive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	· - Major	r negati	ve 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		1		8		0			()		50	1
Recommendati	ons: None	e											
Key to Ap	opraisal of	Effects											
Will the policy m ++ Major positive		tive or negative Minor positive		n to the appraisal ob or negative I		? negative	C) Neutra	al effec	t ?	? Uncer	tain effect	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: question SUS9: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: Thresholds Option 3: Development over 1 ha or 1000 sq m Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities Neutral ? ? ? ? 0 Death rates Neutral 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles Neutral 0 0 0 No link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Education Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and anti-social activities No Link 0 0 0 0 Reduce fear of crime 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Reduce poverty 0 0 0 0 0 0 Poverty and No Link 0 social exclusion Access key local No Link Access key 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 services services Accessibility of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Unemployment Employment No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No Link 0 0 0 No Link 0 0 O 0 C 0 Reduce Housing homelessness Provide enough No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 housing Increase range and 0 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 0 affordability

Core Strategy Issues and Options: question SUS9: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: Thresholds Option 3: Development over 1 ha or 1000 sq m Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 Reduce no of unfit No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 participation neighbourhood Increase green space 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities Improve ethnic 0 0 0 O 0 C 0 No Link relations 0 0 0 0 0 Improve access to No Link 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives There are 23 neutral scores and one uncertain **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Link 0 0 0 0 0 O quality Quality of air No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 C To conserve Minimise loss of No Link 0 0 Ω Ω soil resources Greenfield land and quality No Link 0 0 0 0 0 Loss agricultural land 0 0 No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Soil quality 0 Use of water Sustainable use of No Link 0 0 0 0 C 0 and mineral minerals resources This is possible but many ? ? ? ? This would only apply to a limited number of developments Sustainable use of water properties would not be covered Reduce household 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Link Reduce waste waste

Core Strategy Issues and Options: question SUS9: Non-Residential Development : Sustainable Construction : Thresholds Option 3 : Development over 1 ha or 1000 sq m

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This is likely to happen on the fewer large developments but not elsewhere, There would be a positive result but not that often
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	Possible reduction in car use.	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	There could be a limited effect or benefit but only for larger developments
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	This would mainly apply to larger buildings although any new building would still be controlled by building regulations.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	The commercial building regulations are more advanced on this than the domestic regulations, although they are complex in application and constantly changing.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Possibly	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	To pass part of the new building regulations it may be necessary to install a renewable energy source, otherwise for larger properties a higher standard may apply. Potential benefits of economics of scale for use of renewables.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability	oment over 1 ha or Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
Objective	,									
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
There are 2 po	al effect.	ental objectives on with two uncertain effect and								
Economic II	ndicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	With more sustainable buildings this could help attract and retain industry.	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	There would be higher initial capital costs but operating cots would be less.
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	This will help industry be more efficient in using resources.	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	Industry will be spending less on energy and other resources and will have better buildings in which to operate. There would be higher capital costs for the developer.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: question SUS9: Non-Residential Development: Sustainable Construction: Thresholds Option 3: Development over 1 ha or 1000 sq m Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage Improve accessibility No Link 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods 0 Encourage No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward ? Companies will have targets to be met for environmental More environmentally + ? ? + investment friendly buildings will attract standards and this will help meet them, some will impose companies higher standards on themselves. Energy costs will also be cut.

Conclusions:

Make land available

for business
development

Summary of appraisal against economic objectives
There are 3 positive scores and 10 neutral scores.

No Link

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	5	0	0	53	2

0

O

0

0

0

0

0

But development costs would be higher.

	gy Issues and Op ment over 1 ha or	•	Non-	Resid	dentia	al Dev	/elop	ment	: Su	stainable Construction: Thresholds Option
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati	ons: None									
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positive		contribution to the appraisal objective? - Minor negative Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncer							rtain effect	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summary of app There are 24 ne	oraisal against social obje utral scores.	ectives							
Environmen	tal Indicators								
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sustainable use of water	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Reduce need for local travel	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase car journeys	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Possibly	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	To pass part of the new building regulations it may be necessary to install a renewable energy source.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predic	ted Eff	ects		Justification for assessment			
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 1 pos 22 with a neutra	oraisal against environme sitive score in this section I effect.	ental objectives in with one uncertain effect and								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	With more sustainable buildings this should help attract and retain industry.	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	This will help industry be more efficient in using resources.	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Industry will be spending less on energy and will have bette buildings in which to operate.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	More environmentally friendly buildings will attract companies	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Companies will have targets to be met for environmental standards and this will help meet them, some will impose higher standards on themselves. Energy costs will be cut.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 3 pos Conclusions:	oraisal against economic itive scores and 10 neutr	objectives al scores.								
Score ++ Major		sitive + Minor positive		- Minc	r negat	ive		Majo	r negat	ive 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

0

Number

Although this is the no requirement option there will still be a possible building regulation requirement.

56

0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects	Justification for assessment				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati	ons: None									
Key to A	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positiv		e contribution to the appraisal obe - Minor negative	ojective? Major n		C) Neutra	al effect	7	² Unce	rtain effect

Sustainability Objective	nt Sub Objective			Justification for assessment						
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators								ı	
Health	Health facilities	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strate requiremen		tions: Question SUS1	0: Sus	staina	able C	Const	ructi	on :	Rene	wable Energy Option 2 : National or Regional
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 24 ne	oraisal against social obje utral scores.	ectives								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Question SUS10: Sustainable Construction: Renewable Energy Option 2: National or Regional requirement Sub Objective Sustainability **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce need for local No Link travel Increase car journeys No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy No link 0 0 O 0 Reduce contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of Possibly long strongly + ? ? To pass part of the new building regulations it may be ++ necessary to install a renewable energy source as well as to energy needs met by positive renewable sources? meet the national or regional requirement. Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Link climatic events flooding 0 Risk damage from No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Protect designated 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 Historical and No Link archaeological historical sites importance 0 0 Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites 0 0 0 Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 0 geological sites

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects	ī		1	1	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Potentially negative in certain locations.	_	_	_	0	0	0	_	There are many locations which are sensitive in the distri with thousands of Listed Buildings, 2 AONB's
There are 1 pos	oraisal against environme sitive score in this section and 21 with a neutral effe	n with one uncertain effect, on								
Economic Ir	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	With more sustainable buildings this should help attract and retain industry.	?	+	+	?	?	?	+	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	This will help industry be more efficient in using resources.	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	Industry will be spending less on energy and will have better buildings in which to operate. There will be higher initial capit costs.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Op	tions: Question SUS10: Sustainable Construction: Rene	wable Energy Option 2 : National or Regional
requirement		
Overtain ability Over Objective	Doodleted Effects	localification for account

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	More environmentally friendly buildings will attract companies		+	+	?	?	?	+	Companies will have targets to be met for environmental standards and this will help meet them, some will impose higher standards on themselves. Energy costs may be cut. There would be higher initial capital costs.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against economic of the scores and 10 neutral									

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	4	1	0	55	0

With this option the major benefits will not occur until 2020.

Justification for assessment					ects		Sub Objective	Sustainability Objective		
	Sums	Synergy Rating	Cumulative	Secondary	Long term	Med term	Short Term	Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)		
										Recommendation
									opraisal of Effects	Key to Ap
 tain effect	- L	21100	al effect				ojective?	tive contribution to the appraisal ob ive - Minor negative	opraisal of Effects ake a positive or negativ	Key to Ap

		otions: Sustainable Co	nstru	ction	: Qu	estio	n SU	S11:	Rene	wable Energy From Decentralised Source:
Option 1 : I Sustainability Objective	No Requirement Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
There are 24 ne		ectives							
Environmen	tal Indicators								
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sustainable use of water	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS11: Renewable Energy From Decentralised Source: **Option 1: No Requirement** Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce need for local No Link travel No Link 0 0 0 0 Increase car journeys 0 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy No link 0 0 O 0 Reduce contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of Negative impact ? ? This effectively will reduce the potential to impact on climate energy needs met by change. renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of ? ? This effectively will reduce the potential to impact on climate Negative impact ? climatic events flooding change. Risk damage from ? ? This effectively will reduce the potential to impact on climate Negative impact ? storms change. Maintain designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conserve and enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Protect designated 0 0 0 0 Historical and No Link 0 0 0 archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites

0

0

0

0

0

Protect designated

geological sites

No Link

0

0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS11: Renewable Energy From Decentralised Source: **Option 1: No Requirement** Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce underused No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Landscapes and land townscapes Improve landscape/ Potential benefit 0 0 + 0 0 0 Not building wind turbines will benefit the landscape and renewable energy proposals can harm the environment on townscape existing buildings. This will help maintain the status quo. Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives There are 3 negative scores in this section with one uncertain effect and 20 with a neutral effect. **Economic Indicators** Economic Even with 'no requirement' building regulations will still require Improve business With more sustainable arowth development buildings this should help renewable energy use to meet certain targets. Over time the requirements are getting more stringent. There will also be attract and retain industry. less of an initial capital cost. Promote growth in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic This will help industry be ? ? ? ? Industry will be spending less on energy and will have better + buildings in which to operate. There will also be less of an performance more efficient in using initial capital cost. resources. Rural diversification No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 O 0 To revitalise Increase range of No Link 0 0 Ω employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 No Link units in town centres? O O O O O To encourage Will it reduce No Link O O efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	More environmentally friendly buildings will attract companies	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Companies will have targets to be met for environmenta standards and this will help meet them, some will impose higher standards on themselves. Energy costs will be cut.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against economic oraisal against econo									

Number

	gy Issues and Op Io Requirement	tions: Sustainable Cor	nstrud	ction	: Qu	estio	n SUS	S11:	Rene	wable Energy From Decentralised Source:
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects		Justification for assessment			
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati										
Key to Ap	praisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positive		e contribution to the appraisal obe - Minor negative	ojective' Major n		. () Neutra	al effect	: <i>'</i>	? Uncei	tain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators					L		l		
Health	Health facilities	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summary of app There are 24 ne	oraisal against social obje utral scores.	ectives							
Environmen	tal Indicators								
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sustainable use of water	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase recycling	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction : Question SUS11: Renewable Energy From Decentralised Source: Option 2 : At Least 25% Decentralised

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase car journeys	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	This does not actually reduce consumption or seek to reduce it, but caters for existing levels of consumption by sustainable means.
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Potentially positive	?	+	++	?	?	?	+	To pass part of the new building regulations it may be necessary to install a renewable energy source as well as to meet the national or regional requirement. The 25% requirement would help reinforce this.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Potential benefit	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	This should help reduce the climate change effects of existing means of generating power.
	Risk damage from storms	Potential benefit	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	This should help reduce the climate change effects of existing means of generating power.
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects	T		1	1	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Potentially negative	_	-	_	0	0	0	_	Wind turbines and solar panels can potentially have a negative impact on townscape and the landscape.
	oraisal against environme itive scores in this section	ntal objectives n with one negative and 20 with								
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	With more sustainable buildings this should help attract and retain industry.	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	There will be higher initial capital costs.
	Promote growth in key sectors	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	This will help industry be more efficient in using resources.	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	Industry will be spending less on energy and will have better buildings in which to operate. There will be higher initial capital costs.
	Rural diversification	Potential benefit	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There may be potential to use bio fuels.
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction : Question SUS11: Renewable Energy From Decentralised Source: Option 2 : At Least 25% Decentralised

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	More environmentally friendly buildings will attract companies	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	Companies will have targets to be met for environmental standards and this will help meet them, some will impose higher standards on themselves. Energy costs may be cut. There will be higher initial capital costs.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	raisal against economic (tive scores and 10 neutra									

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	7	1	0	54	0

Option 2 : A	At Least 25% Dece	entralised				estion	SUS	311:	Rene	wable Energy From Decentralised Source:
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects		Justification for assessment			
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati	ons: None									
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m		e contribution to the appraisal ob Minor negative I	•	? egative	e () Neutra	al effect	·	? Uncei	rtain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 24 ne	oraisal against social obje eutral scores.	ectives								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Renewable energy options should lead to better air quality, but this is not a big issue in Babergh, with no local large power stations.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Loss agricultural land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS12: Renewable Energy Thresholds: Option 1: Applies to All Developments Sustainability **Sub Objective Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce waste Reduce household No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 waste 0 0 0 0 Increase recycling Potential benefits long term 0 Use of recycled waste could be increased. + Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Link of traffic Reduce need for local No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel 0 Increase car journeys No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce Reducing energy No link 0 0 O contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of Significant benefits long ? All new development would use renewables so proportion ++ energy needs met by term. would increase over time. renewable sources? O Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 Use of renewables for all development could help combat Potential benefit 0 + + + climatic events flooding impacts of climate change Use of renewables for all development could help combat Risk damage from Potential benefit + + 0 0 0 storms impacts of climate change Conserve and Maintain designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds 0 Historical and Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 historical sites archaeological importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	I	Predic	ted Eff	ects				_	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	Potential negative impact	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	There is potential for turbines and solar panels etc to have a adverse impact on the landscape and townscape.
There are 4 pos 19 with a neutra	l effect.	ntal objectives on with one negative effect and								
Economic In		I saggi		T	ı				T	T
Economic growth	Improve business development	With more sustainable buildings this should help attract and retain industry.	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	There will be higher initial capital costs.
	Promote growth in key sectors	Potential Benefit	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Could help support energy and technology industries.
	Economic performance	This will help industry be more efficient in using resources.	_	+	+	?	?	?	+	Industry will be spending less on energy and will have better buildings in which to operate. There will be higher initial capita costs.
	Rural diversification	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	Potential for energy technology companies	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	
	Encourage inward investment	More environmentally friendly buildings will attract companies	-	+	+	?	?	?	+	Companies will have targets to be met for environment standards and this will help meet them, some will impossible restandards on themselves. Energy costs will be currently the control of the standards of themselves.
	Make land available for business development	No Link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0

0 Neutral effect

52

? Uncertain effect

0

Score

Number

++ Major positive

0

+ Minor positive

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati	ons: None									
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
	ake a positive or negativ	e contribution to the appraisal obe Minor negative	ojective′ Major n		· () Neutra	al effect		? Unce	rtain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS12: Renewable Energy Threshold: Option 2: Above 1 Dwelling or 100 sq m Non-residential floorspace. Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities No link Death rates No link Healthy lifestyles No link No link Education Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime No Link Crime and anti-social activities No Link Reduce fear of crime Reduce noise and No Link odours Reduce poverty Poverty and No Link social exclusion Access key local No Link Access key services services Accessibility of No Link shopping facilities Access child care No Link Unemployment Employment No Link No Link Job opportunities Improve earnings No Link No Link O O C Reduce Housing homelessness Provide enough No Link housing Increase range and No Link affordability

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS12: Renewable Energy Threshold: Option 2: Above 1 Dwelling or 100 sq m Non-residential floorspace. Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce no of unfit No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 participation neighbourhood Increase green space No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities Improve ethnic 0 0 0 O 0 C 0 No Link relations 0 0 0 0 Improve access to No Link 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives There are 24 neutral scores. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No Link 0 0 0 0 0 O quality Quality of air No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 C To conserve Minimise loss of No Link 0 0 Ω Ω soil resources Greenfield land and quality No Link 0 0 0 0 0 Loss agricultural land 0 0 Soil quality No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources Sustainable use of 0 C 0 O C 0 0 No link water 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste Reduce household No Link 0 waste Increase recycling No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS12: Renewable Energy Threshold: Option 2: Above 1 Dwelling or 100 sq m Non-residential floorspace. Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce need for local No Link travel Increase car journeys No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reducing energy No link 0 0 0 0 Reduce contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of This will happen + ? ? ++ energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No Link climatic events flooding Risk damage from No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Historical and No Link archaeological historical sites importance 0 Protect designated No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites

0

0

0

0

Protect designated

geological sites

No Link

0

0

0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS12: Renewable Energy Threshold: Option 2: Above 1 Dwelling or 100 sq m Non-residential floorspace. Sub Objective Sustainability Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Med term Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) Reduce underused No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Landscapes and land townscapes Improve landscape/ Potential negative impact 0 0 0 There is potential for turbines and solar panels etc to have an adverse impact on the landscape and townscape. townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives There are 1 positive score in this section with one negative effect and 22 with a neutral effect. **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business With ? ? There will be higher initial capital costs. more sustainable + + growth development buildings this should help attract and retain industry. Promote growth in No Link \circ 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors This will help industry be ? ? ? Industry will be spending less on energy and will have better Economic + + buildings in which to operate. There will be higher initial capital performance more efficient in using resources. There may be potential for energy generation in carefully Rural diversification Potential benefit 0 0 + 0 0 0 + selected sites No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of employment town centres opportunities No Link Decrease vacant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Sustainable Construction: Question SUS12: Renewable Energy Threshold: Option 2: Above 1 Dwelling or 100 sq m Non-residential floorspace. Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage Improve accessibility No Link 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No Link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase indigenous business investment Encourage inward ? Companies will have targets to be met for environmental More environmentally ? ? + + investment friendly buildings will attract standards and this will help meet them, some will impose companies higher standards on themselves. Energy costs will be cut. There will be higher initial capital costs. Make land available No Link 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 for business development

Conclusions:

Summary of appraisal against economic objectives There are 4 positive scores and 10 neutral scores.

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number	0	5	1	0	56	0

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	sidential floorspace.	Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati										
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m		e contribution to the appraisal ob • - Minor negative	ojective? Major n		. () Neutra	al effect	: 7	? Unce	rtain effect

Sustainability Appraisal Section 9: Retail and Town Centres



Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By ensuring there are occupied retail units in the centres there is less likely to be crime.
	Reduce fear of crime		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By retaining and maintaining vital centres there should be a safer environment for to walk around, which should reduce the fear of crime.
	Reduce noise and odours		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services		+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Services are to be retained in the town and local centres.
	Accessibility of shopping facilities		+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Retail services are to be retained in the town and local centres
	Access child care		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment		+	+	+	?	?	?	+	By retaining employment in the centres it will help keep unemployment down.
	Job opportunities		+	+	+	?	?	?	+	This option will keep jobs in the centres.
	Improve earnings		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Retail does provide jobs but many can be low paid.
Housing	Reduce homelessness		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood		+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Without shops in the towns or local centres there would be fewer people satisfied with their location.
	Increase green space Engagement in decision making		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations Improve access to		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	cultural facilities craisal against social obje	ectives								
	itive results with one unc	ertain and 16 neutral results.								
Water and air quality	Quality of water		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Soil quality Sustainable use of minerals		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 1: Business as Usual, With defined retail hierarchy Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Med term Rating where possible) Reduce household 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste waste 0 0 O Increase recycling 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes ? ? ? By retaining and enhancing local services it should reduce the + + + of traffic need to use the car. Reduce need for local ? ? People can walk down to their local shop rather than having to + + + + travel travel by car People can walk down to their local shop rather than having to Increase car journeys + + ? ? + + + travel by car People can walk down to their local shop or travel by bus or ? Reduce Reducing energy ? + + contributions consumption? cycle rather than having to travel by car to other more distant to climate locations. change Increase proportion of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Minimise risk of Vulnerability to 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding 0 0 Risk damage from 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated Conserve and 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Historical and archaeological historical sites importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects	Justification for assessment				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Protect designated archaeological sites		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By concentrating development in town and other centres should help ensure that the units do not remain vacant for velong.
	Improve landscape/ townscape	It could help maintain the quality of building in the centres	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Potential to enhance or maintain existing buildings in the centres by improving the commercial viability of the shop units
There are 6 pos	oraisal against environme itive results and 17 neutra									
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There would be a range of jobs the different centres
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By concentrating development in town and other centres should help ensure that the units do not remain vacant for ve long.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be a variety of jobs available locally so this wareduce the need to commute further afield.

	gy Issues and Op	tions: Ret	ail and Towi	n Cen	tres:	Ques	tion	R2: C	ption	า 1: B	Business as Usual, With defined retail
hierarchy Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective			Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of E	ffect (quantify ible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work			+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By retaining the jobs in the centres work will be available locally.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods			+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be the opportunity for local goods to be sold in the shops in these centres.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business			+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There would be a variety of shop units available for people to expand from one unit to another.
	Encourage inward investment			+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Much of the retail and other floorspace in the centres is occupied by large organisations which do not necessarily have there headquarters hear.
	Make land available for business development			+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By safeguarding these centres there will be land to develop businesses in the centres.
Summary of app There are 8 pos	raisal against economic itive scores and 6 neutral	objectives I scores.									
Conclusions:					1	ı	ı	I	I	1	,
Score Number	++ Major pos		+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	tive	-	Majo	r negat	ive 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 39 1
Hamber		1 1	_ 1		l			11			1

Core Strate hierarchy	gy Issues and Op	tions: Retail and Town	Cent	res:	Ques	tion F	R2: O	ption	1: B	usiness as Usual, With defined retail
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects		Justification for assessment			
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati	ons: None									
Key to Ap	opraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positiv		e contribution to the appraisal ob Minor negative N	jective? Major n		C) Neutra	ıl effect	t ?	^o Unce	tain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 2: Setting out plans to enhance vitality and viability of town centres and local centres. Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Med term Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** Health Health facilities 0 Ω 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates Healthy lifestyles 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 Education 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve Qualifications Crime and Will reduce crime ? ? By ensuring there are occupied retail units in the centres there + + anti-social is less likely to be crime. activities By retaining and maintaining vital centres there should be a ? ? Reduce fear of crime + + + + safer environment for to walk around, which should reduce the fear of crime. Reduce noise and 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Reduce poverty Poverty and 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 social exclusion Access key Access key local + ++ ? ? ? Services are to be retained in the town and local centres. This + services services option could see longer term benefits. Accessibility of ? ? Retail services are to be retained in the town and local centres. ++ shopping facilities This option could see longer term benefits. Access child care 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment ? ? ? + By retaining employment in the centres it will help keep + unemployment down. ? This option will keep jobs in the centres. Job opportunities + ? ? ? ? ? ? ? Retail does provide jobs but many can be low paid. Improve earnings 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce homelessness Provide enough 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 housing 0 Increase range and 0 0 0 0 0 0 affordability

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects		Justification for assessment			
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood		+	+	++	?	?	?	+	Without shops in the towns or local centres there would be fewer people satisfied with their location. This option could see longer term benefits.
	Increase green space		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app There are 7 pos	oraisal against social obje itive results with one unce	ctives ertain and 16 neutral results.								
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 2: Setting out plans to enhance vitality and viability of town centres and local centres. Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Med term Rating where possible) Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes ? ? By retaining and enhancing local services it should reduce the + of traffic need to use the car. ? People can walk down to their local shop rather than having to ? Reduce need for local + + + + travel travel by car People can walk down to their local shop rather than having to Increase car journeys ? ? + + + + travel by car Reduce Reducing energy ? ? People can walk down to their local shop or travel by bus or + + + cycle rather than having to travel by car to other more distant contributions consumption? to climate locations. change Increase proportion of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Minimise risk of 0 O 0 0 0 Vulnerability to 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from O O C C O 0 0 storms Conserve and Maintain designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Historical and archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By concentrating development in town and other centres should help ensure that the units do not remain vacant for verilong.
	Improve landscape/ townscape	It could help maintain the quality of buildings in the centres	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Potential to enhance or maintain existing buildings in the centres by improving the commercial viability of the shop units.
	oraisal against environme itive results and 17 neutra									
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Promote growth in key sectors		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There would be a range of jobs the different centres
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By concentrating development in town and other centres i should help ensure that the units do not remain vacant for very long.
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be a variety of jobs available locally so this wi reduce the need to commute further afield.

	gy Issues and Op own centres and	tions: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 2 : S local centres.	Setting out plans to enhance vitality and
Sustainability	Sub Objective	Predicted Effects	Justification for assessment

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By retaining the jobs in the centres work will be available locally.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There will be the opportunity for local goods to be sold in the shops in these centres.
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	There would be a variety of shop units available for people to expand from one unit to another.
	Encourage inward investment		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Much of the retail and other floorspace in the centres is occupied by large organisations which do not necessarily have there headquarters hear.
	Make land available for business development		+	+	+	+	?	?	+	By safeguarding these centres there will be land to develop businesses in the centres.
	oraisal against economic of title scores and 6 neutral									

Conclusions:

Score	++ Major positive	+ Minor positive	- Minor negative	Major negative	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		21			39	1

This option can be very beneficial but it will depend on the resources available to make sure that it is implemented.

			Cent	res:	Ques	tion F	R2: O	ption	2 : 5	etting out plans to enhance vitality and
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendati	ons: None									
Key to Ap	praisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positive		e contribution to the appraisal ob Minor negative N	jective? Major ne		C) Neutra	al effect	t ?	Unce	tain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 3: Retail and management strategy for change and growth in Sudbury and Hadleigh only Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) **Social Indicators** 0 Health Health facilities 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Death rates Healthy lifestyles 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 O Education Improve Qualifications Will reduce crime ? ? By ensuring there are occupied retail units in the centres there Crime and + + + + anti-social is less likely to be crime. This element could form part of a strategy, design could try and minimise crime. activities ? By retaining and maintaining vital centres there should be a Reduce fear of crime ? + + + safer environment for to walk around, which should reduce the fear of crime. The retail strategy could by a variety of measures reduce the fear of crime. 0 0 O O 0 0 0 Reduce noise and odours Poverty and Reduce poverty 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 social exclusion ? Access key Access key local + ? Services are to be retained in the town centres. By focusing services services on the town centres there could be an adverse impact on local centres. Accessibility of ? ? ? If retail services are to be retained in the town centres, there shopping facilities will be increased accessibility to shopping in these centres. But local centres may be adversely affected. The long term effect may be neutral if there is a strong adverse effect on local centres. Access child care 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment + ? By retaining employment in the centres it will help keep + unemployment down. This option will keep jobs in the town centres but could lead to ? Job opportunities ? ? + + the loss of jobs elsewhere. ? ? ? Retail does provide jobs but many can be low paid. ? ? Improve earnings

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 3: Retail and management strategy for change and growth in Sudbury and Hadleigh only Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative **Short Term** Long term Secondary Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Med term Rating where possible) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce homelessness 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Provide enough housing Increase range and 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 affordability 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce no of unfit homes ? Community Satisfaction of ? Without shops in the towns or local centres there would be fewer people satisfied with their location, if shops and services participation neighbourhood are lost in local centres then this could have a negative effect. Increase green space 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 decision making Involvement in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 volunteer activities 0 Improve ethnic 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives There are 5 positive results with one uncertain 2 negative scores and 15 neutral results. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 Quality of water quality 0 Quality of air 0 0 0 0 0 0 Minimise loss of 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 To conserve soil resources Greenfield land and quality Loss agricultural land 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 3: Retail and management strategy for change and growth in Sudbury and Hadleigh only Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Med term Rating where possible) Soil quality 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use of 0 0 O O 0 0 Ω and mineral minerals resources 0 O 0 Sustainable use of 0 0 0 0 water 0 O O 0 Reduce waste Reduce household O O C waste 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase recycling Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes of traffic Reduce need for local ? ? ? ? People can walk down to the town centres but they may loose + + travel other local centres. People can walk down to the town centres but they may loose Increase car journeys ? ? + + other local centres. People can walk down to their local shop or travel by bus or Reduce ? ? Reducing energy + + contributions consumption? cycle rather than having to travel by car to other more distant to climate locations. change 0 0 Increase proportion of 0 0 0 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources? Vulnerability to Minimise risk of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated 0 0 O C 0 0 0 Conserve and enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of O 0 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 3: Retail and management strategy for change and growth in Sudbury and Hadleigh only Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Synergy Med term Rating where possible) Reverse decline in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds 0 0 O O 0 0 0 Historical and Protect designated archaeological historical sites importance Protect designated 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 geological sites ? Landscapes Reduce underused ? ? By concentrating development in town centres it should help + land ensure that the units do not remain vacant for very long. and townscapes however if the development is only in the town centres there may be the opposite effect in the local centres where more units could become vacant. 0 0 0 Potential to enhance or maintain existing buildings in the Improve landscape/ It could help maintain the + + + townscape quality of buildings in the centres by improving the commercial viability of the shop units. centres Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives There are 2 positive results, 2 negative results 1 uncertain and 18 neutral **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 growth development 0 0 0 0 0 0 Promote growth in 0 key sectors 0 0 0 Economic 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ? ? There would be a range of jobs the town centres To revitalise Increase range of + employment town centres opportunities Decrease vacant + + + + ? ? By concentrating development in town centres it should help units in town centres? ensure that the units do not remain vacant for very long.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: Retail and Town Centres: Question R2: Option 3: Retail and management strategy for change and growth in Sudbury and Hadleigh only Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Cumulative Short Term Secondary Long term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Rating where possible) To encourage Will it reduce ? ? There will be a variety of jobs available locally so this will efficient commuting reduce the need to commute further afield. patterns of movement in support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility ? By retaining the jobs in the town centres work will be available efficient to work locally. patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported There will be the opportunity for local goods to be sold in the Increase consumption ? ? + + + + of local goods shops in these centres. There would be a variety of shop units available for people to ? ? Increase Encourage + investment indigenous business expand from one unit to another. Encourage inward + ? ? Much of the retail and other floorspace in the centres is occupied by large organisations which do not necessarily have investment there headquarters hear. By safeguarding these centres there will be land to develop Make land available ? ? + + + for business businesses in the centres. development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives

There are 8 positive scores and 6 neutral scores.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Ob	ective			Predic	ted Effe	ects	Ţ				Justific	ation for assessment	
			Nature of where po	Effect (quantify ssible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating			
Conclusions:					1	l		Į						
Score		++ Major pos	sitive	+ Minor positive		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	- Major	r negati	ve	0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
Number		, '		15		4							39	1
There are poten				there are also potentials							narmfu	l effects	of this proposal.	
Recommendat														
	ppraisal of	Effects												

Sustainability Appraisal Section 10: Social and Community Infrastructure



1

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indic	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	By providing green infrastructure, it is likely to promote a healthier lifestyle and increased opportunities to take part in activities.
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Positive	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	A policy requiring increase/improved standards of open space etc may contribute to improved satisfaction levels.
	Increase green space	Improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Addressing green infrastructure in either CS or a DPD will lead to an improvement

Core Strate	gy Issues and Op	tions: SCI	2- Option 1/	'2- Gr	een ir	frastr	uctur	e sho	uld be	e add	ressed through the Core Strategy or a DPD
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective			Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of E where poss	ffect (quantify ible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct lini		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct lin		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct line		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of ann	Improve access to cultural facilities praisal against social obje			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	d also improve overall healthy living by offering opportunities to
		clives	take part in outo			Прасс	on the s	specific	topic b	out coun	a also improve overall healthy living by offering opportunities to
	ntal Indicators	•		1			1	1		1	
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct lini	<	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct lini	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct lini	<	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
' '	Loss agricultural land	No direct lin	<	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct lin	〈	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct lini		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct lin		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct lini		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u> </u>	Increase recycling	No direct lin		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct lini		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct lini		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dadasa	Increase car journeys	No direct lini		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct lini	ζ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites Does the policy or proposal have a significant impact on European designated sites, when considered on its own or with other plans or proposals?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
·	Improve landscape/ townscape	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	By increasing management/quality of green infrastructure and maintaining.
	raisal against environme	ntal objectives	Limited	effects	on env	/ironme	ntal iss	ues		
Economic I										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects		1			Justification for assessment noting:
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conclusions:	y appraisal against econo			ect links	to ecor	nomic g	rowth	•	-	
		ion of a policy would be a posit	ive actic			···		N4 :		O Novincia - Hand
Score	++ Major pos	+ Minor positive 2		- Mino	r negat	live		Мајо 0	r negat	ve 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 58

Sustainability Sub			- reale	ted Effe			Justification for assessment noting:			
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Recommendation	ns: Include a policy ir	n either CS or a DPD								
Key to App	oraisal of Effects									

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators		l e							
Health	Health facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	If all facilities are equal then access to health facilities will continue at the same levels or improve
	Death rates	No impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	It is likely that if all facilities are of the same importance then an increase in health facilities, sporting facilities and open space will be seen. Also provision of local facilities may encourage people to walk/cycle above car journeys.
Education	Improve Qualifications	Maintain or slight improvement	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	As health- the level of access to education will be maintained or increase, and indirectly the qualifications may improve.
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No change from current level as a direct result
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	Miles and a second and a second assistance and a secon
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	With equal emphasis on all facilities and services, good access will be improved
	Access child care	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Significant improvement	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	Likely to see general satisfaction improve with access to all facilities.
	Increase green space	Positive impacts	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Access will be maintained or increased for development

Core Strate	gy Issues and Op	tions: SCI3 Opt 1: All o	comm	unity	faciliti	es ar	e of e	qual i	mpor	tance
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Positive impacts	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Access will be maintained or increased for development
	oraisal against social obje	ctives	Acce	ss likely	/ to imp	rove to	all ser	∕ices ar	nd facili	ties but not significantly in any particular field.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
. , ,	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Potential improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	
	Reduce need for local travel	Improvement likely	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Likely to reduce need to drive locally by promoting access to all types of services and facilities
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Potential improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	all Gpoo of solvious and facilities
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ü	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
Objective			5010	EII						
			L L	_	_	_	е			
		Nature of Effect (quantify	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	95	b	
		where possible)	=	1 2 2	g) io	l in	Synergy	Rating	
			ᅙ	Mec	o.	Ö	u n	Syl	25	
			တ			တ	ပ			
Vulnerability to	Minimise risk of	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
climatic events	flooding					_				
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and	Maintain designated	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
enhance biodiversity	sites									
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Action Plan									
	Reverse decline in	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	farmland birds									
Historical and	Protect designated	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
archaeological	historical sites									
importance	Duete et ele eigne etc el	No divestible	_		0	0	0	0		
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	geological sites									
Landscapes	Reduce underused	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
and	land									
townscapes	Improve landeene/	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	U	U	U	U	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ntal objectives	By pr	ovidina	access	to all s	ervices	and fa	cilities.	there should be improvements for the environment by reducing
Carrinary or app	raioai agaii.ot oittii oitiit				use the					The contract of the contract o
Economic In	dicators									
Economic	Improve business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
growth	development									
	Promote growth in	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	key sectors		_				_	_		
	Economic	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	performance Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise	Increase range of	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
town centres	employment	NO UII GOL III K					U		U	
	opportunities									
	Decrease vacant	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	units in town centres?									

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	praisal against economic	objectives						rs. It m service		here will be an indirect improvement for local businesses by
Conclusions:										
Overall- likely to Score	see improvements in mo ++ Major pos 1	ost areas, but no significant impr itive + Minor positive 11	ovemen		r negat	ive	- (- Majoi)	r negat	ive 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 50
Recommendati Could be more is would need to be	mportant to base things of	on needs rather than just mainta	in equal	import	ance fo	r all fac	cilities-	there is	likely t	o be a greater need in some areas for specific facilities but this

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI3 Opt 1: All community facilities are of equal importance

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
		ative contribution to the appraisal of itive - Minor negative						: 1	? Unce	rtain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
0.000		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	Significant improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Health facilities would be higher on the agenda than others so there will be investment into doctors
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Significant improvement	++	++	++	+	0	0	++	As with health, education is likely to be high on the agenda if there is to be a hierarchy and therefore this option would support improved education and indirectly, qualifications
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Potential links	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	Potentially if facilities such as youth clubs etc are not built then potential for crime rates to increase.
	Reduce fear of crime	Potential links	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	Potentially if facilities such as youth clubs etc are not built then the fear, if not the actual rates, of crime could increase.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Both + and -	?	?	?	? both	? both	? both	?	Not all services and facilities will be improved
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Likely to be negative	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	Access to shopping is likely to be further down on the hierarchy than other facilities
	Access child care	Likely to be negative	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	Theracity than other facilities
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Could be positive or negative	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Depending on what sort of facilities/services are being discussed- some will improve, some will get worse.
	Increase green space	Negative	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	Likely that it will be reduced as green infrastructure likely to be further down the hierarchy than some services/facilities
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Negative	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	Likely that it will be reduced as green infrastructure likely to be further down the hierarchy than some services/facilities
	oraisal against social obje	ectives								depending on what order is decided upon and what facilities have tion likely to go up whilst others will go down.
	tal Indicators	INDER OF L	T ^		1 0	1 0				
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Unknown	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	
	Reduce need for local travel	Unknown	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	Although some services will be improved, it may be that some are worsened which could result in higher traffic and greater
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Unknown	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	need for local car journeys.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ŭ	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strate	gy Issues and Op	otions: SCI3 Opt 2: Sor	ne cor	nmun	ity fac	cilities	are (of gre	ater i	mportance than others
Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ental objectives								portant' than others, access to those considered less important
Economic In	diastara		IS like	ely to ge	et worse	wnich	will na	ve effec	ts on th	ne environment through the increased need to travel.
Economic	Improve business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
growth	development Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives								No direct links

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0

0 Neutral effect

49

Recommendations:

Score

Care would be needed in deciding the hierarchy of services.

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

? Uncertain effect

2

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predicted Effects							Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	ond d te						
		ative contribution to the appraisal ol itive - Minor negative		ective? //ajor negative 0 Neutral effect				i '	? Unce	rtain effect

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Sumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Social Indicators Health Health facilities Could have both positive and Depending on the thresholds imposed, smaller development + negative impacts will not be required to contribute and as a result in locations where most development is infill/smaller scale these may not provide contributions and so access to health may suffer. Larger developments may well be the source of contributions which will be positive for areas where there is large development proposed. No direct link 0 0 0 Death rates 0 0 Healthy lifestyles No significant impacts 0 0 0 n 0 expected for this objective Could have both positive and 0 ? ? +/-Education +/-+/-Schools will be similar to health facilities (above) Improve Qualifications negative impacts No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 Crime and Will reduce crime 0 Larger developments will attract infrastructure which will anti-social ensure that facilities/ investment is provided. Smaller activities developments will be unlikely to attract the infrastructure but also unlikely to increase the need for it. Reduce fear of crime Likely to have positive 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 As above for crime. impact No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce noise and odours Poverty and Reduce poverty No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 social exclusion Access key local +/-+/-Similar to health/education- larger development would provide Access key Could be both + /services services improved access, whereas rural developments could make problem more acute if not required to contribute. Accessibility of No direct link 0 0 n 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Employment** Unemployment No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 homelessness Provide enough Mixed +/-+/-+/-? ? Depending on the level at which the threshold is set-smaller developments above threshold may not come forwards due to housing increased costs, alternatively smaller developments under the

threshold may come forward more readily.

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Mixed	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	As above justification- will depend on what development comes forward and what level the threshold is set at.
	Increase green space	Improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Green space is often an issue only in the larger developments in the larger settlements and so a policy such as this should improve access to green space.
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against social obje	ectives	but th Depe small devel	nere is a nding o er loca opmen	a risk the thations was the may	at if cui hreshol where well be	mulative ds impo most of the soo	e effect osed, s develop urce of	s have maller ment contrib	se contributions does mean that they are likely to come forward, adverse impacts that these are not dealt with satisfactorily. development will not be required to contribute and as a result in is infill/smaller these may not provide contributions. Larger utions but rural areas may suffer as a result if the threshold is too ent may not come forward due to increased costs.
	tal Indicators	1				1 -	1 -	1 -		
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Quality of air Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Soil quality Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
100001000	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
'	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against environme	ntal objectives		•	•	•			•	•

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 arowth development Promote growth in No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres? Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage 0 efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth To encourage Improve accessibility No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas Increase freight No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business Encourage inward No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 investment

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 1: There is need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives Conclusions: More detail is needed prior to deciding the final policy- as show by the larger proportion of unknown impacts on the objectives. Score ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 0 0 5 55 Recommendations: This could be a good policy but would need to be very carefully monitored as there could also be a risk that larger sites may be split into smaller ones to try to avoid contributions so this must be monitored (similar to thresholds with affordable housing currently) If the threshold is too low there is a risk that smaller developments will not come forwards If the threshold is too high we might miss out on contributions where they are needed Rural areas and smaller villages, where mostly infill and small development takes place, could potentially worsen their situation if small development goes forward (under the threshold) btu still adds to the demand on services and facilities without being required to contribute. The controls of the policy would need to be carefully considered and set out in details- e.g. 1 threshold for all district or different for village/town/countryside? Key to Appraisal of Effects Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect

- - Major negative

+ Minor positive

++ Major positive

- Minor negative

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective Sumulative Short Term Long term Secondary Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Social Indicators Health Health facilities Improvement 0 Even smaller developments may still contribute depending on + + 0 0 the situation and other details and it is likely that levels of access will be maintained or improved Death rates No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Healthy lifestyles No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ? Contributions where needed will be required for schools, thus Education Improve Improvement indirectly ? + + + Qualifications providing increased opportunities and hopefully increased qualifications Crime and Will reduce crime No direct link + + + 0 0 0 If the development is likely to contribute to crime risk then anti-social additional infrastructure will be required in turn to combat the activities potential problems Reduce fear of crime No direct link 0 + + + 0 0 + Reduce noise and No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 odours Poverty and 0 0 0 n 0 0 Reduce poverty No direct link 0 social exclusion Access key local ? By dealing with each development proposal individually, the Access key Improvement ? ? ++ ++ ++ services services contributions can be required where necessary. Accessibility of 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 shopping facilities Access child care Improvement ++ ++ ++ ? ? ? Similar to key local services- provided where necessary. ++ **Employment** Unemployment No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Job opportunities No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 Improve earnings No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Housing Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 homelessness 0 Provide enough No change to current + + 0 0 Continue to provide housing- no negative impacts predicted housing expected Increase range and No change expected 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 affordability Reduce no of unfit No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 homes Community Satisfaction of 0 0 0 0 0 0 No change expected 0 participation neighbourhood Increase green space Improvement + + 0 0 0 + Improvement where needed expected + 0 0 0 0 0 0 Engagement in No direct link 0 decision making

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Involvement in volunteer activities Improve ethnic No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 relations Improve access to No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 cultural facilities Summary of appraisal against social objectives Improvements would be expected through the value of assessing each development for what would be needed in the area. **Environmental Indicators** Water and air Quality of water No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 quality Quality of air 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 To conserve Minimise loss of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 soil resources Greenfield land and quality Loss agricultural land No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Soil quality No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Use of water Sustainable use of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 and mineral minerals resources 0 0 Sustainable use of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 water Reduce household No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce waste waste Increase recycling No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduce effects Effect traffic volumes No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 of traffic Reduce need for local No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 travel Increase journeys by 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 methods other than personal car Reducing energy 0 Reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 contributions consumption? to climate change Increase proportion of 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 0 energy needs met by renewable sources?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) Vulnerability to Minimise risk of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 climatic events flooding Risk damage from No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 storms Maintain designated Conserve and No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 enhance sites biodiversity Deliver targets of 0 0 No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Reverse decline in No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 farmland birds Historical and Protect designated No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 historical sites archaeological importance Protect designated No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 archaeological sites Protect designated No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 geological sites Reduce underused No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 Landscapes 0 land and townscapes Improve landscape/ No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 townscape Summary of appraisal against environmental objectives No direct links **Economic Indicators** Economic Improve business No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 growth development No direct link 0 Promote growth in 0 0 0 0 0 0 key sectors Economic No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 performance Rural diversification No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 To revitalise Increase range of No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 town centres employment opportunities Decrease vacant No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 units in town centres?

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106 Sustainability Sub Objective Predicted Effects Justification for assessment Objective **Short Term** Cumulative Secondary Long term Med term Synergy Nature of Effect (quantify Rating where possible) To encourage Will it reduce No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 efficient commuting patterns of movement in support of economic growth Improve accessibility No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 To encourage efficient to work patterns of movement in support of economic growth Reduce journey times No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 between employment areas No direct link Increase freight 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 transported Increase consumption No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of local goods Encourage No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Increase investment indigenous business Encourage inward 0 0 0 0 0 0 No direct link 0 investment Make land available No direct link 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 for business development Summary of appraisal against economic objectives No direct links Conclusions: Score ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 54

Recommendations:

Maintaining the current system, or similar based on need, would mean that contributions were determined based on local need, and could be applied to smaller development where necessary if the evidence shows that the development would contribute to demand for services/facilities.

Core Strategy Issues and Options: SCI4 Opt 2: There is no need for a threshold for the type and size of development that will contribute to the improvement of social and community infrastructure- should be dealt with on a case by case level through s106 Sustainability Sub Objective **Predicted Effects** Justification for assessment Objective Short Term Cumulative Secondary Long term Nature of Effect (quantify Med term Synergy Rating where possible) Key to Appraisal of Effects Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective? ++ Major positive + Minor positive - Minor negative - - Major negative 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators					1	<u>I</u>		1	
Health	Health facilities	Significant benefits	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Would ensure provision
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	Improvement	+	+	+	++	+	0	+	Would ensure opportunities available
Crime and	Will reduce crime	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	Dravinian of facilities/activities and apportunities to angula in
anti-social activities	Reduce fear of crime	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	Provision of facilities/activities and opportunities to engage in positive activities could reduce crime.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	Improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	It would be assumed that access to key local services would be improved if provision was made for investment
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	By investing in infrastructure it would be expected that access to shopping facilities would be improved
	Access child care	Improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	By investing in infrastructure it would be expected that access to childcare facilities would be improved
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	BY improving the available soft infrastructure, people will be likely to be happier with locality
	Increase green space	Increase availability	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	TO allow for access to green space
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	Improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	As part of social infrastructure, cultural facilities should be made accessible.
	raisal against social obje	ctives	Gene	erally be	nefit to	allow for	or prov	sion the	rough p	policy framework
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	No direct link	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Potentially improve traffic in some areas where improved access to social/community infrastructure means people don't have to travel to access them.
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
V	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	raisal against environme	ental objectives	Limite	ed impa	cts					
Economic In										
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>g</u> -	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against economic	objectives	No lir	iks						
Conclusions:			_	_						
			cture bu							to through Core Strategy or Development Control policies
Score	++ Major pos 4	itive + Minor positive 7		- Mino	r negat	ive	-	- Majoi	rnegat	ive 0 Neutral effect ? Uncertain effect 51
	4	,		U			(,		JI

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
		ative contribution to the appraisal of	•	jective? Major negative 0 Neutral effec				t '	? Unce	rtain effect

Sustainability Appraisal Section 11: Physical Infrastructure



Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	1	Predict	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators				1		1	1		
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Potential for improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Where large developments take place there would be a requirement for investment in neighbourhood policing
	Reduce fear of crime	Potential for improvement (large developments)	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	As above- a physical police presence can reduce fear of crime.
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Good road/rail links will help this but no significant direct/indirect impacts are expected.
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No change expected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Current system delivers housing so this would not be expected to change.
	Increase range and affordability	No change expected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against social obje	ctives	No si	gnificar	t chang	jes to b	e appli	ed so p	hysical	infrastructure will continue to be delivered as currently.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	Should be maintained or improved	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	By considering development on a case by case basis, water quality requirements should be met in time with development.
-	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects				_	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase recycling	Potentially improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	There may be investment for recycling- particularly for larger sites/developments
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Potentially improve	++	++	++	+	?	?	++	In theory, ensuring that the correct infrastructure is in place for each development (as considered on case by case basis) will reduce the traffic at the location and elsewhere in the locality
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Potentially improve	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Provision of public transport access or minimised parking (e.g.) can encourage other transport methods where suitable- which would be identified on a case by case assessment of each site.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	By ensuring that infrastructure is appropriate to the development, less energy will be wasted and it can be designed and phased to minimise energy through development and later use. Also, to comply with low emissions targets, improved infrastructure may be required
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Potentially improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	In compliance with increasingly strict national targets, case by case studies can assess whether it would be suitable to provide energy through renewable sources where appropriate for each site.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Improve	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	By investing in water infrastructure where it will be needed (identified through case study of site) flood risk can be addressed
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environm	ental objectives	need	ed for th	ne deve	lopmer	nt. The	benefits	s to the	nd infrastructure investment can be timed to provide what is environment include the investments in renewable energy (likely rill lead to lower emissions.
Economic In	dicators									
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No effect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Potentially improve	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	By ensuring that transport infrastructure is in place we could encourage more people to use it.
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	Improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	BY considering whether each development will produce an increase in freight, the infrastructure to be provided can be identified.
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link				0	0	0		This method is a reactive process and so is unlikely to att speculative/investment due to the fact that it only provi infrastructure directly linked to that of the propo development
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against economic	objectives	busin devel	ess/inv	estmen	t or eve	n supp	ort grov	wth as	sing s106/site assessed contributions it is unlikely to attract monotonic contributions can only be secured when they are related to the timent we should be providing infrastructure first before
improvements d have been subn are related to the	o not come forwards if the nitted and so it would be e developments	ney take contributions from more difficult to attract external organ obysical infrastructure from small	than or isations	ne deve into ar elopmer	elopmer eas wh	nt source ere the	ce and the re may	hese c	annot b ues wit	d- however this may mean that some larger, more significate coordinated. The contributions are agreed only after proport the infrastructure. That said, it does mean that all contributive O Neutral effect O Vectain effect

The value of considering sites on site by site basis is high but there is a risk of the largest projects not being provided for (those which cover more than the area of interest) unless the utility companies (e.g.) are doing them.

Recommendations:

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Key to Ap	ppraisal of Effects									
Will the policy m ++ Major positiv		ative contribution to the appraisal ol tive - Minor negative	ojective Major n		e () Neutra	al effec	: <i>'</i>	? Unce	rtain effect

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ed Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Investment in police likely to happen, particularly for larger developments.
	Reduce fear of crime	Improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	As above- visible investment reduces fear of crime
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Good links will help but not expected to be significant changes
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	No direct link	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	There is a risk that the cost could increase if a tariff system means that a threshold will be imposed above which contributions have to be made and if this threshold is low. Potentially smaller developments may not come forwards

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against social obje	ectives	Limite	ed impa	cts on	social ir	ndicato	rs altho	ugh inv	vestment in road/rail could improve accessibility
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	Maintained or improved	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	By ensuing that contributions are made, the quality will improve.
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects				_	Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	Potentially improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Local tariffs will encourage general investment for all development including recycling
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Potentially improve	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	Having the correct physical infrastructure in place will lead to improved traffic control
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Potentially improve	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	By providing the infrastructure for alternatives to the personal car, it may make it easier for people to use them.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Improve	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Requirements and targets could be set out elsewhere in Core Strategy which will require an increase in efficiency
	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Potentially improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Similar to above, and by ensuring that infrastructure put in place has energy provided by renewable sources
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Potentially improve	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	By improving the infrastructure and its ability to deal with water
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	oraisal against environme	ntal objectives	Emiss	sions ar	nd rene	wable e	energy supply	targets by rene	will be ewable	contributed to by improving infrastructure and also by s. Also travel infrastructure could offer alternatives to the car.
Economic In	dicators		_							
Economic growth	Improve business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predict	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Potentially improve	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	By improving the infrastructure relative to the development there should greater access to road/rail transport
<u> </u>	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	No direct link	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Depending on type of development, there may be some requirement for investment into rail, e.g.
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Encourage inward investment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects		Justification for assessment				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating		
scale infrastruct n many ways it	ure projects.	ter flexibility of what to do with the		•						·	•
Score	++ Major p	oositive + Minor positive		- Minc	r negat	ive	-	- Majo	r negati	ve 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	3	8		4			C			50	

Core Strate	g ly i sæd és æmd O p affordability	ti២កទ់:•¶NF2 Opt3: Mak	ce pfov	∕isi8n	for ⁰ laı	ge [®] sc	al ê iı	ıfræstı	uctur	e requirements
Sustainability Objective	Saguos jecove infit homes	No direct link		ted [©] Eff		0	0	0	0	Justification for assessment
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Improvement	++ E	++	++ E	0	0	0	++	Could help by ensuring major projects allowed for and brought forward before development
participation	Increase green space	Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	<u>.</u>	8	9	<u>k</u>	<u>4</u> 4 ×	<u> </u>	₽	Torward before development
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	יסוסר	Ved t	ong	ec @	mm	Syrae	Rati	
	Involvement in	No direct link	Ø	Ō	ਰ	Ÿ	ଡ଼ି	0	0	
Social Indica	typismteer activities	No direct link	0		0	_	0	0	0	
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	8	8	В	8	В	8	В	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve access to Qualitate additional second	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summany of app anti-social	rangairs residetal obje	ctRetential improvement			nitet in		on goc	ial Obje	cti∨ e s,	bufforentiallyneesiidefræssild.deere oweste do ea an at the age rii rine reasts uctuur project
Environment	tal Indicators									
Water and air	Reduce noise and	Potential improvement	++	++	++	9	Ŋ	Ŋ	+++	Asy required water infrastructure would be likely to qualify as
quality	Reduce noise and adouts of air	No direct link	0	0	0	Ò	Ò	Ó	0	larger project and therefore may gain funding
Poverty and To conserve	Reduce poverty Minimise loss of	No direct link NS 81F8St IIHR	H	Ř	Ř	8	Ř	Ř	Ř	
To conserve Soli lesources exclusion Access key	Greenfield land	No direct link		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Access key local land	Ne direct link	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
services	Services Soil quality	No direct link N8 direct link	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	lafa-standing in a second second balancial the second second
Use of water and mineral	Soli quality Sustainable use of shopping facilities		8	В	В	В	В	В	В	Infrastructure improvements could help with these objectives.
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
resources Employment	Unemployment Sustainable use of Lop opportunities	No direct link	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Reduce waste Housing	Reduce Reduce	Ne direct link No direct link	- B O	B Ö	B O	B Ö	B Ö	8 0	B Ö	
	horeds recycling Provide enough	Potentially improve No direct link	?	?	?	0	0	0	?	If a major recycling facility gained funding then an major recycling facility gained funding then an major recycling facility gained funding then an
Reduce effects	Effect Paffic volumes	Potentially improve	++	++	++	?	0	?	++	By Hing Sary Actions are seen in thaning secured with By Hing Sary Actions and Hendards are seen in thaning secured with By Hing Sary Action By Hing Hing By Hing By Hing Hing By Hi

Economic In	dicators									
Economic	Trawedve business	Possible positive	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Possible improvements by making Babergh more attractive to
growth	Increase ientneys by	Potentially improve	0	Ō	Ō	+	+	?	+	Possible improvements by making Babergh more attractive to significant special for improvement
<u> </u>	Beksevalpear Beksevalpear	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,
Reduce contributions	Regnering energy sensumatica?	Pet∰ntally improve	₩	+0+	₩	7	7	7	₩	Passinal improver improvements the translation of the province and the pro
to climate	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Change alise	Increase range of	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
town centres	Infifely here portion of Energy here s met by	Potentially improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	National targets on energy generation mean that energy will have to be generated using renewables.
N/ 1 1 1111 (renewable sources?	No direct link Should improve	0	0	0 ++	9	<u>Q</u>	9	0	
Vulnerability to	Minimise wisk centres?	Should improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Areas at risk of flooding would be expected to be covered
efficient	Will the duce	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	under major projects and so may receive funding from government where they would not otherwise receive anything.
patterns of	commuting Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supportiver and Bebabarro Biodinersity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in	Inpliver ଅଟେଞ୍ଚିଟରି lility ନୁଞ୍ଜାନ୍ନ Biodiversity Action Plan	Potelingshy Thiprove	9	9	9	ф	φ	Q	φ	By improving infrastructure there is the chance that people will use more sustainable methods where available
support of economic	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historrical and	Protect designated	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
archaeological	Ristorica outage times	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
importance	between employment									
•	Bretect designated	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	archaeological sites	Potential improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There may be benefit to securing major infrastructure in terms
	Preprest regignated	No direct link	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	0	0	Ö	of road/rail
	989 Page Coil Symption	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.110000,1011
Landscapes	Bredege den derused	No direct link	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	
Pholease towestones	Pheourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	ENERUA GENASCARE/	Petelines iinbrovement	00	9	9	φ	ф	ф	ф	If investment in significant infrastructure projects makes the district more attractive, then inwards investment may follow
Summary of app	praisal against environme	ntal objectives								energy mean that if money was secured in addition to local uld come forward

	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		
Summary of ar	opraisal against economic o	bjectives	Gene	erally positiv	e as it wo	ıld impr	ove the	infrastr	ucture prior to specific develop	ments being proposed.
Conclusions: More proactive	e than options 1 and 2 and r	need can be identified and r	emedied be	efore develo	pment tal	es plac	e.			
Score	++ Major posi	tive + Minor positiv	/e	- Minor ne	gative	1 -	- Major	negativ	e 0 Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	7	8		0		C)	<u> </u>	46	1
	ations: in conjunction with other pontrate too much on the large									

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Social Indica	ators									
Health	Health facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Death rates	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Healthy lifestyles	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Education	Improve Qualifications	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Crime and anti-social activities	Will reduce crime	Potential improvement	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	Grant funding may cover additional facilities/schemes
	Reduce fear of crime	Potential improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Babergh has a low crime rate but a higher fear of crime
	Reduce noise and odours	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poverty and social exclusion	Reduce poverty	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access key services	Access key local services	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Accessibility of shopping facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Infrastructure improvements could help with these objectives.
	Access child care	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Employment	Unemployment	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-	Job opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve earnings	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housing	Reduce homelessness	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Provide enough housing	Possible improvement	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	If infrastructure is in place./secured it may be easier to bring forward housing development
	Increase range and affordability	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

funding Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective	tions. INFZ Opt4 Large	Predic			uctu	e pic		36Cu	red through national government by grant Justification for assessment
Objective		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Reduce no of unfit homes	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Community participation	Satisfaction of neighbourhood	Improvement	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Could help by ensuring major projects allowed for and brought forward before development
	Increase green space	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Engagement in decision making	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Involvement in volunteer activities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve ethnic relations	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Improve access to cultural facilities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of app	raisal against social obje	ctives	Limite	ed impa	cts on	social o	bjective	es but p	olannin	g in advance may help bring forward delivery of housing.
Environmen	tal Indicators									
Water and air quality	Quality of water	Improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	Larger projects, including water, may get financial support through the government where identified as being required for development (e.g. through Water Cycle Study). The funding will ensure that this is in place prior to development starting
	Quality of air	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To conserve soil resources and quality	Minimise loss of Greenfield land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	Loss agricultural land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Soil quality	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	gy Issues and Op	tions: INF2 Opt4 Large	r phy	sical i	nfrast	ructu	re pro	ojects	secu	red through national government by grant
funding Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Use of water and mineral resources	Sustainable use of minerals	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sustainable use of water	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduce waste	Reduce household waste	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase recycling	Potentially improve	?	?	?	0	0	0	?	If a major recycling facility gained funding then an improvement would be seen if funding secured
Reduce effects of traffic	Effect traffic volumes	Potentially improve	++	++	++	?	0	?	++	By improving the roads and rail- potentially could improve traffic problems (likely to be high on agenda)
	Reduce need for local travel	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase journeys by methods other than personal car	Potentially improve	0	0	0	+	+	?	+	By allowing for improvement of the infrastructure, improvements may be seen where more people are able to use alternative methods of transport.
Reduce contributions to climate change	Reducing energy consumption?	Potentially improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	National targets for emissions means likely to have funds secured against projects to reduce energy requirements
J	Increase proportion of energy needs met by renewable sources?	Potentially improve	++	++	++	?	?	?	++	National targets on energy generation mean that energy will have to be generated using renewables.
Vulnerability to climatic events	Minimise risk of flooding	Should improve	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	Areas at risk of flooding would be expected to be covered under major projects and so may receive funding from government where they would not otherwise receive anything.
	Risk damage from storms	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Effe	ects					Justification for assessment
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Maintain designated sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
,	Deliver targets of Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Reverse decline in farmland birds	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Historical and archaeological importance	Protect designated historical sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-	Protect designated archaeological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Protect designated geological sites	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landscapes and townscapes	Reduce underused land	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	Improve landscape/ townscape	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	oraisal against environme	ental objectives	Gene	ral impi	roveme	nt by e	nsuring	sites b	rought	forwards sustainably with appropriate infrastructure
Economic Ir		Descible positive	Ι ο			l .	l .	Ι.	Ι.	Describe improvements by making Daharah magazitus the te
Economic growth	Improve business development	Possible positive	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Possible improvements by making Babergh more attractive to speculative/investors.
	Promote growth in key sectors	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Economic performance	Positive	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	Possibly improve if infrastructure improvements make Babergh a more attractive place for inward investment

funding Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects	Justification for assessment				
·		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Rural diversification	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To revitalise town centres	Increase range of employment opportunities	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decrease vacant units in town centres?	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it reduce commuting	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Improve accessibility to work	Potentially improve	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	By improving infrastructure there is the chance that people will use more sustainable methods where available
	Reduce journey times between employment areas	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Increase freight transported	Potential improvement	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	There may be benefit to securing major infrastructure in terms of road/rail
	Increase consumption of local goods	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Increase investment	Encourage indigenous business	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Core Strategy Issues and Options: INF2 Opt4 Larger physical infrastructure projects secured through national government by grant funding

Sustainability Objective	Sub Objective		Predic	ted Eff	ects	Justification for assessment				
		Nature of Effect (quantify where possible)	Short Term	Med term	Long term	Secondary	Cumulative	Synergy	Rating	
	Encourage inward investment	Potential improvement	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	If investment in significant infrastructure projects makes the district more attractive, then inwards investment may follow
	Make land available for business development	No direct link	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Summary of appraisal against economic objectives

Generally positive as it would improve the infrastructure prior to specific developments being proposed.

Conclusions:

More proactive than options 1 and 2 and need can be identified and remedied before development takes place. Similar to 3 in terms of effects- different sources of funding.

Score	ore ++ Major positive + Mi		- Minor negative	Major negative	Neutral effect	? Uncertain effect
	8	7	0	0	46	1

Recommendations:

This may work in conjunction with other policies relating to more local infrastructure requirements.

Mustn't concentrate too much on the larger projects- think of smaller and soft infrastructure too.

Key to Appraisal of Effects

Will the policy make a positive or negative contribution to the appraisal objective?

++ Major positive

+ Minor positive

- Minor negative

- - Major negative

0 Neutral effect

? Uncertain effect